FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018					
Case No: 2020-0014			Date of visit: 22/01/2020					
Time spent on site:	1	Main Ir	espector:					
Site No: FS1294 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Wyre Scottish Sea Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 8.5	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-2					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Site used as nursery site for Eday site and fish will be split down March.

Mortalities transferred to the SSF yard in Twatt and put in bulk container for uplift, BMP states that morts are taken to shorebase for incineration.

Peroxide treatment 1-6 December for gill issues.

One hole in the net due to seal in October 2019. To check if been reported 29/10/19

Some Caligus usually in the summer, Slice treatment administered for this, no welfare issues.

Biosecurity plan details shore based incinerator but morts are taken to SS

Seal damaged fish evident in several pens. Seal pro nets had been successfully used in previous cycles however these are not being effective this cycle. One pen had been raised to lift the seal pro section higher, this is reported to be effective.

Case No: 2020-0014 Site No: FS1294	
Date of Visit: 22/01/2020 Inspector(s):	
Registration/Authorisation Details	
Business/site details summary checked by site representative? y	
2. Changes made to details?	
Site Details	
Total No facilities 12 Facilities stocked 12 No facilities inspected 12	2
Species SAL SAL	
Age group 19 s0	
No Fish 1,000,000	
Mean Fish Wt 531g	
Next Fallow Date (Site) April 2021 Next Input Date (Site) October 2021	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? N Any escapes (since last visit)?	
If yes, detail:	
Movement Records	
1. Movement records available for inspection?	Y
2. Date of last inspection: 30/05/2017	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?	N
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?	N/A
Transport Records	
1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?	N
If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?	
Mortality Records	
1. Mortality records available for inspection?	Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	
If other detail: Bulk container at SSF yard at Twatt then uplifted for disposal but manager did not know where	to.
Mortality records complete and correctly entered?	Y
wk4 3771 (0.37%) wk 3 3242 (0.31%) wk2 4157 (0.4%) wk 1 6019	9 (0.58%)
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): (seal predation poor weather)	
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?	Y
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:	
as above	N
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? If yes, detail:	N
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?	Y
Samples taken 25/11/19 Branchiomonas cysticola a, Paranucleospora theridion	•
If yes, detail action: detected by PCR, peroxide treatment administered.	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
4. December of the state of the		V
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?		Ť
If yes, detail: TMS If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspe	ction?	
Are records complete and correctly elements.		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	nered:	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	TMS	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspe	ection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mo	rtality removal, recording and safe disposal be	en considered? Y
·	the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or vetering	ary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the	e site been included?	У
4. Has the action that will be taken in the	e event that the presence or suspicion of the pr	resence of a listed disease
	when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture a	animals being stocked on the farm site been co	overed (equal or higher y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity r	measures implemented between each epidemi	ological unit to minimise
•	movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or o	

If no, detail:

aquaculture animals held on site?

1	Has any animal	I health surveillance	been carried out by, or	on behalf of t	he husiness?
	Tias ally allillia	i ilcalti i sui velliarice	been carried out by. Or	OH DCHAIL OL.	

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of

- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

As above Gill issues, peroxide bath treatment administered

Records checked between:

30/05/2017

П	11 059, Version 12							ISS	sued by: Fi	7I			
	Case no:	2020-00	014	Site No:		FS1294			Date of vi Sampling		22/0	01/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		н			
	Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:		0:00 Indoors		0:00	3	Inspecto	or: 4		5	VMD No	o. 	14
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI	P	Α		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Ц	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5	6						
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL		SAL	SAL						
	Average weight	.5kg	.5kg	.5kg	.5kg	.5kg	.5kg						
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A						
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW						
Stock Details		Loch Ba and Knock	Loch Frisa	Loch Frisa									
S	Facility No	12	12	10	10	1	1						

	Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			of issue	: 08/10/2018	
Case Number:	2020-0014		Site No:	FS1294		nsp:	
Date of Visit	22/01/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	۵	18	26	
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts				10	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	U-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	1		2
uiseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	<u>'</u>	2	7		
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					-
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		-
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				ш
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				-
		from zone or compartment of	,				ш
	equivalent statu	ı from Category III farm	4				-
		from Category V farm	10				-
Discount of Sala and Sala have			1	J 1			=
Disposal of fish and fish by- products		* *	0				0
·		esses with other farms	3				0
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5]			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				\Box
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				Ш
Platform access to cages	Yes		1 ^	1			
i lationii access to cages	No		0	-			
	140			J			
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0014]	Site N	o: FS1294						
Sea Lice Inspection (s in the previous 4 years	s?		n					
•	•	equivalent) fallowed syn		lle vear class basis?	n					
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?										
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?										
. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)										
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the CoGP	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Anne	ex 6) y					
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?		elow the suggested criter	ria for treatment in the	e CoGP during the period	I that y					
_	•	<i>lmonis</i>) numbers per fish iod that records are insp		or above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or n					
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If n	o, FHI see comment		N/A					
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welfare pr	roblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 8	5.3.50) n					
				nis levels have exceeded ications? (CoGP 4.3.82,						
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where	applicable)?			n/a					
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	ns taken had a significar	it impact upon the lic	e levels recorded?	n/a					
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried	d out in cooperation betw	veen participating far	ms?	Υ					
		· ·		are held without treatme	nt for N					
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es			aypoints describing s	set actions to deal with re-	cognised N					
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please det	ail reasons.	Υ					
Containment Inspecti	on									
Has the site experier	nced equipment dama	ige due to predators in ti	ne current or previou	s production cycles?	Υ					
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against	the predation experience	ed on site? (Detail be	elow)	Υ					
Seal pro nets	sinker tubes	top nets								
If other, detail below:										
3 Have escape incide	nts or events been ex	nerienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site since	e the last FHI inspection?	N					
If Yes proceed with que			cirilly of the site sine.	e the last i in mapeedon:						
4. Have these been rep		•								
5. Have these been rep	oorted to local DSFB f	orthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	, 5.4.17)						
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO ar	nd local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)					
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover es	capees? If yes give deta	il							
		greed with local wild fish	interests and was p	ermission given by Scotti	sh					
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	•	imica the risk of further	oscanos2 (Not assess	ad in code but sauld						
		imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not cover	ed in code but could						
be considered under	•	, and the second	If no whater date!	00000(0)	V					
To. Is the site inspected	u as sausiaciory with	regards to containment?	ii no, piease detali r	eason(s)	The state of the s					

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0014	Site No: FS1294	
Date of Visit: 22/01/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	vithin a farm management area?	у
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	<u></u>
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
 Is the current FMAg/S available for in Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far Does the FMAg/S identify the date of Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 	It farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or stateme review?	у У У
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag	ement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be introd	uced to the area or y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	ation requirements for stocks held in the area of es of fish which may be stocked into the area of num stocking density of any pen on any farm i	or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa	gements for the storage and disposal of any derm?	ead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cover	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available trms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and o arms?	cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced or i	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/20
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates I date when a farm or area may be restoc	by which the area or individual farm will be fal	low and the earliest
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether be covered by the agreement or statement?	roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kee?	ept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	nent include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and	operated in accordance with the agreement o	or statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue	of the FMAg/S? 28/01/2019	

Site No: FS1294

Case No: 2020-0014

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0014			Date of visit:	22/01/2020				
				Date of Field.					
Site No:	FS1294			Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	03/02/2020		2 IIISP						
Case completion report	22/04/2020								
Cacc completion report	22/01/2020								





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 22/01/2020

 Site No
 FS1294
 Site Name
 Wyre

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200014

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 1st May 2020. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 22/04/2020
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 22/01/2020

 Site No
 FS1294
 Site Name
 Wyre

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200014

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- FS numbers must be recorded in the source/destination section of the movement record book, to allow for better traceability of stocks. It was discussed with the site manager that this would be recorded in future. No further action is required.
- The biosecurity measures plan detailed incineration as the method of mortality disposal, the site manager confirmed that this method was not used and that the morts were placed in a skip and uplifted for disposal but did not know the place of disposal. The biosecurity measures plan should be updated to reflect current mortality disposal practice including location of disposal.
- A dive report on the 29th October 2019 detailed that a hole had been repaired on one of the nets following observations of a seal being in the pen. This incident was not reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required. This matter was discussed with the Area Manager and an initial notification form is to be submitted.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to fish farm management agreements and statements.

Recommendations were issued in relation to sea lice records:

- The site did not have a harvesting strategy where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice;
- There was no site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation.

Given that there has been issues with seal interaction including a breach in containment with a seal accessing a pen, the site has been recommended for an enhanced containment inspection, this will be conducted in due course.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 6th March 2020. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter