| Case No: 2020-0117 | Date of visit: 10/03/2020 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time spent on site: 2 hours | Main Inspector: |
| Site No: FS0708 Site Name: | Portree |
| Business No: FB0169 Business Name: | The Scottish Salmon Company |
| Case Types: 1 REG 2 CNI 3 SLI | 4 VMD 5 |
| Water Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): 7.6 Thermometer No: | Site FHI 045 completed |
| Observations: Region: HI | Water type: S CoGP MA M-26 |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? | N/A If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. |
| Clinical signs of disease observed? | N/A If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. |
| Gross pathology observed? | N/A If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. |
| Diagnostic samples taken? |  |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below: |  |

[^0]
## Additional Case Information:

Fish went to sea in September 2018.
$11 \%$ stocking of lump fish - from Anglesey and ROI.- stocked primarily due to farmed origin.
hydroliced - end feb reported 98-100\% clearance.
Movement book input of lumpfish, 11/12/2019 from ROI not in movement book. Added during visit.
Morts ensiled on barge, removed by Ferguson. 5 removals this cycle. Taken to Energen Biogas in Cumbernauld. Live harvest to Arnish

Morts,2020; wk 7768 fish 0.14\%, wk8 2091, 0.25\%, wk9 1005, 0.20\% (post treatment), wk 10 422, 0.09\%

23/9-29/9/19 last Slice treatment only on Portree due to different discharge consents as Portree Outer is a new site with new consents,

Routine health checks every 2 weeks by own health team.
Unable to inspect pens due to adverse weather. Fish had been collected from yesterdays harvest and stored at the site shore base for flesh quality sampling by TSSC and EWOS. Pen numbers were recorded. Samples were taken from these fish for VMD sampling.


1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks )? If yes, detail: T.M.S.

If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

TMS
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

## Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease $\square$ is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:
unable to inspect due to weather

## Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
AGD but not causing issues on site.
Records checked between:
18/2/18-11/3/20


## Add Fish/Pools - click

|  | Pool/Fish No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish nos | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pool Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Species | SAL | SAL | SAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average weight | 3 kg | 3 kg | 3 kg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Water Type | SW | SW | SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stock Origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | Facility No | 1 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis ) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when $L$. salmonis levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where $C$. elongatus is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)

11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.
unable to inspect site due to weather

## Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Top nets seal blinds ADDs
If other, detail below:
looking to get seal pro nets next cycle
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FH inspection?

If Yes proceed with questions $4-9$. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)
unable to inspect site due to weather

| Case No: | 2020-0117 | Site No: | FSO708 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date of Visit: | $\square 10 / 03 / 2020$ | Inspector: $\square$ |  |

## Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N , no further questions require completion.

## Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?


## Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

## Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area
 or individual farms?

## Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

## Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only
24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation
25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Site No: FS0708
Case No: 2020-0117
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

| Case No: | 2020-0117 | Date of visit: 10/03/2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site No: | FS0708 | Inspector: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results Summary | Freq. | Date of Notification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Database | Insp | Phone | Insp | Writing | Insp | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Insp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Report Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case Type | Date | Insp | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Insp |
| Reg,CNI, SLI, VMD | $19 / 03 / 2020$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT 

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169<br>Site No FS0708<br>INSPECTOR<br>$\square$

Date OF Visit 11/03/2020<br>Site Name Portree<br>Case No 20200117

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was visited, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted due to adverse weather. Paperwork was completed and samples were taken from previously harvested fish for veterinary residue testing.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. The input of lump fish from the Republic of Ireland on the $11^{\text {th }}$ December 2019 had not been recorded in the movement book. This was added and no further action is required.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.
No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented. However an inspection of the fish and pens was not possible to confirm procedures on site.

## Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately
Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site paperwork was inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site paperwork was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes. An inspection of the fish and pens was not possible to confirm procedures on site.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 19/03/2020
Fish Health Inspector
The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter


[^0]:    Unable to inspect pens due to adverse weather

