FHI 059, Version 13	lssu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2020-0480			Date of visit: 13/11/2020						
Time spent on site: 3	1	Main Inspecto	r:						
Site No: FS0375 Business No: FB0224	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Damph Torridon Smolts Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	45	6						
Water Temp (°C): 8.3	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?nIf yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Clinical signs of disease observed?nIf yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Gross pathology observed?nIf yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Diagnostic samples taken?n									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:							

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed on 6/11/2020 remotely site inspection completed 13/11/2020

2020 increased mortalities: week 36 5114 (0.5%) week 37 12,142 (1.2%) week 38 8,444 (0.9%) week 39 7,752 (0.8%) fungus post vaccination, formalin bath treatments being administered

2019 increased mortalities Week 45 2,859 (0.8%) fungus post vaccination

Vaccination ongoing at time of inspection with PD7.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020				
Case No:	2020-0480]	Site No:	FS0375								
Date of Visit:		13/11/2020]		Inspector(s):			I				
Registration/Authorisation Details												
1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? Y 2. Changes made to details? N												
2. Changes made to	details?						Ν	J				
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)									
Total No facilities		20	Facilities sto	ocked	11	No facilitie	s inspected	20				
Species	SAL											
Age group	S1 2020											
No Fish	577,297											
Mean Fish Wt	95g				<u> </u>							
Next Fallow Date (S	· ·	Mid February	/ 2021	Next Input Da	· · ·	June 2021						
Recent (last 4 wks)		ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:	Fungus											
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?												
1. Are any movement of yes, is there a system of the syste		• •		•	- /			N				
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	available for i	nenaction?										
2. How are mortalitie		•			Incinerated -	on site		· ·				
If other detail:		••			momented							
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y				
4. Recent mortality (•			(0.4%), wk42 1	.320 (0.2%.) v	vk 43 1.347	(0.2%), wk 4	4 1.632				
5. Evidence of recen		typical mortal		(,,,,		(01270), 111	N				
If yes, facility nos/no				/reason:								
		·	····									
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					Y				
If yes, detail:	week 36 512	4 (0.5%) wee	k 37 12,142	(1.2%) week 38	3 8,444 (0.9%)	week 39 7	,752 (0.8%)					
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A				
If yes, detail action:												
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	leet.		N/A				

Treatments and Me	dicines Records	
1. Recent treatments	s (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	TMS	
	Fish being	
	vaccinated	
	with PD7	
	with TMS	
	being	
	administere	
If other, detail:	d.	
2. Medicines records	available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records comp	lete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withd	rawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)? TMS	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	S	
•	s available for inspection?	Y
•	nd frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	nd period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
	ned) mortality at the site been included?	Y
• •	t will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	uded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
	itus of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific		
6 Have the husbane	ry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	ase been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		V
aquaculture animals	available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	•
	ity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	V
If no, detail:	ity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	1
n no, uetan.		
Deputte of Currysille		
Results of Surveilla		N
•	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	IN
-	available for inspection?	
3. Any significant res		
if yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems).	
	Records checked between: 11/06/2019 13/11/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13				Issued by: FHI		
Case no:	2020-0480	Site No:	FS0375	Date of visi Sampling:	t/ 13/11/2020	_
Priority samples:	VI	ВА	PA	MG	н	
Time sampling starts/ends:	11:00:00	12:00:00	Inspector:		VMD No.	6
Environmental conditions:	1 Indoors	2	3	4	5	
Summary samples	HIST	ВА	MG	VI	PA Total Sampl	es

Add Fish/Pools - click

r	Pool/Fish No					-	1	1			r –	r –	
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
-	Pool Group	1	2	5	+	5	0	1	0	3	10		12
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL
	Average weight	50g		50g		50g	50g		50g	50g	50g	50g	50g
	Sex	N/Ă		N/Ă		N/Ă	N/Ă	N/Ă		N/Ă	N/Ă	N/Ă	N/Ă
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW
Stock Details		6 Petigro Ireland	61 Petigro Ireland	6 Petigro Ireland	66 Petigro Ireland	66 Petigro Ireland	61 Petigro Ireland	00 Petigro Ireland	Detigro Ireland				

	Additior	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
0		Total To	ests ass	signed	0									
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
10	14	10	10	17		10	20	21		20	Δ η	20		
SAL														
50g		50g		50g	50g									
N/A														
FW														
Petigro Ireland														
20	20	20	20	20	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17		

