| FHI 059, Version 12 | | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 08/10/2018 | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Case No: 2020-0060 | | | Date of visit: 19/02/2020 | | | | | | |
| Time spent on site: | hrs | Main I | nspector: | | | | | | |
| Site No: FS0608 Business No: FB0125 | Site Name: Business Name: | Vidlin North Scottish Sea Farms Ltd | | | | | | | |
| Case Types: 1 ECI 2 | 2 CNI 3 SLI | 4 VMD 5 | 6 | | | | | | |
| Water Temp (°C): 7.1 | Thermometer No: | T153 | FHI 045 completed | | | | | | |
| Observations: | Region: SH | Water type: S | CoGP MA S-5 | | | | | | |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. | | | | | | | | | |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Additional Case Information:

Excellent visibility on site at time of inspection, >6m. Fish observed shoaling well and showed good feeding response. Fish sampled for VMD in excellent condition. Sea lice numbers had been elevated, a thermolicer treatment was completed near the end of wk 7, there was an issue with the thermolicer and the treatment in cage 8 was stopped.

Site has been experiencing an increased problem with seal predation which began in August 2019, the site has Sapphire seal pro nets on all cages, weighted nets and an OTAQ ADD, they also have an Airmar ADD available. The cages are due to be replaced before next cycle and plan to have sinker tubes next cycle. Seal predation accounts for 32.5% of mortalities this cycle, this has not been an issue previous generations of fish.

Site was stocked, 7 out 12 cages, with lumpfish in December from Otterferry, lumpfish mortaity is currently 13% since input. No moribund fish observed during inspection, 2 dead, both with predation damage were removed during the inspection. Some lethargic fish were observed in the cages that had recently finished physical treatment, however they looked in good condition and were shoaling.

Site has 6m sea lice sheilds installed on all cages. Only bath treatment this cycle has been a hydrogen peroxide treatment for AGD, only other theraputant treatment has been SLICE. Site had been due to receive an additional input of lumpfish to stock the remaining cages.

Stock was also observed on camera system at shorebase, showing excellent shoaling behaviour.

| FHI 059, Version 12 | | | Issu | ed by: FHI | Date of issu | e: 08/10/2018 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Case No: | 2020-0060 |] | Site No: | FS0608 | | | | | | | |
| Date of Visit: | | 19/02/2020 | | | Inspector(s): | | |] | | | |
| Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to | ails summary | | site representa | ative? | | | Y Y | | | | |
| Site Details | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total No facilities | | 12 | Facilities sto | cked | 12 | No facilitie | s inspected | 12 | | | |
| Species | SAL | LUM | | | | | Ī | | | | |
| Age group | 19 S1 | 2019 | | | | | | | | | |
| No Fish | 435,447 | 24,597 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt | 3.065 kg | 50g | | | | | | | | | |
| Next Fallow Date (S | ite) | July 2020 | | Next Input Date (Site) Jan 2021 | | | | | | | |
| Recent (last 4 wks) (| disease probl | ems? | | N | Any escapes | (since last | visit)? | N | | | |
| Movement Records | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Movement record | | r inspection? | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Date of last inspe | | i ilispection: | | | | | 31/08/2017 | ' | | | |
| 3. Are records comp | | actly entered? | 2 | | | | 31/00/2017 | Y | | | |
| 4. Are movement re | | • | | , | | | | Ÿ | | | |
| 5. Are records comp | | | | | | | | Y | | | |
| 6. Are health certific | | • | | able? | | | | N/A | | | |
| Transport Records | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Are any movemen | nts carried ou | t by (or on be | half) of the bu | ısiness (not us | ing a STB)? | | | Y | | | |
| If yes, is there a syst | tem in place f | or maintenan | ice of transpor | rtation records | ? | | | Y | | | |
| Mortality Records | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Mortality records a | available for i | nspection? | | | | | | Y | | | |
| 2. How are mortalitie | | • | | | Other (detail) |) | | | | | |
| | Pelagia (Bre | | neal | | , | | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records | | | | | | | | Y | | | |
| 4 December of the / | | | · · | (0.38%) for sit | | | for site, wk 6 | - 5,337 | | | |
| 4. Recent mortality (| • | | | site, wk 7 - 1,6 | 37 (0.37%) 101 | site | | N N | | | |
| 5. Evidence of recer | | • • | | , | | | | N | | | |
| If yes, facility nos/no | mortality per | facility/no sto | ock per facility | reason: | | | | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks i | n mortality du | ring period ch | necked? | | | | | N | | | |
| If yes, detail: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Have increased (u | unexplained) | mortalities be | en reported to | vet or FHI? | | | | N/A | | | |
| If yes, detail action: | | | 0.16 | | | 124 | | | | | |
| 8. Have 'mortality ev | B. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet. | | | | | | | | | | |

