FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2020-0463			Date of visit: 03/11/2020				
Time spent on site:	2.5 hours	Main	Inspector:				
Site No: FS0519 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Maol Ban Mowi Scotland Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.7	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detai	l reason below:					

Additional Case Information:

Inspection of records carried out remotely on 28/10/2020

H2O2 treatment planned week beginning 02/11/20 for the treatment of AGD Lumpfish moved on site from Ireland. Cumulative mortality of 14% since input, a significant proportion related to post freshwater treatment mortality.

5 mortalities observed across site, these were removed by site staff. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. Site thermometer used due to battery failure in FHI thermometer Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI		Date of iss	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0463		Site No:	FS0519			
Date of Visit:		03/11/2020]		Inspector(s):	:	
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails					
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?		Y	7
2. Changes made to	details?					Υ	
Site Details (includ	o cloanor fic	h for all coef	ions)				
Total No facilities	e cleaner its	12	Facilities sto	ckad	10	No facilities inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM	1 aciities sto	T T	10	140 facilities inspected	12
Age group	Q1 2020	2020					
No Fish	772,000	43,000					
Mean Fish Wt	1.9 kg	40,000 80g					
Next Fallow Date (S		November 2	021	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2022 Spring	•
Recent (last 4 wks)	*				_ ` '	s (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	·					,	
Movement Records							V
1. Movement record		r inspection?				20/05/0046	Y
2. Date of last inspec		4h /4041	,			30/05/2018	•
 Are records comp Are movement records 		•					T V
5. Are records comp							\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
6. Are health certifications		•		hle?			Ÿ
O. Ale fleatiff certifica		addions (odty	viti OD, avalla	iDIG:			
Transport Records							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?		Y
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records	?		Y
Mantalita Daganda							
Mortality Records1. Mortality records a	available for i	nepoetion?					V
2. How are mortalities		•			Riogas - Eng	ergen, Cumbernauld	'
If other detail:			rizon, Cumbe	rnauld	blogas - Ene	ergen, Cumbernadia	
3. Mortality records of				madia			Y
4. Recent mortality (•	correctly crit	2802 (0.3%)	across site			1
5. Evidence of recen		tvpical morta		across one			N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:			
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?				Y
	1500 mortali	ties in cage 3	4 w/b 24/08/2	020 following:	salmosan trea	atment. Remaining cages	s on site
				ortality for that	week was bel	low reporting levels. Mor	tality fell back
If yes, detail:		els the follow					
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail action:			0.16				N1/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mor	tality events s	neet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: Slice T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	24
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	V
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD detected	
Records checked between: 30/05/2018 - 28/10/2020	

11 059, VEISIOII 13							133	ueu by. i	1 11			
Case no:	2020-04	163	Site No:		FS0519					03/	11/2020	
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:				0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	25
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
dd Fish/Pools - click												
	1	2	3	4								
		_										
	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
Sex	N/A		N/A	N/A								
Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Origin Facility No	Cairidh	Cairidh	Sconser Quarry	Sconser Quarry								
racility NO	24	25	33	36								
	Case no: Priority samples: Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Summary samples HIST dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species SAL Average weight 2.0000 Sex N/A Water Type SW	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples HIST dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 Pool Group Species SAL SAL Average weight 2.0000 2.0000 Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW	Case no: 2020-0463 Site No: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:0 Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 Summary samples HIST BA dd Fish/Pools - click BA Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group SAL SAL SAL Average weight 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW	Priority samples:	Case no: 2020-0463 Site No: FS0519 Priority samples: VI BA PA Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:00:00 3 Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 Summary samples HIST BA MG dd Fish/Pools - click BA MG Pool/Fish No 1 2 3 4 Pool Group 3 4 4 Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW SW SW	Case no: 2020-0463 Site No: FS0519 Priority samples: VI BA PA Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:00:00 Inspector Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 Summary samples HIST BA MG dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Image: Pool Group species SAL	Case no: 2020-0463 Site No: FS0519 Priority samples: VI BA PA MG Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:00:00 Inspector: starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No 1 2 3 4 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Species SAL SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 SW SW SW Water Type SW SW SW SW SW SW	Case no:	Case no:	Case no:	Case no: 2020-0463 Site No: FS0519 Date of visit/ Sampling: Sampling: HI

Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0463		Site No:	FS0519		Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/11/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	200	
Species	compartment in Number of supp	cluding third country	0			26 14	5
							5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
-	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water	IFarm is mustant	Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm prod	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	eurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	. 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2				
				J			
					Total		28
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0463	Site No:	FS0519
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	N
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	ncluding deltamethrin,
and the section dealers of the process and the dealers	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicated.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		N/A
	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons. Y
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr	roduction cyclos?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Top nets, tensioned nets, seal blinds	The predation expenenced on site: (Detail below	N)
If other, detail below:		
,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
9. If gill note were deployed was this setime a	grood with local wild figh interests and was a ser	ningian given by Spottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
·	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0463 Site	e No: FS0519	
Date of Visit: 03/11/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	in a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion		<u> </u>
,		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Mar	nagement Agreements and Statement	<u></u>
Has a current farm management agreem		red?
Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s	• •	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cor		ment?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	lew?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum h		oduced to the area or
farm?		
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	requirements for stocks held in the are	a or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species o	f fish which may be stocked into the are	a or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum	stocking density of any pen on any farn	m in the area or the
individual farm?		
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of S	ea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements		bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	y and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem		e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta		d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farm		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for synchronous treatments on farr	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	nces when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
area or farm?		
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem	ents for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallowed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto si boodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or soft the FMAg/S? Jan-20	statement?

Case No:	2020-0463	Date of visit: 03/11/2020									
Site No:	FS0519	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI CNI SLI VMD	17/11/020	Шэр	2 11150								
LOI OIN OLI VIIID	177117020										





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119
Site No FS0519
Inspector

 DATE OF VISIT
 03/11/2020

 SITE NAME
 Maol Ban

 CASE No
 20200463

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 Health certificate for imported lumpfish had incorrect destination site listed. Site manager advised that should the destination of imports change after the health certificate has been signed off by the competent authority, the FHI must be notified immediately. No further action required

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 17/11/2020