FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0380			Date of visit: 16/09/2020
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspe	etor:
Site No: FS1121 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.8	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Weather very calm during site inspection, very good visibility. Fish were shoaling well, deeper in the water. No current issues reported on site. New sea lice procedure, counting 100 fish per cage every week (where possible), also targetting cages for treatment where lice numbers have started to increase, very low level used to trigger treatment. All treatments have given 100% clearance so far. Extremely low trigger for teating, 0.01 adult females per cage.

Fish on site are smaller grade from Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe. Some lumpsuckers on site, but low level and no plans to add more.

No Issues noted on site and fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition.

No lice skirts installed on site due tides experienced there. SLICE treatment due to begin next week, caligus numbers have increased, but not causing any welfares issue.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2020-0380		Site No:	FS1121				
Date of Visit:		16/09/2020	ס		Inspector(s):			]
Registration/Autho  1. Business/site deta  2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y	-
Site Details								
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	10
Species	SAL	LUM						
Age group	2019 S0	2,019						
No Fish	415,165	1,444						
Mean Fish Wt	1.4kg	Mixed						
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	August 2021		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Oct 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks) of If yes, detail:	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N
Movement Records	s							
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		Порозна					07/11/2018	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement red								Y
<ol><li>Are records comp</li></ol>		•						Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail	)		
	Incinerated of							
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		23/08 - 195 f site.	for site, 30/08 -	- 291 for site,	06/09 - 511	for site, 13/09	9 - 198 for
5. Evidence of recen	•	typical morta						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring period of	hackad?					N/A
If yes, detail:	1 mortality du	ring period ci	leckeu:					TV// V
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add M	RT case and e	enter on morta	ality events s	sheet.	Y

,,	T.M.O., Theadard	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records	available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records comple	ete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdra	awal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatme	ent(s)? T.M.S., Azasure	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines store	ed appropriately?	Y
Diagonarity Booseds		
Biosecurity Records		V
•	s available for inspection?	T
	nd frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	'
	nd period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any ed) mortality at the site been included?	
increased (unexplain	ed) mortality at the site been included?	
4 Has the action that	will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	uded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	·
	us of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certifica	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
ricaliti status, certinoe	mon in required):	
6 Have the husbandr	ry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	se been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	evailable regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals h		
•	ty procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	,	
_		
Results of Surveilla	nce	
1. Has any animal hea	alth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
•	vailable for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant resu		N
•	tailed under recent disease problems).	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Nov 2018 to 16/09/2020 Records checked between:

- 1	11 009, Version 12							155	ueu by. F	ш			
	Case no:	2020-03	380	Site No:		FS1121			Date of v		16/0	09/2020	16/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	0:00	14:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	). 	16
	Environmental conditions:	1	Sunny	2	Calm	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI	F	PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1	2	3	4								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	1.4000	1.4000	1.4000	1.4000								
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
		у	у	у	у								
		Dur	Dury	Dury	Dui								
		ζ,	Skerry,	۷, ا	ζ,								
		Geri	eri	Skerry,	eri								
Details	1	ळॅ	ळॅ	Š	ळॅ								
)et	1	rta	rta	rta	rta								
$\overline{}$		Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe	Swarta (	Swarta Voe	Swarta Skerry, Dury Voe								
toc	Stock Origin Facility No		6 6	9	12								
S	I domity NO	4	U	3	12								

0 Total Tests assigned 0															
	09/2020	9/2020 Additional Sample Information:													
Total Tests assigned 0															
	0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l								

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 08/10/2018
Case Number:	2020-0380		Site No:	FS1121		nsp:	
Date of Visit	16/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		_			
species		ncluding third country	0			26	0
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water	I :	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		П
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					Н
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		-
Management practices	I		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	rfrom Category ∀ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	]			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	1			0
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0380		s	ite No:	FS1121	]		
Sea Lice Inspection (	• •	s in the previous 4 years	s?				Υ	
•	•	equivalent) fallowed syn		a single ye	ear class basis?		Υ	
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?								
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or	_	ement agreement or sta	atement relevan	t to the si	ite and CoGP Farm		Υ	
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for ins	spection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)				Υ	
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the C	CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anno	ex 6)	Υ	
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?		low the suggested criter	ria for treatment	t in the Co	oGP during the period	d that	Υ	
_		<i>monis</i> ) numbers per fish od that records are insp		el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	)/6/19) or	N	
If yes, have these beer	reported to the Fish I	Health Inspectorate? If n	o, FHI see com	ment.			N/A	
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welfa	are proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)	N	
		istered or other actions belongatus is considered					Υ	
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where	applicable)?					Υ	
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	ns taken had a significar	nt impact upon t	he lice le	vels recorded?		Υ	
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried	d out in cooperation betw	veen participatir	ng farms?	•		Υ	
		where fewer population		_		ent for	Υ	
15. Is there a site spec scenarios during the es		ement procedure with wiinfestation?	aypoints describ	bing set a	actions to deal with re	cognised	Υ	
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no pleas	e detail re	easons.		Υ	
Containment Inspecti	on							
1. Has the site experier	nced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the	he current or pro	evious pro	oduction cycles?		N	
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against	the predation experience	ed on site? (De	tail below	·)		Υ	
Top net, seal pro XL,	, sinker tube, ADD, I	MML						
If other, detail below:								
2. Hayo oscano incido	nto or events been ev	perienced on or in the vi	cinity of the cite	since the	a last EUI inspection?		N	
If Yes proceed with que			cirilly of the site	Since the	e last i i il ilispection:			
4. Have these been rep		•				[		
		orthwith (where they exis						
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where	they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	5.4.17)		
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give deta	il					
8. If gill nets were deplo	oved was this action a	greed with local wild fish	n interests and v	was perm	ission given by Scott	ish		
Ministers? (Legal, CoG		J	The second secon		J. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.			
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and min	imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not	covered in	n code but could			
be considered under	r satisfactory measu	res of the Act)						
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with i	regards to containment?	If no, please de	etail reaso	on(s)		Υ	

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

FHI 059, Version 12

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2020-0380	Site No: FS1121	
Date of Visit: 16/09/20	20 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection locate	d within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require com	pletion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	m Management Agreements and Statements	
<ol> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relev</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish f</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the date</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the date</li> </ol>	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statemer of review?	Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana	agement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minir farm?	mum health standards for the stocks to be introdu	uced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spe	ination requirements for stocks held in the area o ecies of fish which may be stocked into the area o ximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in	r farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrafish farm in the area or the individual	angements for the storage and disposal of any de farm?	ead fish from any
Arrangements for The Managemen	t of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	ements for the sharing of data on sea lice number	rs and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the ava of statement?	ailability and the use of medicines on farms covere	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any req lice on farms in the area or individual	quirements for the sensitivity testing of available tr farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individual	cumstances under which biological controls and claims?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra	angements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	cumstances when live fish may be introduced or re	

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of Issue: 08/10/20
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	lividual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates date when a farm or area may be restoo	by which the area or individual farm will be fall	low and the earliest
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether b covered by the agreement or statement?	roodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke ?	ept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	nent include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and	operated in accordance with the agreement o	r statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue	of the FMAg/S? 30/06/2020	

Site No: FS1121

Case No: 2020-0380

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0380			Date of visit:	16/09/2020					
Site No:	FS1121	l	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp		
Danast Curaman				1						
Report Summary Case Type	D (		nd							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp							
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	22/12/2020									





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS No** FB0125 SITE NO FS1121

INSPECTOR

**DATE OF VISIT** 16/09/2020

SITE NAME Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe

CASE NO 20200380

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 22/12/20

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>