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

Results of Surveillance

3. Any significant results?

Advanced AGD apparent - October 2019

Records checked between:

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

August 2017 to 19/02/20

| | ni 059, version 12 | | | | | | | 155 | ueu by. r | TII | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|----------|------|--------|----------|-----|-----------|----------|--------|-------------|-------|
| | Case no: | 2020-00 |)60 | Site No: | | FS0608 | | | Date of v | | 19/0 | 02/2020 | 19/0 |
| | Priority samples: | VI | | ВА | | PA | | MG | | y. HI | | | |
| | Time sampling starts/ends: | | 00:00 | | 0:00 | | Inspecto | or: | | | VMD No | o. | 16 |
| | Environmental conditions: | 1 | Indoors | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | | |
| | Summary samples | HIST | | ВА | | MG | | VI | | PA | | Total Sa | mples |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | dd Fish/Pools - click | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool/Fish No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| П | Fish nos | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| П | Pool Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Species | SAL | SAL | SAL | | | | | | | | | |
| | Average weight | 3 kg | 3 kg | 3 kg | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sex | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Water Type | SW | SW | SW | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | |
| <u>(7.</u> | | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | |
| Details | | ~ | | | | | I | | | | | | |
| | | Knock | Knock | Knock | | | I | | | | | | |
| Stock | Stock Origin | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sto | Facility No | 5 | | 20 | | | | | | | | | |

| 02/2020 Additional Sample Information: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 Total Tests assigned 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| FHI 059, Version 12 | | Issued by: FHI | | | Date o | of issue | : 08/10/2018 |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Case Number: | 2020-0060 | | Site No: | FS0608 | | nsp: | |
| Date of Visit | 19/02/2020 | | No of m | ovements/s | supp./dest. | | Score |
| Live fish movements | | | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | Frequency of m | novements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| with GB) of susceptible | | novements on from equivalent zone or | | _ | | | |
| species | | ncluding third country | 0 | | | 26 | 0 |
| | Number of sup | pilers | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| Movements off | Frequency of m | | 0 | | | 10 | 10 |
| | Number of des | | 0 | | | 10 | 3 |
| Exposure via water Water contacts with other | IFarra ia muata at | Site contacts | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | | |
| farms (holding species | disinfection or l | , | 0 | | | | 0 |
| susceptible to same diseases) | | or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 1 |
| uiscuscs) | | or in a coastal zone with category III | <u>'</u> | | 7 | | —- |
| | farms upstream | n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | 0 |
| | | or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 | | 0 |
| Management practices | <u> </u> | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with | Any processing | plant discharging into adjacent waters | | | Chiscoure | | |
| processors | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm pro | cessing | 0 | | | | 0 |
| and raise of the another | Processing own | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | 1 | | | 0 |
| | Processing fish | from MS of equivalent status | 2 | | | | 0 |
| | Processing fish equivalent state | from zone or compartment of | 4 | | | | 0 |
| | | n from Category III farm | 8 | | | | 0 |
| | Processing fish | n from Category ∀ farm | 10 | | | | 0 |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | te only processed. | o | i | | | 0 |
| products | Common proce | esses with other farms | 3 | | | | 0 |
| | Collection poin | t for waste from other farms | 5 | | | | 0 |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of u | inpasteurised feed | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| · | Feeding unpas | • | 5 | | | | 0 |
| Biosecurity | | Number of sites | 1 | 2 or 3 | ≥ 4 | | |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating | from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| | Sites sharing s | taff and equipment | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| Disinfection of equipment | Yes | | 0 |] | | | 0 |
| between sites, use of footbaths etc | No | | 1 | | | | 0 |
| CoGP/Regulator | • | | | • | | | |
| Practices in accordance | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| with regulator or industry code of practice | No | | 3 | | | | 0 |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | 1 0 | 1 | | | |
| | No | | 2 | - | | | 0 |
| | 1 | | | J | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | 14 |
| | | | | | Rank | | LOW |