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of Visit 11 Live fish movements Free Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species Free Species Free Movements off Free Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Management practices Far farm Water contacts with processors Any On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro	equency of m mpartment ir umber of supp equency of m umber of dest um is protect sinfection or h urm is on-line rms upstream urm is on-line	novements off tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0 0 0 0 0	FS0375 vements/su 1-5 5 9 5 3 3 3		> 10 14 26 14 10	Score 5
Live fish movements Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species Fre com Nur Movements off Fre Nur Movements off Fre Nur Movements off Fre Nur Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Far farm Far farm farm Far farm farm Far farm farm farm farm farm farm farm fa	equency of m equency of m mpartment in umber of sup equency of m umber of dest imm is protect sinfection or h irm is on-line rms upstream irm is on-line	novements on from equivalent zone or including third country pliers novements off tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0 0 0 0 0	1-5 5 9 5 3	6-10 10 18 10 6	14 26 14	Score 5
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species Free con Nur Movements off Free Nur Movements off Free Nur Movements off Free Nur Exposure via water Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Management practices Far farm Management practices Any On farm processing within the rules of the directive No Proeque Pro Pro Pro	equency of m mpartment ir umber of supp equency of m umber of dest um is protect sinfection or h urm is on-line rms upstream urm is on-line	novements on from equivalent zone or including third country pliers novements off tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0 0 0 0	5 9 5 3	10 18 10 6	14 26 14	5
with GB) of susceptible species Fre con Nur Movements off Fre Nur Exposure via water Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm farm Far farm Far farm Far farm On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro equ Pro	equency of m mpartment ir umber of supp equency of m umber of dest um is protect sinfection or h urm is on-line rms upstream urm is on-line	novements on from equivalent zone or including third country pliers novements off tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	9 5 3	18 10 6	26 14	5
species Con Nur Movements off Fre Nur Exposure via water Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm farm Far farm Far farm On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro equ Pro	mpartment ir imber of sup equency of m imber of desi imm is protect sinfection or h irm is on-line rms upstream irm is on-line	ncluding third country pliers novements off tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0 0 0	5	10	14	5
Nur Movements off Fre Nur Nur Exposure via water Nur Water contacts with other Far farms (holding species disi susceptible to same Far diseases) farm Management practices Management practices Water contacts with Any processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro	equency of rumber of sup equency of rumber of dest imm is protect sinfection or h irm is on-line rms upstream irm is on-line	pliers novements off tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0 0 0	5	10	14	5
Nur Exposure via water Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Far far far	Imber of dest irm is protect sinfection or h irm is on-line rms upstream irm is on-line	tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0			10	
Nur Exposure via water Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Far far far	Imber of dest irm is protect sinfection or h irm is on-line rms upstream irm is on-line	tinations Site contacts ed (secure water supply through porehole)		3	6		6
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Far	sinfection or l rm is on-line rms upstream rm is on-line	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0		0	10	3
farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) Far farm Far farm Far farm Management practices Water contacts with processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro equ Pro	sinfection or l rm is on-line rms upstream rm is on-line	porehole)		1-5	6-10		
diseases) fam Far far far Far far far management practices Water contacts with processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro equ Pro	rms upstream Irm is on-line		0				
farm Far Far farm Far farm Water contacts with processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
Management practices Water contacts with processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
Water contacts with Any processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro Pro		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
processors On farm processing within the rules of the directive Pro Pro Pro equ Pro			None	Secure l	Jnsecure		
the rules of the directive Pro Pro Pro equ Pro	ny processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		O
Pro Pro equ Pro	o on farm pro	cessing	0				C
Pro equ Pro	ocessing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
equ Pro	ocessing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	uivalent statu		4				
Pro		from Category III farm	8				
	ocessing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- Site	te's own was	te only processed.	0				C
products Cor	ommon proce	esses with other farms	3				
Col	ollection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds No	o feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0				C
Fee	eding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites Site	tes operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		C
Site	tes sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment Yes	es		0				C
between sites, use of No)		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance Yes	es		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice)		3				
Platform access to cages Yes			0				0
No)		2				
				٦ F	「otal		21 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0480	Site No:	FS0375
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the	ne previous 4 vears?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equiva		vear class basis?
 3. Does the site have access to a range of licence azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well a 4. Is there a signed documented farm management Management Area (or equivalent)? 	d in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin,
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspecti	on? (Legal SSL CoCP Annex 6)	
 6. Do records adequately reflect the required stand 		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below th records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ne suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonia	s) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health	n Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is co	onsidered to cause significant welfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administere suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elong</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applic	:able)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions tak	en had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out i	n cooperation between participating farms?	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, when	e fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice management	t procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks refle	ct sea lice count data? If no please detail r	easons.
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage du	is to produtors in the current or providus p	reduction evelop?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the p		
Top nets tensioned nets	edation experienced on site? (Detail below	v)
If other, detail below:		
 Have escape incidents or events been experier 	aced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip to q	-	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers'	•	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthw		4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and loc		
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapee	s? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	I with local wild fish interests and was perm	hission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise	the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures of	of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regard	is to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s) Y

Site No: FS0375

Case No: 2020-0480

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2020-0480]		Date of visit:	13/11/2020]		
Site No:	FS0375]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-				_	
			-				_	
							-	
Denert Cumment	-			1				
Report Summary	Data	lasar	and t					
Case Type ECI, CNI,VMD	Date 25/11/2020	Insp	2 nd Insp					
	23/11/2020							
	+							
	+							

marine scotland science



Torridon Smolts Ltd Shieldaig Strathcarron Ross-shire IV54 8XN

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0224 SITE NO FS0375 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 13/11/2020SITE NAMELoch DamphCASE NO20200480

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

R04

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 25/11/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/