FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0347			Date of visit: 09/09/2020
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS1269 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Lochailort Recirculation Ha	atchery
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 VMD 3 MIX	4 CNI 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 14.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site generally receives 4 ova intakes per year from Ireland, Iceland and/or Norway. Current stock on site was received in 2 inputs from Iceland on 16/03/2020 and 11/05/2020.

Fish were vaccinated with Alphaject m6 and PD1 in June 2020.

Q1 Parr will be moved off site between January and March 2021 to be ongrown at Loch Lochy and Loch Arkaig.

Site details, mortality records, treatment and medicine records, biosecurity records and results of health surveillance checked by NYL via microsoft teams on 03/09/2020. Remainder of inspection carried out by WJM on 09/09/2020.

All fish on site appeared in good health. No clinical signs of disease noted and no gross pathology observed on internal examination of fish sampled for vmd.

Water temperature taken from site temperature monitoring system for biosecurity reasons. Full ECI inspection carried out but is non-compliant as water temperature currently being held at 14.5oC.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0347		Site No:	FS1269				
Date of Visit:		09/09/2020	1		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y]
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		56	Facilities sto	cked	19	No facilitie	s inspected	56
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	Parr	Fry						
No Fish	1,058,117	3,257,929						
Mean Fish Wt	30.6g	1.52g						
Next Fallow Date (Si		No plan.		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	November	2020	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,			· ·	Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:							,	
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		порескоп.					05/02/2019	
3. Are records comp		actly entered?	,				03/02/2013	Y
4. Are movement red		•						N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certifications		•		abla?				Υ
o. Are neall rectine	ales ioi iiiliou	uctions (outw	Illi GD) avalla	IDIE!				
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• '		•				Y
If yes, is there a syst	em in place to	or maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records	?			Υ
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	spection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed of	f?			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Wk35; 10,32	27 (0.24%), Wk	(34; 7,221 (0.1	6%), Wk33	; 10,566 (0.2	3%), Wk32;
5. Evidence of recen								N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
		• .		levins in one ha	atchery lost du	e to human	error that res	sulted in the
If yes, detail:		pump stoppin						
7. Have increased (•	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Me	adicines Pacards	
1. Recent treatments		Y
1. Necent treatments	Formalin,	•
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	
ii yes, detaii.	Cress	
If other, detail:	(Bronopol)	
·	Is available for inspection?	Y
	plete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
5. If yes, what treatm		
	Cress	
If other, detail:	(Bronopol)	
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	ds	
· ·	ds available for inspection?	Y
	and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
•	ined) mortality at the site been included?	Y
	at will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	cluded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
	atus of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	cation if required)?	
6. Have the husband	dry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	ease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals		
8. Have the biosecur	urity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
·		
Results of Surveilla		
	nealth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
· ·	s available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant res		N
If yes, detail (if not de	detailed under recent disease problems).	
	D	
K	Records checked between: 05/02/2019 - 03/09/2020	

	11 009, VEISIOII 13							100	ided by. i				
	Case no:	2020-03	347	Site No:		FS1269			Date of		09/0	09/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:4	5:00	16:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	о.	44
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РА		Total Sa	mples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-16	17-32	33-49	50-68	69-91	92-110	111-133	134-154				
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL		SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL				
	Average weight	0.0350	0.0350	0.0350	0.0350	0.0350	0.0350	0.0350	0.0350				
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW				
tock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	4- Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	\$ Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	& Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	15 Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)				
S	I domey 140	40	41	44	40	40	43	JI	JZ				

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infoi	mation:							
0	1	Total To	ests ass	signed	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0347		Site No:	FS1269		Insp:	
Date of Visit	09/09/2020		No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	Q
•	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
iviovernents on	Number of des		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of	4				
		rfrom Category III farm	8				
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	<u>.</u> 			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		34 HIGH
					Karik		111311

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0347	Site N	lo: FS1269
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed		gle vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to t	he site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGF	P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in th	ne CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. saln	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3	3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see commen	ıt.
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare p	oroblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where $\it C.e.$		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lie	ce levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating fa	rms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations	s are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints describing	set actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please de	tail reasons.
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	go due to produtore in the current or proviou	us production evelog?
Are measures in place to mitigate against the same and the same and the same are same as a same and the same are same as a same are same ar		
Rent o' kill Site indoors	le predation experienced on site: (Detail b	elow)
If other, detail below:		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	ce the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37	' , 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was p	permission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not cover	red in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	es of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail	reason(s) Y

Site No: FS1269

Case No: 2020-0347
Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Casa Na	2020 0247			Data	00/00/0	220						
Case No:	2020-0347	J		Date of vi	isit: 09/09/20	020						
Site No:	FS1269]		Inspec	tor:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification										
results Summary	1 164.	Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd				
					-	. 3						
	_											
		1										
	-											
Report Summary				7								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI/CNI/VMD	16/09/2020		2 11150									
MIX	08/10/2020)										
	00/10/2020											





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 DATE OF VISIT 09/09/2020
SITE NO FS1269 SITE NAME Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery
INSPECTOR CASE NO 20200347

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/