FHI 059, Version 13	Is	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0483			Date of visit: 11/11/2020
Time spent on site:	Bh	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS0594 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Aird The Scottish Salmon Compa	ny
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-17
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Case paperwork completed 9/11/2020, site inspection conducted on 11/11/2020.

Peroxide treatment conducted last week all pens were treated, freshwater treatment planned soon.

Cleaner fish to be input soon.

Poor weather conditions made stock inspections difficult however no issues noted and the fish sampled for VMD seemed in good condition.

Holes were observed on three pens two of which were not large enough for stock to have escaped through however one of the holes was ~30cm, in diameter and was below the water line further along the same pen another hole of similar size had been repaired with cable ties. Site staff were to repair immediately. All holes were considered to be caused by seals. The location on the pen would reduce the likelihood that any fish had escaped. The manager was informed to report this to the FHI. Freshwater treatments are planned for next week so pen count should be completed then.

On return to the lab a mortality event report of 37,281 (4.03%) was received by the Inspectorate for the site w/b 2/11/2020, the remote inspection was conducted on the 9/11/2020 but there was no record of this event having occurred, it was also not mentioned during the remote inspection or the site visit. Following discussion with the site contact regarding this omission, it was reported that the mortalities had occurred post peroxide treatment and were removed from the pens over the weekend and had not been input to the database.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0483		Site No:	FS0594	1			
Date of Visit:		11/11/2020			Inspector(s):		
Registration/Auth	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site de			ite representa	ative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made		•	·				N	1
Site Details (inclu	ıde cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	10
Species	SAL						T .	
Age group	2020S1							
No Fish	914,609							
Mean Fish Wt	1.4							
Next Fallow Date (August 2021		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Decembe	r 2021	•
Recent (last 4 wks	· ·				Any escape			N
If yes, detail:	ÁGD				, ,		,	
 Are records com Are movement r Are records com Are health certified Transport Record Are any movement If yes, is there a sy 	records availal applete and cor icates for introduced in the carried of the carri	ole for dead fis rectly entered? ductions (outw ut by (or on be	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	•			Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records	5							
1. Mortality records								Y
2. How are mortality	ties disposed	of?			Whole fish	 Dundas Ch 	nemicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	s complete an	d correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	,			33 (1.12%) wk	44 1288 (0.1	4%) wk43 5	374 (0.58%), [,]	wk 42 5192
5. Evidence of rece	ent increased/	atypical morta	lities?					Y
If yes, facility nos/r	no mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
Across the site pos	_							
6. Any other peaks	in mortality d	uring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased		mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action								
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								

Treatments and Me				
Recent treatments				Y
If data!!.	H2O2, SLICE			
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.			
	Optilicer			
	available for inspection?			T
4. Are fish in a withd	elete and correctly entered?			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	•	TMC	CLICE	'
5. If yes, what treatm	ieni(s)?	TMS	SLICE	
If other, detail:	and an area wints to the			Y
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?			<u>'</u>
Biosecurity Record	le.			
_	Is available for inspection?			Y
	and frequency of mortality removal, r	ecording and saf	e disposal been considered?	Ÿ
		_	ers or veterinary professional of any	
	ned) mortality at the site been included		ers or vecennary professional or any	Y
, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		icion of the presence of a listed disease	,
	luded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will b	· ·	•	´ Y
			n site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific		conca on the fan	n one boon bovorod (equal or riighor	
Trodiur otatuo, corumo	a			
6 Have the husband	dry and biosecurity measures impler	mented between	each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	ase been covered (movement of sta		, •	
	available regarding the measures in		·	Y
aquaculture animals		· place to mamai	in the physical comaminent of	
•	rity procedures been adequately imp	olemented on site	?	Y
If no, detail:				
,				
Results of Surveilla	ance			
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out b	y, or on behalf of	the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results	available for inspection?			Y
3. Any significant res	sults?			Y
•	etailed under recent disease proble	ms).	AGD, peroxide treatments given and	freshwater
F	Records checked between:	16/7/2020 -	6/11/2020	

ГГ	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	suea by: i	гпі			
	Case no:	2020-04	183	Site No:		FS0594			Date of		11/1	1/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL					
	Average weight	700g	700g	700g	1kg	1kg	2kg	2kg					
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW					
Stock Details		Loch Damph	Loch Damph	Loch Damph	ω Migdale	ω Migdale	o Appleburn	Appleburn					
	Tracinity 110	7		7	0	U	U	1					

