FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2	2018					
Case No: 2020-0098			Date of visit: 26/02/2020						
Time spent on site:	h	Main	Inspector:						
Site No: FS0234 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Torridon Mowi Scotland Ltd		∃					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 7.5	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed	コ					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-17						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

No records of lumpfish offsite maintained as numbers are not known, the numbers are counted and recorded at Mallaig harvest station along with records of wild fish that have been transferred.

Lumpfish mortalities increased December 2018 (5168 no diagnosis), January 2019 (4031 No diagnosis), February 2019 (5332 atypical furunculosis) and March 2019 (5143 atypical furunculosis), April 2019 (5168,(no diagnosis), florfenicol had been administered.

Lumpfish morts 544 last four weeks

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI Date of issue:								
Case No:	2020-0098		Site No:	FS0234						
Date of Visit:		26/02/2020]		Inspector(s):]		
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	ative?			y n			
Site Details										
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	10		
Species	SAL	LUM								
Age group	2019Q4	adult								
No Fish	903,121	53,504								
Mean Fish Wt	600g	30g								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	June 2021		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	November	2021			
Recent (last 4 wks) of If yes, detail:	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	n		
Movement Records	6									
Movement record		r inspection?						Y		
2. Date of last inspec	ction:						29/08/2018			
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					N		
4. Are movement red								N/A		
Are records comp		•						N/A		
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A		
Transport Records										
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N		
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records	?					
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalitie		•			Incinerated -	on site				
If other detail:	·									
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		1059/site (0.	12%)						
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N		
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:						
6. Any other peaks in		-						Y		
see additional comments also wk 42 2018 11169 (1.41%) gill infection physical damage, wk 39 201 7596 (0.95%) treatment losses physical damage										
7. Have increased (u								Y		
If yes, detail action:										
If yes, detail action: Company biologist took samples 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.										

yee, we talk		
If other, detail: TMS		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	ce TMS	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Discourity Beaards		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	n and asfa diamagal bases assaidanted?	У
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording		У
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottis	sh Ministers or Veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		У
4	i-i £ Al £ - li-k-d di	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence		
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifie		V
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked or	n the farm site been covered (equal or higher	- 1
health status, certification if required)?		
G. United the historian and historianity management in minutes and historianity	antivorum anala amiala minda sina la unit ta mainimina	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to aquaculture animals held on site?	o maintain the physical containment of	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented.	ed on cite?	
If no, detail:	eu on site :	
ii iio, detaii.		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of the business?	Υ
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	zonan on, and zaomoso.	Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
ii yes, detaii (ii not detailed under recent disease problems).		

29/08/2018 to 26/2/2020 Records checked between:

	11 059, VEISION 12							155	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2020-00	98	Site No:		FS0234			Date of Samplin		26/	02/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		lg. HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:3	0:00	13:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD N	o.	20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL
	Average weight	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g	600g
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	O Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan	∞ Glenfinnan	∞ Glenfinnan	∞ Glenfinnan	A Loch Garry	Loch Garry	Loch Garry	A Loch Garry	N Loch Garry	Loch Garry
S	r acinty 140	10	10	10	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	2	2

	Additional Sample Information:												
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l							
13													
SAL													
600g													
N/A													
SW													
Loch Garry													

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI				Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2020-0098		:	Site No:	FS0234		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/02/2020		Ī	No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements				0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS		0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone	e or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	-	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	$\overline{}$	0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of des		-	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site cont	tacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category n or within 1 tidal excursion		1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category n or within 1 tidal excursion		1	3	6		ш
		or in a coastal zone with category n or within 1 tidal excursion	' \	1	4	8		ш
Management practices			1	None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent wa	aters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro			0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)		1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status		2				
	Processing fish equivalent state		4					
		from Category III farm		8				
	Processing fish	rfrom Category ∨ farm		10				\Box
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.		0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms		3				ш
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms		5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	$\overline{}$	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	\neg	5				-
Biosecurity		Number of	sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase		0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment		0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		П	0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		\neg	1				\vdash
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes			0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No			3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			0				0
	No			2				
						Total Rank		25 MEDIUM

		_			
Case No:	2020-0098		Site No	o: FS0234	
•	Seawater Sites Only)	s in the previous 4 years	۶۶		N
•	•	quivalent) fallowed syn		le vear class hasis?	Y
				(including deltamethrin,	· •
azamethiphos and ema can these be deployed	amectin benzoate) as in a reasonable period	well as access to suitab	le biological and/or n	mechanical control measures	s, and
Management Area (or		· · ·			
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the CoGP	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6	S) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	•	low the suggested criter	ria for treatment in the	e CoGP during the period the	at N
		<i>monis</i>) numbers per fisl od that records are insp		or above (prior to w/b 10/6/	19) or N
If yes, have these beer	n reported to the Fish H	Health Inspectorate? If r	o, FHI see comment		N/A
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infe	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welfare pr	roblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.	50) N
				nis levels have exceeded th ications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.	
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where a	applicable)?			Y
12. Have therapeutic tr	reatments or the action	s taken had a significar	t impact upon the lice	e levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, wh	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation bety	veen participating far	ms?	Y
		·		are held without treatment f	or y
	ific written lice manage scalation of a sea lice i		aypoints describing s	et actions to deal with recog	nised y
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please deta	ail reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspecti	ion				
•		ge due to predators in t	ne current or previous	s production cycles?	N
		the predation experience	•	•	Y
ADD	Top nets	Sinker tubes	MML	,	
If other, detail below:	·	Chiller tubes	IVIIVIE		
ir other, detail below.					
3. Have escape incide	ents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site since	e the last FHI inspection?	N
f Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No skip	o to question 10		·	
4. Have these been rep	ported to Scottish Minis	sters?			
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	5.4.17)	
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.	17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give deta	il		
8 If all note were deal	oved was this action as	greed with local wild fick	interests and was n	ermission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG		greed with local wild list	rinterests and was p	Cimission given by Scottisti	
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and mini	imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not covere	ed in code but could	
be considered unde	r satisfactory measu	res of the Act)			
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with re	egards to containment?	If no, please detail re	eason(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0098	Site No: FS0234	
Date of Visit: 26/02/202	Inspector: DJT	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	I within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	pletion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for i4. Does the FMAg/S identify the releva5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fa	ant farm management area? arm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statemen of review?	Y Y Y
	num health standards for the stocks to be introduced	ced to the area or
 Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccir Does the FMAg/S identify the special 	nation requirements for stocks held in the area or cies of fish which may be stocked into the area or imum stocking density of any pen on any farm in	farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrar fish farm in the area or the individual f	ngements for the storage and disposal of any dea farm?	ad fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arranger	ments for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers	s and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	lability and the use of medicines on farms covere	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	uirements for the sensitivity testing of available tre	eatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circu used on farms in the area or individual	umstances under which biological controls and cle I farms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrar	ngements for synchronous treatments on farms v	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	umstances when live fish may be introduced or re	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of Issue: 08/10/20
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates date when a farm or area may be restoo	by which the area or individual farm will be fa	llow and the earliest y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	o sites covered by the
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether be covered by the agreement or statement?	roodstock or potential broodstock are to be keep?	ept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	nent include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and	operated in accordance with the agreement of	or statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue	of the FMAg/S? 19/10/2019	

Case No: 2020-0098 Site No: FS0234 Date of visit: 26/02/2020

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass:	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
15/10/18	21/10/2018	≥750g	2.7kg	SAL	2017q4	Weekly	1.41	Explained	Gill issues, Physical damage
			_						
	+		+			_			

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):
	11169	Physical damage associated to treatment	

Case No:	2020-0098			Date of visit:	26/02/2020			
Site No:	FS0234	1		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
5								
Report Summary Case Type								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI,CINI,SLI,VIVID	10/03/2020							
Case completion	30/03/2020							





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 26/02/2020

 Site No
 FS0234
 Site Name
 Torridon

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200098

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 8/4/2020 Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 30/03/2020
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 26/02/2020

 Site No
 FS0234
 Site Name
 Torridon

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200098

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

Records of movements of cleaner fish offsite were not maintained, it is understood that
records are kept at the Mallaig harvest station. Confirmation of the number of cleaner fish
transferred should be sought from the harvest station and a record maintained.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements, containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Date: 10/03/2020