| Case No: | 2020-0060 | | S | ite No: | FS0608 | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------|---|--|
| • | Seawater Sites Only) | s in the previous 4 years | ۶۶ | | | | N | |
| • | • | quivalent) fallowed syn | | a single ve | ear class basis? | | Y | |
| 3. Does the site have a | access to a range of lic | enced in-feed and bath well as access to suitab | sea lice medica | ations (inc | cluding deltamethrin, | ures, and | Y | |
| 4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or | | ement agreement or sta | atement relevar | nt to the si | ite and CoGP Farm | | Υ | |
| 5. Are sea lice count re | ecords available for ins | pection? (Legal SSI, Co | GP Annex 6) | | | | Υ | |
| 6. Do records adequate | ely reflect the required | standard specified in th | e SSI and the (| CoGP? (L | egal SSI, CoGP Anne | ex 6) | Υ | |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected? | * | low the suggested criter | ria for treatment | t in the Co | oGP during the period | d that | N | |
| Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected? | | | | | | | | |
| If yes, have these beer | n reported to the Fish H | Health Inspectorate? If n | no, FHI see com | nment. | | | Υ | |
| 9. Is <i>C. elongatu</i> s infe | station at a level which | is considered to cause | significant welf | are proble | ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, | 5.3.50) | N | |
| | | stered or other actions telongatus is considered | | | | | Υ | |
| 11. Has any other actio | on been taken (where a | applicable)? | | | | | Υ | |
| 12. Have therapeutic tr | reatments or the action | is taken had a significan | nt impact upon t | the lice lev | vels recorded? | | Υ | |
| • | | • | | | | | Υ | |
| 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms? 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice? | | | | | | | | |
| | ific written lice manage scalation of a sea lice i | ement procedure with wa infestation? | aypoints descri | bing set a | actions to deal with re- | cognised | Y | |
| 16. Do the sea lice leve | els observed on stocks | reflect sea lice count d | ata? If no pleas | se detail re | easons. | | Υ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Containment Inspecti | ion | | | | | | | |
| 1. Has the site experie | nced equipment dama | ge due to predators in th | he current or pr | evious pro | oduction cycles? | | N | |
| 2. Are measures in pla | ce to mitigate against t | the predation experience | ed on site? (De | tail below | ·) | | Υ | |
| Sapphire seal pro ne | ets, top nets, tensione | ed nets, ADD, M.M.L. | | | | | | |
| If other, detail below: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Have escape incide | ents or events been exp | perienced on or in the vi | cinity of the site | e since the | e last FHI inspection? | • | N | |
| If Yes proceed with que | estions 4 – 9. If No skip | p to question 10 | | | | | | |
| 4. Have these been rep | ported to Scottish Minis | sters? | | | | | | |
| 5. Have these been rep | ported to local DSFB fo | orthwith (where they exis | st)? (CoGP - 4 | .4.37, 5.4 | .17) | | | |
| 6. Have these been rep | ported to the SSPO an | d local fisheries trusts fo | orthwith (where | they exist | t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5 | 5.4.17) | | |
| 7. Were methods (if an | ny) used to recover esc | apees? If yes give deta | il | | | | | |
| 8 If aill nots were deal | oved was this action a | greed with local wild fish | n interests and | was nerm | ission given by Scotti | ish | | |
| Ministers? (Legal, CoG | | groed with local wild list | i interests and | was penin | Soluti given by Scott | | | |
| 9. What action was tak | en to prevent and mini | imise the risk of further | escapes? (Not | covered in | n code but could | | | |
| | r satisfactory measu | · · | | | | | | |
| 10. Is the site inspecte | d as satisfactory with r | egards to containment? | If no, please d | etail reaso | on(s) | | Υ | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

