FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date o	f issue: 12/05/2020	
Case No: 2020-0388				Date of visit:	22/09/2020	
Time spent on site:	hours	Ma	ain Inspector	: [
Site No: FS0770 Business No: FB0398	Site Name: Business Name:	Duartmore Loch Duart Ltd				
Case Types: 1 VMD	2 ECI 3 CNI	4 5	j	6		
Water Temp (°C): 13.6	Thermometer No:	T147]	FHI 045 comp	leted	
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Dead fish waste taken to Badcall prior to being transported to Gray Composting. Fish waste movements to Badcall recorded in site diary.

A proportion of the fish reared at Duartmore are transferred to Loch Na Thuille in October each year where they remain until seawater transfer in April of the following year. Eggs are received from Landcatch Ormsary and are from the companies own broodstock located at Geisgeil.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0388		Site No:	FS0770)			
Date of Visit:		22/09/2020			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ıils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	ŕ	·				N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		23	Facilities sto	cked	21	No facilitie	es inspected	21
Species	SAL							
Age group	2019 S1							
No Fish	580,000							
Mean Fish Wt	~35g							
Next Fallow Date (S		March 2021	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	July/Augu	st 2021	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	e							
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		поросиот.					30/10/2018	-
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	•				30/10/2010	N
4. Are movement re		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any moveme		by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys		• '		· ·				Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)		
If other detail:	<u>_</u>		sting (Aberde	enshire)	(11111)	/		
3. Mortality records								Y
4. Recent mortality		,		(0.06%), Wk	35: 5.598 (0.0	4%). Wk34:	2,898 (0.02%)). Wk33:
5. Evidence of recer	` ,	typical mortal		(,, . ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		/reason:				
								LI.
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sl	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: Formalin	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Formalin	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disea	ise
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	ř
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yes, detaii (ii not detailed diidel recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 11/09/2019 - 14/09/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0388		Site No:	FS0770		Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or acluding third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of supp		0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	T 0	3	6	10	10
Wovernonie on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contact	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent water	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro-		0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	-			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	,			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0388	Site No:	FS0770
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e	•	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		including deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	1 -4 4	
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSL CoGP Annex 6)	
	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sali	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 o	r above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare pro	blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been admini suggested criteria for treatment or where ${\it C.}$ ${\it C.}$	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmoni</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implic	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice	levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farm	s?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations a	re held without treatment for
	ement procedure with waypoints describing se	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detai	I reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous	production cycles?
 Are measures in place to mitigate against t 		
Outer fence surrounding tanks and top no		
If other, detail below:	So. 1 Got Gother Contract Will Tromoni.	
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37$, 5	5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they ex	xist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
O. If will make your dealers do		mainaine situan hu Caattiah
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ac Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	d in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail rea	ason(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13						Issued by: FHI							
	Case no:	2020-03	388	Site No:		FS0770			Date of v Sampling		22/0	09/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		00:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. 	3
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-8	9-13										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	30g	30g										
	Sex												
	Water Type	FW	FW										
Details		>	>										
)et		sar	sar										
X	Ctook Origin	Ormsary	Ormsary										
Stock	Stock Origin Facility No		<u>O</u>										
S	I acility NO	B3											

Additional Sample Information:													
	killed w	ith TMS											
0	1	Total To	ests ass	signed	0	1							

Site No: FS0770

Case No: 2020-0388

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

ON-	0000 0000	1		5	00/00/000	7					
Case No:	2020-0388	J		Date of visit:	22/09/2020	4					
Site No:	FS0770]		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, VMD	02/11/2020										





Loch Duart Ltd Badcall Salmon House Scourie, Lairg Sutherland IV27 4TH

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0398
SITE NO FS0770
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 22/09/2020 SITE NAME Duartmore CASE NO 20200388

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Codes were being used for destination sites. It was agreed with the site manager that future records of movements will include the Marine Scotland reference number (FS number).

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained. R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 02/11/2020