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infoi	mation:							
0	1	Total To	ests ass	signed	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0483		Site No:	FS0594		Insp:	
Date of Visit	11/11/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		0	40	00	0
species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
							0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
Evneques via water	Number of des	inations Site contacts	0			10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	5 0 T	1-3 	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I		_			
diseases)	<u> </u>	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	;				
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of		_			
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No fooding of u	npasteurised feed	1 0	J 1			
Ose of unpasteurised reeds	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity	r ccairig aripas	Number of sites] 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
		taff and equipment	0		2		1
		and equipment	0	'			
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		Ιο				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
sous of practice				J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	by: FHI	Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2020-0483]	Site No:	FS0594	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problem 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or 3. Does the site have access to a range of I azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) a 4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)?	equivalent) fallowed sync icenced in-feed and bath s s well as access to suitable	hronously on a single ysea lice medications (in e biological and/or med	ncluding deltamethrin, chanical control measures, and	Y Y Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for in	nspection? (Legal SSI, Co(GP Annex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the require	•	•	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels b records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria	a for treatment in the C	OGP during the period that	N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sa	almonis) numbers per fish	been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish	·			N/A
 Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which Have therapeutic treatments been admissuggested criteria for treatment or where C. 	nistered or other actions ta	aken when <i>L. salmonis</i>	levels have exceeded the	N Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where	applicable)?			N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	•			Υ
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carrie	·			Υ
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site	· ·			Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	•	• •	•	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	es reflect sea lice count da	ta? If no please detail I	reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment dam 2. Are measures in place to mitigate agains	•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y Y
ADD Seal Blinds	tensioned nets	MML	Bird nets	
If other, detail below:				
3. Have escape incidents or events been e If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mir 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO a	kip to question 10 nisters? forthwith (where they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	N
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	scapees? If yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and mi be considered under satisfactory meas	inimise the risk of further e			
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	,	f no please detail reas	son(s)	N
Three holes were observed on three pens	. sgaras to containment: 1	, piodoo dotaii redo	,(0)	

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS0594	Date of 135ue. 12/03/2020
2020-0463	Site No. P30394	
Date of Visit: 11/11/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple		
_,,,,		
•	Management Agreements and Statement	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	Y Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	<u> </u>	Y
•	commencement of the agreement or staten	ment?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of r	review?	Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimur	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
farm?		
•	tion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the areaum stocking density of any pen on any farn	
individual farm?	an occorning denotity of any pen on any land	Thrule area of the
	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	f Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
4.4. Dood the FMA o/C identify the overlight	ility and the construction of the district of the same and	versed by the agreement
of statement?	oility and the use of medicines on farms cov	rered by the agreement
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farr	ms?	
	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa	arms? ements for synchronous treatments on farn	ms within the area?
17. Does the Finagra identity the arrange	ements for synchronous treatments on fam	is within the area!
Live Fish Movements		
•	stances when live fish may be introduced of	
area or farm?	amonts for the mayament of live fish as as	
or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on an	u on sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallod? or more year classes may be stocked onto a dstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	ent Agreements Only t include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 25/11/2019	statement? Y

Site No: FS0594

Case No: 2020-0483

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0483	Date of visit: 11/11/2020								
Site No:	FS0594	Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
						_				
Report Summary			1	1						
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI,CNI,SLI, VMD	25/11/2020		Z 1115P							





The Scottish Salmon Company 1 Smithy Lane Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8TA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0169
 Date of Visit
 11/11/2020

 Site No
 FS0594
 Site Name
 Aird

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200483

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained however, a mortality event of 37,281 (4.03%) was reported to the FHI for the week beginning 2/11/2020. Records relating to this period had been inspected remotely on the 9/11/2020 and these figures had not been included.

It is appreciated that when the remote inspection was conducted, these mortality figures had not been input into the database as they occurred over the weekend however, the event was not mentioned at any point during either the remote or physical inspection activities conducted on the 9th and 11th November 2020 respectively.

It would be expected that a significant mortality of this nature would be mentioned during the inspection regardless of whether this had been recorded or not within the company's own database. Presenting and informing on the most up to date information allows the Inspector to have a full understanding of the health of the aquaculture animals on site at the time of the inspection.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were not available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and fish farm management agreements and statements.

During the Inspection three holes were observed on three separate cages on or below the waterline, these were reportedly caused by seal interaction. The site has therefore been recommended for an enhanced containment inspection, this will be conducted in due course.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/11/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/