| FHI 059, Version 12 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 08/10/2018 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Case No: 2020-0060 | Site No: FS0608 | |
| Date of Visit: 19/02/2020 | Inspector: | |
| Point of Compliance | | |
| 1. Is the farm under inspection located | within a farm management area? | Y |
| If N, no further questions require comp | letion. | |
| Points of Compliance for Both Farm | Management Agreements and Statements | |
| 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for it 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fat 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of | nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or statemer f review? | Y Y Y |
| Arrangements for Fish Health Manag | gement | |
| 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimifarm? | um health standards for the stocks to be introdu | uced to the area or Y |
| Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccin Does the FMAg/S identify the speci | ation requirements for stocks held in the area o ies of fish which may be stocked into the area o mum stocking density of any pen on any farm in | r farm? |
| 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa | gements for the storage and disposal of any de arm? | ead fish from any |
| Arrangements for The Management | of Sea Lice | |
| 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen | nents for the sharing of data on sea lice number | rs and treatments? |
| 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement? | ability and the use of medicines on farms covere | |
| 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa | irements for the sensitivity testing of available tr arms? | reatments for sea |
| | mstances under which biological controls and c | |
| 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran | gements for synchronous treatments on farms | within the area? |
| Live Fish Movements | | |
| area or farm? | mstances when live fish may be introduced or re | |

| FHI 059, Version 12 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 08/10/2018 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Harvesting | | |
| 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable I | harvest practices on farms in the area or indi | ividual farms? |
| Fallowing | | |
| 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by date when a farm or area may be restocked | y which the area or individual farm will be falled | ow and the earliest Y |
| 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement? | e or more year classes may be stocked onto | sites covered by the Y |
| 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement? | oodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke | pt on any site |
| Point of Compliance for Farm Managen | nent Agreements Only | |
| 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement? | nt include arrangements for persons to beco | ome, or cease to be, N/A |
| Management and operation | | |
| 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op | perated in accordance with the agreement or | r statement? |
| 26. What is the version no/date of issue of | f the FMAg/S? 18/04/2019 | |

Case No: 2020-0060 Site No: FS0608 Date of visit: 19/02/2020

| Start date: | | Size of fish: | weight of affected population: | Species: | Yearclass: | Timescale | Mortality rate recorded(%): | Explained/ unexplained: | If explained, select reason(s): |
|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 03/02/20 | 09/02/2020 | ≥750g | 3 KG | SAL | 2019 S1 | Weekly | 1.21 | Explained | Treatment |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | + |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| If unexplained, select observations: | | Additional information (e.g. action taken by company): | Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable): |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| | 5,337 | | Collected during site inspection 19/02/20 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Case No: | 2020-0060 | | | Date of visit: | 19/02/2020 | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|------|----------------------|
| Site No: | FS0608 | l | | Inspector: | | l | | |
| Results Summary | Freq. | | | Da | te of Notificat | tion | | |
| , | | Database | Insp | | Insp | Writing | Insp | 2 nd Insp |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Report Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Case Type | Date | Insp | 2 nd Insp | | | | | |
| ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD | 21/02/2020 | | 2 11130 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS0608 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 19/02/2020 SITE NAME Vidlin North CASE NO 20200060

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 21/02/2020