FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0475			Date of visit: 19/11/2020
Time spent on site:	3 hours	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0240 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Linnhe Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-33
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	ry out intended visit detai	I reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site has had a very good cycle so far with very low mortality and low sea lice numbers. One mechanical treatment was carried out and one slice treatment has been carried out to deal with a spike in Caligus numbers in the summer months.

Site has had 7 movements on of Ballan Wrasse from Ireland from 1 supplier.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0475		Site No:	FS0240				
Date of Visit:		19/11/2020]		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?	·					N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	0.00	24	Facilities sto	cked	24	No facilitie	es inspected	24
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group		mixed						
No Fish	773,702	44,957						
Mean Fish Wt	3531g	mixed						
Next Fallow Date (S		June 21		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	December	r 2021	
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:							,	
 Date of last inspension. Are records compounded. Are movement respective. Are records compounded. Are health certificant. Transport Records Are any movement. Are a system 	lete and corre cords availab lete and corre ates for introd	e for dead fisectly entered? luctions (outwood)	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			12/08/2019	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Ensiled - on:	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ente	ered?					Y
Recent mortality (3% week 44 0.	.04% Week 43	3 0.04% we	ek 42 0.10%	
Evidence of recer		* *						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		an a sita litta a d						
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	antal baan ra	ported to FUII	2 If no onto:	dotoile on mart	tolity overte	anat		N/A
Have 'mortality ev	ents been re	ported to FHI	r ii no, enter	uetails on mort	lailty events st	ieet.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Re	cords		
1. Recent treatments (see comm	ient)?		Y
If yes, detail:	Slice TMS		
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records available for			Y
3. Are records complete and cor	rectly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period	?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T	MS	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored appropr	ately?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
1. Biosecurity records available f	•		Y
2. Has the manner and frequence	y of mortality removal, recording	ng and safe disposal been considered?	Y
·	•	tish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortali	ty at the site been included?		Y
	•	ce or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and he			Y
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if requ	ired)?		
•	•	between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
		ors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site			
8. Have the biosecurity procedur	es been adequately implemen	ted on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health surveill	•	n behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for	inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?			Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed unde	• ,		
Latest vet report, minor amount		•	
Records che	cked between:	2/8/2019-19/11/2020	

	1 059, Version 15							155	ueu by. I	ГП			
	Case no:	2020-04	175	Site No:		FS0240			Date of Samplin		19/	11/2020	19/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	10:3	0:00	11:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D.	20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
;	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
	ld Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
4	Average weight	3.5000	3.5000	3.5000									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
1	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
	Stock Origin	Loch ness	Loch ness	Loch ness									
S	Facility No	20	22	17									

11/2020 Additional Sample Information:														
	All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													
U		TOTAL TO	esis ass	igned	U									

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0475		Site No:	FS0240		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/11/2020		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	10
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	5
Mayananta aff			1 0			10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through orehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0]			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0]			0
·	Feeding unpast	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		32 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0475	Sit	te No: FS0240	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)			
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?		N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed	•	single year class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		- ·	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v		`	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant	to the site and CoGP Fa	ırm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the C	oGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP	Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment	in the CoGP during the p	period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. saln	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level	of 3 or above (prior to w	/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comi	nent.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfa	re problems? (CoGP 4.3	3.81, 5.3.50) N
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where $\it C.e.$			
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?		Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon th	e lice levels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participatin	g farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations	tions are held without tre	atment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints describ	ing set actions to deal wi	ith recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please	detail reasons.	Y
Containment Increation			
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	ge due to predators in the current or pre	vious production evelos?	N
Are measures in place to mitigate against the same of the sam		•	V
Environets Top nets	Seal Blinds	all below)	'
If other, detail below:	Coar Diritio		
,			
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site	since the last FHI inspec	ction?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP -4 .	4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where t	hey exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.	37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and w	as permission given by S	Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not c	overed in code but could	
be considered under satisfactory measur	es of the Act)		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please de	tail reason(s)	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0475	Site No: FS0240	
Date of Visit: 19/11/202	0 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	I within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comp	pletion.	
 Has a current farm management ag Is the current FMAg/S available for i Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fa 	ant farm management area? arm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or stater	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minim farm?	gement num health standards for the stocks to be intr	oduced to the area or Y
•	nation requirements for stocks held in the are	
	cies of fish which may be stocked into the are imum stocking density of any pen on any farr	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrar fish farm in the area or the individual f	ngements for the storage and disposal of any farm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arranger	of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	lability and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirece on farms in the area or individual fa	uirements for the sensitivity testing of available arms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circu used on farms in the area or individual	ımstances under which biological controls an I farms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	ngements for synchronous treatments on farr	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circu area or farm?	ımstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrar or individual farms?	ngements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	ridual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be falloged? e or more year classes may be stocked onto so	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	perated in accordance with the agreement or soft the FMAg/S? 20/11/2019	statement? Y

Site No: FS0240
Case No: 2020-0475
Nature of non-compliance:

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Action taken (FHI):

Casa Na	2020 0475			D-1	40/44/2020	7					
Case No:	2020-0475	J		Date of visit:	19/11/2020	4					
Site No:	FS0240]		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	I		Date of Notification							
results outlinary	1 104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary		1		1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI , CNI, SLI, VMD	03/12/2020		2 11100								





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 19/11/2020

 Site No
 FS0240
 Site Name
 Linnhe

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200475

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 03/12/2020

Signed: