FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 08/10/2018				
Case No: 2020-0061				Date of visit: 1	9/02/2020				
Time spent on site:	hrs	_	Main Inspector:	:					
Site No: FS0407 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Wick of Vatsette Cooke Aquacultu		1					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 7.7	Thermometer No:	T148	_	FHI 045 complete	ed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	S-3				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Current stock arrived on site 22 - 24/01/2020. Fish hard to see from walkway around pen as they were keeping low in the water due to recently being transferred to site and it also being a sunny day. Those that I could see appeared in good condition and were coming up for feed. Sea lice levels have been above reporting level over the last few weeks but below the intervention level. Treatment has been delayed due to persistent bad weather but no physical damage was noted. The fish taken for VMD sampling appeared in very good condition externally and internally upon examination.

Mortality levels above reporting level in 2019 on wk 37 (3.13%) & wk 39 - 43 (1.49%, 1.55%, 3.83%, 1.77%, 2.54%) across whole site. This was due to gill health issues. Levels dropped below 1% wk 44 and remained below for remainder the production cycle. Company health manager notified and FHI also notified of situation.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018	
Case No:	2020-0061]	Site No:	FS0407]				
Date of Visit:		19/02/2020	0		Inspector(s):]	
Registration/Authornamental 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y Y	}	
Site Details									
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	16	
Species .	SAL								
Age group	19 S1								
No Fish	201,000								
Mean Fish Wt	2.6 Kg								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Oct. 2020		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Nov/Dec.	2020		
Recent (last 4 wks) If yes, detail:	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
•									
 Movement record Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp 	Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?								
Transport Records 1. Are any movement of the second secon	nts carried ou			•	_			N	
Mortality Records									
 Mortality records How are mortalitie 		•			Whole fish -	T\\/\M\\ /Sh/	otland)	Y	
If other detail:	es disposed o	1:			WITOIC IISIT -	T VVIVIA (SITE	cuariu)		
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	tered?					Y	
4. Recent mortality (•	·		0.17%), wk 6 -	583 (0.29%),	wk 7 - 340 ((0.17%)		
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical morta	lities?					N	
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:					
								•	
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:								Y	
	If yes, detail: see additional comments 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?								
If yes, detail action:									
. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.									

FHI 059, Version 12	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
				. N
1. Recent treatments (la	ast 4 wks)?			N
If yes, detail:				
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records av	vailable for inspection?			V
	e and correctly entered?			Ÿ
4. Are fish in a withdraw	•			Y
5. If yes, what treatmen	•	T.M.S.		
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines stored	appropriately?			Y
Biosecurity Records				
Biosecurity records a	available for inspection?			Y
•	frequency of mortality removal, re	ecording and safe dispos	al been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and	period in which the APB will notify	y Scottish Ministers or ve	terinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained	d) mortality at the site been include	ed?		Y
				V
	vill be taken in the event that the priled and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be	•	•	'
	s of aquaculture animals being sto			Y
health status, certification		cked on the fairn site be	en covered (equal of higher	
Trouiti Status, SSI III SI	511 II 164454).			
6. Have the husbandry	and biosecurity measures implem	nented between each epig	demiological unit to minimise	Y

If no, detail:

aquaculture animals held on site?

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried	out by, or on behalf of, the business?
--	--

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of

- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

28/06/2016 - 18/02/2020

	ni 059, version 12							153	sueu by. i	111			
	Case no:	2020-00	061	Site No:		FS0407			Date of Samplin		19/0	02/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:1	0:00	12:4	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	р.	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Г	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	2.6000	2.6000	2.6000									
	Sex	N/A		N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Ness of Copister (FS1043)	Ness of Copister (FS1043)	Ness of Copister (FS1043)									
S	I acility NO	2	3	12									

Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 08/10/2018	
Case Number:	2020-0061		Site No:	FS0407		Insp:		
Date of Visit	19/02/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26		
Species .	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0	
Movements off			0			10		
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0			10	0	
Exposure via water	irtainiber or des	Site contacts						
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through						
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				igwdow	
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or in a coastal zone with category III					\vdash	
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		igsquare	
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	1	8			
	iaims apstream	TOT WILLIEF T LIGHT EXCULSION	<u>'</u>	-	٥			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0					
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1	
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				ш	
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status							
	Processing fish from Category III farm							
	Processing fish from Category ∨ farm							
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	ī				
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				-	
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			-	
CoGP/Regulator				•				
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0	
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		2	1			┝─┤	
code of practice				J				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0	
	No		2					
					Total		q	
					Rank		LOW	

Case No:	2020-0061		Site No	o:	FS0407				
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)								
•	• •	s in the previous 4 years	?				N		
2. Is the CoGP Farm Ma	anagement Area (or e	equivalent) fallowed syn	chronously on a sing	le ye	ear class basis?		N		
azamethiphos and ema	mectin benzoate) as	enced in-feed and bath well as access to suitab				ıres, and	Υ		
can these be deployed 4. Is there a signed doc Management Area (or e	umented farm manag	ement agreement or sta	tement relevant to th	ne sit	e and CoGP Farm		Υ		
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)									
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)									
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)									
		<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish od that records are insp		or a	bove (prior to w/b 10/	/6/19) or	Υ		
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish H	Health Inspectorate? If n	o, FHI see comment	i.			Υ		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	tation at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welfare pr	roble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	5.3.50)	N		
•		istered or other actions t elongatus is considered					Υ		
11. Has any other action	n been taken (where a	applicable)?					N/A		
12. Have therapeutic tre	eatments or the action	is taken had a significan	t impact upon the lice	e lev	els recorded?		Υ		
13. Are treatments, whe	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation betw	een participating far	ms?			Υ		
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms? 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?									
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?									
16. Do the sea lice leve	ls observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count da	ata? If no please deta	ail re	asons.		Υ		
							=		
Containment Inspection	on								
1. Has the site experien	ced equipment dama	ge due to predators in th	ne current or previous	s pro	duction cycles?		N		
2. Are measures in place	e to mitigate against t	the predation experience	ed on site? (Detail be	elow)			Υ		
Seal Pro netting, Ten	sioned Nets, Top Ne	ets							
If other, detail below:									
	-tu -v-u-t- huv-u		similar of the site simes	a #la a	last Elli inspection?		N		
f Yes proceed with que		perienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site since	e me	ilast Fri inspection?		.,		
4. Have these been rep	•	•							
		orthwith (where they exis							
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where they e	exist))? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)			
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	I						
B. If aill nets were deplo	oved was this action ac	greed with local wild fish	interests and was no	ermi	ssion given by Scottis	sh			
Ministers? (Legal, CoGI	P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)								
		imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not covere	ed in	code but could				
be considered under 10. Is the site inspected	•	res of the Act) egards to containment?	If no. please detail re	easo	n(s)		Υ		
		J	, p. care a cual le		· /				

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 12

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0061	Site No: FS0407	
Date of Visit: 19/02/2020	0 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for i 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the releva 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fa 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date o 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date o 	int farm management area? Irm site(s) to which it applies? If commencement of the agreement or stateme If review?	Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managements	gement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minim farm?	um health standards for the stocks to be introd	uced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec	nation requirements for stocks held in the area of ties of fish which may be stocked into the area of imum stocking density of any pen on any farm i	or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of any dearm?	ead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arranger	ments for the sharing of data on sea lice number	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms cover	red by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of available t	
	mstances under which biological controls and o	cleaner fish are to be
	ngements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or ingements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	dual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow	/ and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	tes covered by the Y
-	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ment Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ent include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and o	operated in accordance with the agreement or st	tatement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue of	of the FMAg/S? 17/01/2020	

Site No: FS0407

Case No: 2020-0061

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0061	Date of visit: 19/02/2020							
Site No:	FS0407	ı		Inspector:		l			
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
							-		
	+								
	+	-							
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI/CNI/SLI/VMD	24/02/2020								





Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd Crowness Road Hatston Kirkwall, Orkney KW15 1RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0095Date of Visit18/02/2020Site NoFS0407Site NameWick of VatsetterInspectorCase No20200061

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 24/02/2020