FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0062			Date of visit: 18/02/2020
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspect	tor:
Site No: FS1335 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.3	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	ermation/clinical score sheet. ermation/clinical score sheet. ermation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Recent mortalities due to physical damage from bad weather and seals scaring fish, making them burrow. On inspection of stock on site, could see mild physical damage on the heads and on the flanks, but vast majority of the fish appeared in good condition. One to two moribund fish close to the surface per pen but were swimming away on closer inspection.

Morts disposed of by Pelagia Shetland Ltd. Allowed to use Waste to Energy incinerator in Lerwick if less than 1 tonne/week. Arrangements and storage of dead fish not in FMS but is in waste management plan. Nothing in FMS regarding sensitivity testing but is in VHP. Biological controls are in FMS but doesn't include under what circumstances they will be used under. Site manager says that they are planned to be used as a routine prevention measure and will be introduced at the start of each cycle.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 08/10/2018	
Case No:	2020-0062		Site No:	FS1335	5				
Date of Visit:		18/02/2020	5		Inspector(s):]	
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N	}	
Site Details									
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12	
Species	SAL	LUM							
Age group	19 S0	2019							
No Fish	891,357	~44000							
Mean Fish Wt	181 g	20g							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Jun/July 202	21	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Oct/Nov. 2	021		
Recent (last 4 wks) of the second (last 4 wks) o	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
Movement Records 1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement records comp 6. Are health certificator Transport Records 1. Are any movement fyes, is there a system Mortality Records 1. Mortality records 3. Mortality records 4. Mortality records 5. Mortality records 6. Mortality records	ls available for oction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd onts carried out tem in place for	ectly entered' le for dead fis ectly entered' ductions (outv t by (or on be for maintenan	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	_		first inspecti	y ion N Y Y N/A	
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail))			
	see additiona								
Mortality records of the second	(last 4 wks): nt increased/a	atypical morta	Wk. 4 - 1829 13,055 (1.45 alities?	9 (0.4%), wk 5 5%). All put dov //reason:					
,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period cl	hecked?					N	
If yes, detail:									
·	7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?								
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality ev	onto' boon ro	norted to EU	12 If no add N	APT case and	ontor on morts	lity evente s	hoot	N/A	
o. Have mortality ev	ents been le	ported to riff	i: ii iio, auu iv	iixi case and i	enter on morta	mily events s	HICCL.	13//	

2. Medicines records available for inspection?	ľ
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	Y
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Y
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	Y
Results of Surveillance	
 Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? If yes, are results available for inspection? 	Y
	N N
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 1/12/2019 - 18/02/2020	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI Date of issue:						: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2020-0062		Site No:	FS1335		Insp:	
Date of Visit	18/02/2020		No of movements/supp./dest.				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup		0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	*	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		ш
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		ш
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∀ farm	10				\Box
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5	1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			ш
Biosecurity		Number of sites	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Το	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				Н
CoGP/Regulator				ı			
Practices in accordance	Yes		1 0]			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				ш
					Total Rank		19 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 08/10/2
Case No:	2020-0062		Site No:	FS1335	
Sea Lice Inspection (S 1. Has the site experien	Seawater Sites Only) need sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Ma	anagement Area (or equivalent) fallow	ved synchronously o	n a single y	ear class basis?	Υ
azamethiphos and ema can these be deployed	ccess to a range of licenced in-feed ar mectin benzoate) as well as access to in a reasonable period of time? cumented farm management agreemen	o suitable biological	and/or med	hanical control measures, and	Y
Management Area (or e	equivalent)?			nte and Coor Fami	1
	cords available for inspection? (Legal	·			Y
·	ly reflect the required standard specifi		•	•	Y
records are inspected?	,				Υ
2 or above (from w/b 10	emale sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 0/6/19) during the period that records a reported to the Fish Health Inspectora	are inspected?		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N/A
•	•				
9. Is C. elongatus infes	tation at a level which is considered to	cause significant w	енаге ргорі	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
•	eatments been administered or other a eatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cons				N/A
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where applicable)?				Υ
12. Have therapeutic tre	eatments or the actions taken had a si	gnificant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried out in cooperati	ion between participa	ating farms	?	Υ
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fewer por	pulations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatment for	Υ
	fic written lice management procedure calation of a sea lice infestation?	with waypoints desc	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	ΙΥ
16. Do the sea lice leve	ls observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no ple	ease detail r	easons.	Υ
Containment Inspection				radication avalage	N
•	ced equipment damage due to predat			*	N
•	ce to mitigate against the predation exp	perienced on site? (L	Detail below	/)	Y
	ensioned Nets, Top Nets				
If other, detail below:					
3. Have escape incider	nts or events been experienced on or i	in the vicinity of the s	site since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10				
	orted to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB forthwith (where the	hey exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)	
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO and local fisheries	trusts forthwith (whe	re they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail			
9. If all note were deals	word was this setion agreed with least	wild fish interests an	d was narr	pission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	yed was this action agreed with local v P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	wiiu iisii iiileresis an	u was perm	iission given by Scottish	
, •	en to prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the Act)				
	as satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0062	Site No: FS1335	
Date of Visit: 18/02/2020	0 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
 Is the current FMAg/S available for it Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fance Does the FMAg/S identify the date on Does the FMAg/S identify the date on 	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? If commencement of the agreement or statemen If review?	Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag	gement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minim farm?	um health standards for the stocks to be introdu	iced to the area or
 Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccin Does the FMAg/S identify the special 	nation requirements for stocks held in the area of ies of fish which may be stocked into the area of mum stocking density of any pen on any farm in	r farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of any de arm?	ad fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen	ments for the sharing of data on sea lice number	rs and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms covere	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of available trarms?	reatments for sea
	mstances under which biological controls and c	
	ngements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or rengements for the movement of live fish on and o	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify accepta	ble harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	dual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the date date when a farm or area may be rest	es by which the area or individual farm will be fallow cocked?	v and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	tes covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether covered by the agreement or statement	broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept nt?	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Mana	gement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agree parties to the agreement?	ement include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed an	nd operated in accordance with the agreement or st	tatement?
26. What is the version no/date of issu	ue of the FMAg/S? Issued 15/01/2019	

Site No: FS1335
Case No: 2020-0062
Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0062			Date of visit:	18/02/2020			
0430 110.	2020 0002			Date of Visit.	10/02/2020			
Site No:	FS1335			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
ŕ	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
					-			
	 							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI/ CNI/ SLI	24/02/2020							





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS1335

INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 18/02/2020

SITE NAME Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe

CASE No 20200062

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS numbers must be recorded in the source/destination section of the movement record book, to allow for better traceability of stocks. It was discussed with the site manager that this would be recorded in future. No further action is required.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: Date: 24/02/2020
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter