FHI 059, Version 12	I	ssued by: FHI		Date of issue: 08/10/2018			
Case No: 2020-0008				Date of visit: 21/01/2020			
Time spent on site: 1	hour		Main Inspector				
Site No: FS0681 Business No: FB0061	Site Name: Business Name:	Ormsary Hatche Landcatch Natu	ery Iral Selection Lto	3			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4	5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 5	Thermometer No:	Site		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type	: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:					

Additional Case Information:

Imported eggs - higher non hatch numbers but low deformities.

Loch Duart - ova arrived as unfertilised ova, Stripped at Geisgeil (FS0885)

Mort numbers per stocks for January; Loch Duart; 1575, Organic sea harvest; 4733, SSC; 28390, Salmonid France; 2903

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

All fish on site moved to Ormsary Smolt Unit prior to feeding.

FHI 059, Version 12			lssu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0008]	Site No:	FS0681]			
Date of Visit:		21/01/2020	2		Inspector(s):]
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y Y]
Site Details								
Total No facilities		1	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilities	s inspected	1
Species	Sal	Sal						
Age group	Green Ova	alvins						
No Fish	4,491,843	2,624,337						
Mean Fish Wt	<0.1g	<0.1g						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	May 2020		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Oct 2020		
Recent (last 4 wks) o If yes, detail:	disease probl	lems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last v	visit)?	Ν
Movement Records 1. Movement records 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement records 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certifican Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a 2. How are mortalitient	s available fo ction: olete and corre cords availab olete and corre ates for introc nts carried ou tem in place f available for i	ectly entered ble for dead fis ectly entered ductions (outv it by (or on be for maintenan	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa ehalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	-	• on site	25/02/2018	Y N/A N/A Y Y
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of		correctly ent	54629 - ova	- shock morts			leformities. L	ower than
4. Recent mortality (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t migal morta		ns 0.94% , Ova	a 5% for Janua	ary.		N
5. Evidence of recen		••		dragoon:				N
If yes, facility nos/no	monality per	Tacility/ho sto	эск регласниу	reason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period cl	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:	,,							
7. Have increased (u	(nexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	l? If no, add M	IRT case and e	enter on morta	ality events s	heet.	N/A

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	Y					
If yes, detail: twice a week formalin						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? formalin						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Ŷ					
Biosecurity Records						
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed diseas	e Y					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	· · ·					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y					
aquaculture animals held on site?	· · ·					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y					
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: 22/5/18- 21/1/20						

FHI 059, Version 12

FRI 059, Version 12		Issued by. FHI			Dale	UI ISSUE	. 00/10/2010
Case Number:	2020- <mark>0</mark> 008		Site No:	FS0681		Insp:	
Date of Visit	21/01/2020		No of movements/supp./dest.				Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	18
	Number of sup		0	5	10		5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of des		0		6		3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	e or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	ocessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	_	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator	b 2			1			_
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		34
					Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2020-0008		Site No:	FS0681			
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)						
		s in the previous 4 years	?				
	•		chronously on a single ye	ear class basis?			
		• • •	sea lice medications (inc				
azamethiphos and ema can these be deployed	amectin benzoate) as in a reasonable period	well as access to suitab d of time?	tement relevant to the si	nanical control measu	res, and		
Management Area (or		ement agreement or sta					
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)				
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	x 6)		
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		low the suggested criter	ia for treatment in the Co	OGP during the period	that		
_		<i>monis</i>) numbers per fisl od that records are insp	n been at a level of 3 or a ected?	bove (prior to w/b 10/	6/19) or		
If yes, have these beer	n reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If n	o, FHI see comment.				
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	.3.50)		
			aken when <i>L. salmonis l</i> to have welfare implicati				
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where a	applicable)?					
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	is taken had a significar	t impact upon the lice lev	vels recorded?			
13. Are treatments, whi	ere conducted, carried	l out in cooperation betw	een participating farms?	•			
	13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms? 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?						
	15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?						
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please detail re	easons.			
Containment Inspecti	ion						
1. Has the site experier		ge due to predators in t	ne current or previous pro	oduction cycles?	Ν		
			ed on site? (Detail below	-	Y		
indoors			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, 			
If other, detail below:	·						
3. Have escape incide	nts or events been ex	perienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?	Ν		
If Yes proceed with que							
4. Have these been rep							
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	.17)			
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)		
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give deta	il				
8. If gill nets were deple Ministers? (Legal, CoG		greed with local wild fish	interests and was perm	ission given by Scottis	sh		
		imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not covered ir	n code but could			
be considered under	-						
	•	· · ·	If no, please detail reaso	on(s)	Y		
		•		. /			

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 12

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

Case No:	2020-0008	Date of visit: 21/01/2020						
Site No:	FS0681	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case Type ECI, CNI	30/01/2020		2 11130					
,								
	1							

marine scotland science



Landcatch Natural Selection Ltd Ormsary Fish Farm Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8PE

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0061 SITE NO FS0681 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 21/01/2020 SITE NAME Ormsary Hatchery CASE NO 20200008

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 30/01/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter