| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Case No: 2020-0336 | | | Date of visit: 25/08/2020 |
| Time spent on site: | 5 hrs | Main Insp | pector: |
| Site No: FS1287 Business No: FB0440 | Site Name: Business Name: | Corlarach Grieg Seafood Shetland L | td |
| Case Types: 1 ECI 2 | SLI 3 CNI | 4 VMD 5 REP | 6 |
| Water Temp (°C): | Thermometer No: | T153 | FHI 045 completed |
| Observations: | Region: HI | Water type: S | CoGP MA M-25 |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? | • | N If yes, see additional i | information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry | out intended visit detail | reason below: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Additional Case Information:

Decision made to harvest site, due to sea lice and gill health issues, plan to be fallow by November, but possibly sooner. Jellyfish bloom has also affected Corlarach in addition to neighbouring site Leinish.

Mortality information:

Week 33 - 15250- 6.64% Week 32 - 34000 - 13%

Week 31 - 11,975 - 4.43%

Week 30 - 3311 - 1.19

Sea lice information

Week 34 - 8.31

Week 33 - No count - bad weather

Week 32 - 5.39

Week 31 - 3.79

week 30 - 2.98

week 29 - No count

week 28 - 0.77

Week 27 - 0.53

Remote paperwork inspection by - 21/08/20

Dunvegan pier. Mortality events had been reported to FHI.

No moribund or lethargic fish observed, however, low stocking densities in most cages on site as site was harvesting all cages to fallow, no intention to use site again. Ferguson transport boat on site at time of inspection removing mortalities, these were going directly in to tankers on the Ferguson boat. Large number of dead observed floating on surface of one cage, which was being emptied by Ferguson boat and divers. Due to COVID-19 physical distancing requirements, this cage was not accessed. It was reported that CGD and jellyfish bloom were cause of moralities.

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | | Issu | ed by: FHI | | | Date of issue | e: 12/05/2020 |
|--|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Case No: | 2020-0336 | | Site No: | FS1287 |] | | | |
| Date of Visit: | | 25/08/2020 |] | | Inspector(s): | | | 1 |
| Registration/Autho | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Business/site deta | | checked by si | ite representa | ative? | | | N | |
| 2. Changes made to | details? | | | | | | N | |
| Site Details (includ | e cleaner fis | h for all secti | ions) | | | | | |
| Total No facilities | | 10 | Facilities sto | cked | 6 | No facilities | s inspected | 10 |
| Species | SAL | | | | | | | |
| Age group | S0's | | | | | | | |
| No Fish | 160,800 | | | | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt | 1.7 Kg | | | | | | | |
| Next Fallow Date (S | | November 20 | 020 | Next Input Da | ite (Site) | August 202 | 1 - Under rev | view. |
| Recent (last 4 wks) | disease probl | | | | Any escapes | | | N |
| If yes, detail: | CGD | | | | | | | |
| M | | | | | | | | |
| Movement Records | | r inanaction? | | | | | | V |
| 1. Movement record | | inspection? | | | | | 06/07/2020 | , |
| Date of last inspeAre records comp | | actly aptorod? | • | | | | 00/01/2020 | V |
| Are records comp Are movement re | | • | | , | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Are records compAre health certific | | • | | able? | | | | N/A |
| o. / wo moduli oorumo | | actionic (cath | , OD, avano | | | | | |
| Transport Records | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Are any movemen | nts carried out | by (or on bel | half) of the bu | isiness (not usi | ing a STB)? | | | N |
| If yes, is there a sys | tem in place f | or maintenan | ce of transpor | rtation records | ? | | | |
| Mortality Records | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Mortality records | available for in | nspection? | | | | | | Y |
| 2. How are mortalitie | es disposed o | f? | | | Whole fish - | Dundas Che | micals | |
| If other detail: | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records | complete and | correctly ente | ered? | | | | | Y |
| 4. Recent mortality (| last 4 wks): | | See addition | al information | | | | |
| 5. Evidence of recer | | | | | | | | Y |
| If yes, facility nos/no | mortality per | facility/no sto | ck per facility | /reason: | | | ' | |
| Mortality across all p | ens. | | | | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks i | | ring period ch | ecked? | | | | | N |
| If yes, detail: | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Have increased (t | ınexplained) ı | mortalities bed | en reported to | vet or FHI? | | | | Y |
| If yes, detail action: | | Site visit from | m vets and Fl | HI to be condu | cted. | | | |
| 8. Have 'mortality ev | ents' been re | ported to FHI | ? If no, enter | details on mort | ality events sh | neet. | | Y |
| | | | | | | | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Treatments and Medicines Records | | |
| 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? | | Y |
| If yes, detail: Peroxide | AMX TMS | |
| If other, detail: FW | | |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspectio | n? | Y |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly enter | ed? | Y |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? | | Y |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? | TMS | |
| If other, detail: | | |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? | | Y |
| Biosecurity Records | | |
| Biosecurity records available for inspection | on? | Y |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortal | | en considered? |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the | APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterin | |
| increased (unexplained) mortality at the sit | | 1 |
| Has the action that will be taken in the even is detected been included and how and who | | |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture anin health status, certification if required)? | | |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity mea | · | |
| transmission of disease been covered (mov | · · · | |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the aquaculture animals held on site? | measures in place to maintain the physica | l containment of Y |
| 8. Have the biosecu <u>rity procedures been ac</u> | lequately implemented on site? | Y |
| lf no, detail: | | |
| | | |

- 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

27/07/2020 - Prominent gill pathology is event in most fish. Evidence of recent exposure to water borne irritant, Consistent lesions related to AGD. Gill PGD damage associated with jellyfish bloom.

Records checked between:

| | ii 055, version 15 | | | | | | | 133 | ucu by. | | | | |
|---------|----------------------------|------------|---------|----------|------|--------|----------|-----|---------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | Case no: | 2020-03 | 336 | Site No: | | FS1287 | | | Date of | | 25/ | 08/2020 | 25/0 |
| | Priority samples: | VI | | ВА | | PA | | MG | Samplin | ig: HI | | l | |
| | Time sampling starts/ends: | 14:3 | 0:00 | 15:0 | 0:00 | | Inspecto | or: | | | VMD No | o. | 6 |
| | Environmental conditions: | 1 | Indoors | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | | |
| | Summary samples | HIST | | ВА | | MG | | VI | | PA | | Total Sa | amples |
| Δ | dd Fish/Pools - click | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool/Fish No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fish nos | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool Group Species | SAL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Average weight | 2.2000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sex | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Water Type | SW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Water Type | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ဟ | | oe Qe | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Details | | a T | | | | | | | | | | | |
| De | | ח | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 상 | Stock Origin | Gob na Hoe | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock | Facility No | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 08/2020 | Addition | nal Sam | ple Infor | mation: | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | ı | Total To | ests ass | ianed | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | | Date of | of issue | : 12/05/2020 |
|--|-------------------|---|----------|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Case Number: | 2020-0336 | | | FS1287 | | Insp: | |
| Date of Visit | 25/08/2020 | | | ovements/s | supp./dest. | | Score |
| Live fish movements | | | | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | Frequency of m | novements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| with GB) of susceptible species | | novements on from equivalent zone or | 0 | ۵ | 18 | 26 | 0 |
| Species | Number of sup | ncluding third country | 0 | | | 14 | 0 |
| 1 6 | | | | | | | 10 |
| Movements off | Frequency of m | | 0 | | 6 | 10 10 | 10 |
| Exposure via water | Number of des | Site contacts | | | _ | | |
| Water contacts with other | Farm is protect | ed (secure water supply through | Ī | <u> </u> | | | |
| farms (holding species | disinfection or l | porehole) | 0 | | | | 0 |
| susceptible to same diseases) | | or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 2 | 4 | | 2 |
| , | | or in a coastal zone with category III | | _ | | | |
| | | n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | 0 |
| | | or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | ۰ | | 0 |
| | iainis upstream | TOT WILLIII T LIGAT EXCULSION | <u>'</u> | 4 | 0 | | U |
| Management practices | | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with processors | Any processing | plant discharging into adjacent waters | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm pro | cessing | 0 |] | | | 0 |
| the rules of the directive | Processing own | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | | | | 1 |
| | Processing fish | from MS of equivalent status | 2 | | | | 0 |
| | | from zone or compartment of | | 1 | | | |
| | equivalent statu | | 4 | | | | 0 |
| | | r from Category III farm | 8 | | | | 0 |
| | Processing fish | Trom Category V farm | 10 | | | | 0 |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | te only processed. | 0 | | | | 0 |
| products | Common proce | esses with other farms | 3 | | | | 3 |
| | Collection poin | t for waste from other farms | 5 | | | | 0 |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of u | inpasteurised feed | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| | Feeding unpas | teurised feed | 5 | 1 | | | 0 |
| Biosecurity | | Number of sites | 1 | 2 or 3 | ≥4 | | |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating | from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| | Sites sharing s | taff and equipment | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| Disinfection of equipment | Yes | | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| between sites, use of footbaths etc | No | | 1 | | | | 0 |
| CoGP/Regulator | | | | • | | | |
| Practices in accordance | Yes | | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| with regulator or industry code of practice | No | | 3 | | | | 0 |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | | 1 | | | 0 |
| and the second second | No | | 2 | | | | 0 |
| | | | | J | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | 21 |
| | | | | | Rank | | MEDIUM |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of iss | ue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Case No: 2020-0336 | Site | No: FS1287 | |
| Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problem 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or each of the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as 4. Is there a signed documented farm management for the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as | s in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a si cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medicatio well as access to suitable biological and/o | ns (including deltamethrin, or mechanical control measures, and | N Y Y |
| Management Area (or equivalent)? | , | | |
| Are sea lice count records available for ins Do records adequately reflect the required | | GP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) | Y |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) | elow the suggested criteria for treatment in | the CoGP during the period that | N |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sal</i> If yes, have these been reported to the Fish If 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which 10. Have therapeutic treatments been admin suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C.</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, 15. Is there a site specific written lice manage 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks | Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comments is considered to cause significant welfare istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmelongatus</i> is considered to have welfare imapplicable)? Instaken had a significant impact upon the dout in cooperation between participating for where fewer populations or part populations ement procedure with waypoints describing | ent. problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) monis levels have exceeded the aplications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) lice levels recorded? farms? ns are held without treatment for g set actions to deal with recognised | Y N Y Y Y Y Y |
| Containment Inspection | | | |
| Has the site experienced equipment dama Are measures in place to mitigate against | - | | Y Y |
| Seal pro nets Tensioned nets | top nets | | |
| If other, detail below: | | | |
| Have escape incidents or events been exif Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No ski Have these been reported to Scottish Mini Have these been reported to local DSFB for Have these been reported to the SSPO are | p to question 10 sters? orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.3 | 37, 5.4.17) | N |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc | capees? If yes give detail | | |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and min | imise the risk of further escapes? (Not cov | | |
| be considered under satisfactory measurements. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with its satisfactory wit | • | il reason(s) | Υ |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issu | ied by: FHI | Date | of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Case No: 2020-0336 | Site No: FS | 1287 | | |
| Date of Visit: 25/08/2 | 2020 Inspecto | or: | l | |
| Point of Compliance | | | | |
| 1. Is the farm under inspection loca | ted within a farm manage | ement area? | | Υ |
| If N, no further questions require co | empletion. | | | |
| Points of Compliance for Both Fa 2. Has a current farm management 3. Is the current FMAg/S available fa 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the rel- 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fisl 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the da 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the da | agreement or statement for inspection? evant farm management in farm site(s) to which it a te of commencement of the | t (FMAg/S) been prep area? applies? | pared? | Y Y Y Y Y |
| Arrangements for Fish Health Ma 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the min farm? | | for the stocks to be i | ntroduced to the area or | Y |
| Does the FMAg/S identify the variable. Does the FMAg/S identify the space of the FMAg/S identify the many individual farm? | pecies of fish which may | be stocked into the a | area or farm? | Y Y Y |
| 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the a fish farm in the area or the individu | | age and disposal of a | nny dead fish from any | Y |
| Arrangements for The Managements 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arran | | of data on sea lice no | umbers and treatments? | Y |
| 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the a of statement? | vailability and the use of | medicines on farms o | covered by the agreemen | t Y |
| 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any relice on farms in the area or individu | | itivity testing of availa | able treatments for sea | Y |
| 16. Does the FMAg/S identify the ciused on farms in the area or individ | | h biological controls | and cleaner fish are to be | Y |
| 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the a | | nous treatments on f | arms within the area? | Y |
| Live Fish Movements | | | | |
| 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the ciarea or farm? | rcumstances when live f | ish may be introduce | d or removed from the | Υ |
| 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the a or individual farms? | rrangements for the mov | ement of live fish on | and off sites in the area | Υ |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable | e harvest practices on farms in the area or indi | ividual farms? |
| Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by | by which the area or individual farm will be fallo | ow and the earliest |
| date when a farm or area may be restoc | ked? | |
| agreement or statement? | ne or more year classes may be stocked onto | sites covered by the Y |
| 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether br covered by the agreement or statement? | roodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke | pt on any site Y |
| Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement? | ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become | me, or cease to be, N/A |
| Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue | operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? | statement? Y |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Site No: FS1287

Case No: 2020-0336

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

| Case No: | 2020-0336 | | | Date of visit: | 25/08/202 | 0 | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|------|----------------|
| Site No: | FS1287 |] | | Inspector | | | | |
| D # 0 | - In- | | | | | | | |
| Results Summary | Freq. | Database | lnon | Phone | te of Notific | ation Writing | lnen | on |
| | | Dalabase | Insp | FIIOHE | Insp | willing | Insp | 2 ⁿ |
| | + | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | - | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | + | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Report Summary | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD | Date | Insp | 2 nd Insp | | | | | |
| ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD | 24/12/2020 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | i | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |





Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd Gremista Lerwick Shetland ZE1 OPX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOFB0440DATE OF VISIT25/08/2020SITE NOFS1287SITE NAMECorlarachINSPECTORSCASE NO20200336

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

A vet from Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) accompanied the Fish Health Inspectorate on the site inspection following reports of increased mortalities on site. APHA will issue a separate report for their inspection.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 24/12/20

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/