FHI 059, Version 13	Is	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0426			Date of visit: 21/10/2020
Time spent on site:	3hrs	Main	Inspector:
Site No: FS0914 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Lismore West Scottish Sea Farms Lt	d
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.4	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	~ .	N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to care	ry out intended visit detail r	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Weekly mortalities >1%: Wk11 2020 - 1.22%

Wk12 - 3.42%

Wk13 - 2.3%

Wk14 - 1.6%

All mortalities attributed to physical damage resulting from strong tides. The mortality dropped in the following weeks and has remained below the reporting threshold since.

Average adult female lice was 0.68 in Wk20 (above the CoGP), although was below the threshold in weeks prior and since input, and below every week following until inspection.

Fish came on from Knock over two inputs.

Site will be doing live harvests, with fish going to South Shian processing plant.

Fish were sitting deep in the water so difficult to observe from the cage. Observed good shoaling behaviour and feeding response on the cameras.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0426]	Site No:	FS0914				
Date of Visit:		21/10/2020			Inspector(s):	:		l
Registration/Author	orisation Det	tails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		9	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	9
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM					
A	S1	Wild caught	13/03/2020					
Age group								
No Fish	269,707	9,834	17,057					
Mean Fish Wt	2.8Kg	350g	100g	Nove Innut Do	ota (Cita)	Dagamba	. 2024	
Next Fallow Date (S	*	May 2021		Next Input Da	_ ` '	December		N.
Recent (last 4 wks) If yes, detail:	disease prod	ilems?		IN	Any escapes	s (since last	VISIT) ?	N
 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	cords available and corrected for introcess. states for introcess. states carried or	ble for dead fis rectly entered? oductions (outw ut by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi	~ .			N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records 2. How are mortalitie If other detail:	available for	inspection?			Incinerated -	- on site		Y
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•	•		(0.05%), Wk39;	· 219 (0.08%)	Wk40: 230) (0 09%)· Wk	(41 231
5. Evidence of recer				0.0070); 11100;	, 210 (0.0070)	,, 200	(0.0070), 111	N
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		/reason:				
	7							
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality d	uring period ch	iecked?					Y
If yes, detail:	See addition	nal comments.						
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities bed	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	-
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD detected last week	
07/10/2020	
Records checked between: 14/10/2019 - 14/10/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	mber: 2020-0426						
Date of Visit	21/10/2020		No of me	ovements/s	upp./dest.	Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	14
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	20	
Species	Compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts			<u></u> 6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, <u> </u>	1-3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	0	4		4
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		4
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		1
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			'
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0]			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of	No		1				0
footbaths etc			<u>'</u>	J			
CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance	Yes		Ι	1			
with regulator or industry			0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		<u> </u>	1			0
	No		2				3
				J			
					Total		38
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0426	Site No:	FS0914
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problem 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or 3. Does the site have access to a range of li azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as 4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for in 6. Do records adequately reflect the required	ns in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single idenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in swell as access to suitable biological and/or megement agreement or statement relevant to the	year class basis? ncluding deltamethrin, echanical control measures, and site and CoGP Farm Y (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> If yes, have these been reported to the Fish 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which 10. Have therapeutic treatments been admit suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C.</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site 15. Is there a site specific written lice managements.	Almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. The is considered to cause significant welfare probabilistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implicate applicable)? The staken had a significant impact upon the lice be do ut in cooperation between participating farms are, where fewer populations or part populations are gement procedure with waypoints describing set as reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) Is levels have exceeded the ations? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) Ilevels recorded? Is? Ire held without treatment for a actions to deal with recognised
 Are measures in place to mitigate against ADDs, top nets, tension nets, nylon nets If other, detail below: Have escape incidents or events been exit Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB 	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the site to question 10 histers? forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5. and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	the last FHI inspection?
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and min be considered under satisfactory measurements.	agreed with local wild fish interests and was pernormal nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered ures of the Act) regards to containment? If no, please detail rear	l in code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0426 Site	e No: FS0914	
Date of Visit: 21/10/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located withi	n a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion		<u>-</u>
,		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Man	agement Agreements and Statemen	ts
2. Has a current farm management agreem		red?
Is the current FMAg/S available for inspec		Ÿ
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant far	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm si	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of com	•	ment?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of revi	ew?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h		roduced to the area or
farm?		-
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	requirements for stocks held in the are	ea or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	fish which may be stocked into the are	ea or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum	stocking density of any pen on any farr	m in the area or the
individual farm?		
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement		y dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of Se	aa Lica	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements		nbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	and the use of medicines on farms co	vered by the agreement Y
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirement		le treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta		nd cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		74 . 4
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	ents for synchronous treatments on fari	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	nces when live fish may be introduced	or removed from the
area or farm?		
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	ents for the movement of live fish on ar	nd off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallowed? or more year classes may be stocked onto seed to be kepter or potential broodstock are to be kepter or more year potential broodstock are to be kepter or potential broodstock.	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	perated in accordance with the agreement or some fithe FMAg/S? Nov-19	statement? Y

Case no: 2020-0426 Site No: FS0914 Date of visit/ Sampling: 21/10/20 Sampling: Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 13:00:00 13:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Tota Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Inspector: Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: Inspector: Inspector: Inspector: Inspector: VMD No. Inspector: Inspector: <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling 13:00:00 13:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Tota Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Pool Fish nos 1 2 Pool Group Species SAL SAL Average weight 3kg 3kg)20 21/
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Tota Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No	
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Image: Control of the	13
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No	
Pool/Fish No 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 <t< td=""><td>l Samples</td></t<>	l Samples
Fish nos 1 2 Secretary Sec	
Pool Group Species Average weight 3kg 3kg	
Species SAL SAL Average weight 3kg 3kg	
Average weight 3kg 3kg	
Water Type SW SW	
Stock Origin Kuock Eacility No 4 8	

10/2020	Addition Percus	nal Sam sive blov	ple Infor w.	mation:						
0	j	Total To	ests ass	signed	0					

Site No: FS0914
Case No: 2020-0426
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0426			Date of visit:	21/10/2020			
Site No:	FS0914	1		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	26/10/2020							





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Site No FS0914 Inspector DATE OF VISIT 21/10/2020 SITE NAME Lismore West CASE NO 20200426

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

Date: 26/10/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/