FHI 059, Version 13	I	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0462			Date of visit: 03/11/2020
Time spent on site:	2.5 hours	Main I	Inspector:
Site No: FS1330 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Sconser Quarry Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.6	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	~ .	N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Records inspected remotely on 30/10/2020.

Lumpfish from Ireland stocked on site during March, July, August and October. Majority coming on site in October. Cumulative mortality for lumpfish prior to October input 21%, significant proportion attributed to post treatment losses.

Salmosan treatment carried out 28/07 - 05/08 Thermolicer treatment 24-25/09 Freshwater treatment carried out 14-21/10 for treatment of AGD

Average adult female lice numbers rose above CoGP criteria for treatment but below FHI reporting levels w/b 06/07/20 and w/b 21/09/20. Subsequent treatments (shown above) reduced numbers significantly on both occasions.

Site thermometer used due to battery failure in FHI thermometer 2 mortalities observed across site, these were removed by site staff. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0462		Site No:	FS1330				
Date of Visit:		03/11/202	0		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM					T	
Age group	2020 Q1	2020						
No Fish	890,178	139,000						
Mean Fish Wt	1.84 kg	40g						
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	November 2	2021	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	February	2022	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Movement record Date of last inspects Are records completed. Are movement records completed. Are health certification. Are any movement of the seconds Are any movement. 	ection: plete and corrected availablete and corrected are set of the corrected are set out to the corre	ectly entered le for dead fi ectly entered ductions (out	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	~ .		25/09/2019	Y Y Y Y
Mortality Records		0						
1. Mortality records		•			Diagon End	warana Cumal		Y
How are mortalitionother detail:				1.1	Biogas - Ene	ergen, Cumi	pernaula	
			orizons, Cumb	enauld				
3. Mortality records	•	correctly en		//\ :t - <i>t</i>		مناحا المعالم	taal ta maintum	
 Recent mortality Evidence of receive 	` '	stypical marte		%) across site f	or 4 week pe	riod. Attribu	tea to mixture	or post N
		• •		/roccon:				11
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no st	ock per racility	rieason.				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:	upovoloinod)	mortalities by	oon reported to	a vot or EUI2				N/A
7. Have increased (If yes, detail action:	unexplaineu)	mortailles De	sen reported to	Vel OI FII!				IN/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	rente' been re	norted to EU	12 If no onter	details on more	tality events a	hoot		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	verile peen le	ported to FI	i: ii iio, enter	u c talis on mon	ianty events S	neet.		11//

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection? Health manner and fragularity of mortality removal, recording and safe dispectal heap considered?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<u> </u>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	'
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	'
in the, detail.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD and HSMI detected, gill scores	up slightly,
Records checked between: 25/09/2019 - 30/10/2020	

Г	HI 059, Version 13							188	uea by: F	ПІ			
	Case no:	2020-04	162	Site No:		FS1330			Date of vi		03/	11/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	25
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Δ	Add Fish/Pools - click												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4								
H		I	_	ა	4								
	Pool Group	CAL	CAL	CAL	CAL								
	Species	SAL		SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	2.0000	2.0000	2.0000	2.0000								
	Sex	0147	0)11	0)47	0)11								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Details		Cochailort	9) Lochailort	Oz Lochailort	Lochailort								
9													

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020		
Case Number:	2020-0462		Site No:	FS1330		Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/11/2020		No of me	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	20	
Species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Number of desi	Site contacts			<u></u> 6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, <u> </u>	1-3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	0	4		0
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		2
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				-
		from zone or compartment of	_				
	equivalent statu	us .	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	<u>.</u> 			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity	-	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of	No		1				0
footbaths etc			<u>'</u>				
CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance	Yes		Ι	1			
with regulator or industry			0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		31
					Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2020-0462	Site No	FS1330	
Sea Lice Inspect	ion (Seawater Sites Only)			
1. Has the site exp	perienced sea lice problems in	the previous 4 years?		N
2. Is the CoGP Fa	rm Management Area (or equ	valent) fallowed synchronously on a singl	e year class basis?	Υ
		eed in-feed and bath sea lice medications Il as access to suitable biological and/or m	· ·	Y
4. Is there a signe Management Area		ent agreement or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice cou	unt records available for inspe	ction? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Υ
6. Do records ade	quately reflect the required sta	ndard specified in the SSI and the CoGP?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
	salmonis) record levels below cted? (CoGP Annex 6)	the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that	Y
		nis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 lith Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.		N N/A
10. Have theraped	utic treatments been administe	considered to cause significant welfare prored or other actions taken when <i>L. salmor</i>	nis levels have exceeded the	N Y
		ngatus is considered to have welfare impli-	cations? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	V
•	action been taken (where app	•	Novela recorded?	Y
•		aken had a significant impact upon the lice t in cooperation between participating farn		Υ V
		ere fewer populations or part populations		т У
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	ent procedure with waypoints describing se		\ V
	· ·	flect sea lice count data? If no please deta	·	Y
		·		
Containment Ins	pection			
	•	due to predators in the current or previous	production cycles?	N
	· · ·	predation experienced on site? (Detail bel		Y
	ned nets, M.M.L.	(
If other, detail be				
Have escape i	ncidents or events been exper	enced on or in the vicinity of the site since	the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed wit	h questions 4 – 9. If No skip to	question 10		
4. Have these bee	en reported to Scottish Ministe	s?		
	•	with (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	•	
6. Have these bee	en reported to the SSPO and le	ocal fisheries trusts forthwith (where they e	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods	(if any) used to recover escap	ees? If yes give detail		
•		ed with local wild fish interests and was pe	ermission given by Scottish	
	CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)			
	s taken to prevent and minimi under satisfactory measures	se the risk of further escapes? (Not covered of the Act)	ed in code but could	
	•	ards to containment? If no, please detail re	eason(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0462	Site No:	FS1330		
Date of Visit: 03/11	/2020 Ins	pector:		
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection loc	ated within a farm m	anagement area?		Y
f N, no further questions require of	ompletion.			
Points of Compliance for Both F	Farm Management <i>I</i>	Agreements and Staten	nents	
2. Has a current farm managemer		_		Υ
3. Is the current FMAg/S available	for inspection?			Υ
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the re				Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the fis	` '			Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the da		nt of the agreement or st	atement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the da	ate of review?			Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health M				
B. Does the FMAg/S identify the material information.	inimum health stand	ards for the stocks to be	introduced to the area	or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the va	accination requireme	nts for stocks held in the	area or farm?	Υ
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the	•	•		Υ
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the notice individual farm?	maximum stocking d	ensity of any pen on any	farm in the area or the	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the a rish farm in the area or the individ		storage and disposal of	any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Managen	nent of Sea Lice			
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arra	ngements for the sha	aring of data on sea lice r	numbers and treatment	ts? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the a	availability and the us	se of medicines on farms	covered by the agreer	ment Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any	requirements for the	sensitivity testing of ava	ilable treatments for se	a Y
ice on farms in the area or individ				
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the	circumstances under	which biological controls	s and cleaner fish are to	o be Y
used on farms in the area or indivi				
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the	arrangements for syr	nchronous treatments on	farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements				
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the carea or farm?	circumstances when	live fish may be introduc	ed or removed from the	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the a portion of the formation of the second states and the second states are second so that the second states are second so that the second so that the second second so that the second seco	arrangements for the	movement of live fish or	n and off sites in the are	ea Y

Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/202	20
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or in	ndividual farms?	
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?			allow and the earliest	
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		o sites covered by the	
24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? Y	23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brood covered by the agreement or statement?	dstock or potential broodstock are to be k	cept on any site	
25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?			come, or cease to be, N/A	
			or statement?	

Case No:	2020-0462			Date of visit:	03/11/2020]			
Site No:	FS1330	1	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion			
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
						_			
							-		
							-		
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI CNI SLI VMD	17/11/2020								





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 SITE NO FS1330 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 03/11/2020 SITE NAME Sconser Quarry CASE NO 20200462

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R25

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 17/11/2020