

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2020-0452"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="19/10/2020"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="1 hr"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="FS0388"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Coutts Mill"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="FB0095"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value="CNI"/>	3 <input type="text" value="UNI"/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="6"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="T146"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value=""/>	
Observations:	Region:	SH	Water type:	F	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

During ECI inspection of Quoys Hatchery, inspector noticed that the ova coming onto the site were from Coutts Mill. This site was made inactive November 2016. An unannounced inspection of Coutts Mill was then carried out. Seems to be a lack of communication within the company led to this. Relevant paperwork was submitted to FHI post inspection and site was reactivated 19/11/2020. Enforcement Notice is being prepared to issue to the operator.

At last inspection the company stated that they had no plans on using the site again so it was made inactive. But brood fish from Turness (FS0451) were brought onto the site October 2019 and subsequently stripped through November to December 2019 then culled. The site was then fallow from 7 December 2019 until 1 October 2020 when more brood fish have been brought onto site from Bay of Cleat (South) FS0595 and are due to be stripped November. Company are planning to repeat this process for 2021.

3 raceways currently holding 719 brood fish. No lethargic or moribund fish visible on site.

2019 Generation Morts.

6 (0.7%) morts for whole generation on site (849) from 3rd October to 7th December

Staff at site also operating at Quoys Hatchery but separate PPE being used at each site along with equipment.

Inspection of mortality records etc completed remotely on 30th October by [REDACTED].

Case No: **2020-0452** Site No: **FS0388**

Date of Visit: **19/10/2020**

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	20	Facilities stocked	
Species	SAL		
Age group	Brood fish		
No Fish	719		
Mean Fish Wt	13 kg		
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Dec. 2020	Next Input Da	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N	
If yes, detail:			

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
2. Date of last inspection:
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
- If other detail:
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **6 (0.8%) of 719 fish lost sin**
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
- If yes, detail:
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
- If yes, detail action:
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increases* been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is determined that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

03/10/2019 - 3

Inspector(s): [Redacted]

Y
Y

3	No facilities inspected	20

te (Site) Oct. 2021

Any escapes (since last visit)? N

	Y
22/11/2016	
	Y
	Y
	Y
	N/A

	Y
	Y

Whole fish - TWMA (Shetland)

ce input on 01/10/2020

	N
	N
	N/A
	N/A

	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
<i>d (unexplained) mortality at the site</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
<i>ected been included and how and</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
<i>atus, certification if required)?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
<i>ssion of disease been covered</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
<i>mals held on site?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	
30/10/2020	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Case Number:	2020-0452	Site No:	FS0388	Insp:		
Date of Visit	19/10/2020	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					12	LOW

Case No: **2020-0452**

Site No: **FS0388**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) Y

Rent O' kill

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) Y

Site No: FS0388
Case No: 2020-0452
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology



██████████
Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd
Crowness Road
Hatston
Kirkwall, Orkney
KW15 1RG
████████████████████

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0095	DATE OF VISIT	19/10/2020
SITE No	FS0388	SITE NAME	Coutts Mill
INSPECTOR	██████████	CASE No	20200452

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, without prior notification, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following point was raised with the site representative during the inspection:

The status of the site was changed to inactive in 2016 and it was removed from the list of sites Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd were authorised to operate at. An application to amend the authorisation and to re-activate the site prior to the reintroduction of fish in 2019 was not submitted. An application to amend the authorisation issued under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 must be completed and submitted to the Fish Health Inspectorate immediately.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 04/11/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

[REDACTED]
Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd
Crowness Road
Hatston
Kirkwall, Orkney
KW15 1RG
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0095	DATE OF VISIT	19/10/2020
SITE No	FS0388	SITE NAME	Coutts Mill
INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]	CASE No	20200452

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for immediate implementation. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [REDACTED]

Date: 24/11/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>