FHI 059, Version 11		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017					
Case No: 2020-0514			Date of visit: 25/11/2020					
Time spent on site:	3 Hrs	Main Ir	nspector:					
Site No: FS0390	Site Name:	Carness Bay						
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Sco	otland Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 10.2	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-2					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	Y If yes, see addition	al information/clinical score sheet.					
Clinical signs of disease observ	red?		al information/clinical score sheet.					
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see addition	al information/clinical score sheet.					
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Site records checked up until 20/11/2020 b on 20/11/2020

Site inspection and VMD sampling by on 25/11/20, movement records collected 24/11/20.

Visibility excellent on site at time of inspection, 1 dead fish observed on bottom of net. Site currently harvest and likely to be fallow before Christmas, fish showed good feeding response and appeared healthy. Fish sampled for VMD were in very good condition, only chemical treatment required this cycle of fish (transferred in Feb 2020, from nursery site) has been hydrogen peroxide for slight AGD, this hasn't caused issues so far this year. No issues reported or observed on site at time of inspection.

FHI 059, Version 11			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/09/2017
Case No:	2020-0514]	Site No:	FS0390	<u>1</u>			
Date of Visit:		25/11/2020			Inspector(s):]
Registration/Author 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		ite representa	ative?			Y N	ł
Site Details								
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	es inspected	4
	SAL 19 S1							
Age group No Fish	19 S1 69,415							
	69,415 4.9 kg							
Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (Si		Dec. 2020		Next Input Da	eto (Sito)	Jan. 2021		
Next Fallow Date (O	te)	Dec. 2020		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Jan. 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks) of lf yes, detail:	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement records		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec	ction:						06/09/2017	
3. Are records comp								Y
4. Are movement red								Y
 Are records comp Are health certifical 		•		-blo2				Y N/A
6. Are health certification		Juctions (outw	Ith GD) availa	ible :				107
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• •		•				N
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Other (detail))		
	,		• / ·	as may also be	e used			
3. Mortality records of	•	•		122 (2.47		120()		Y
4. Recent mortality (I	•			k46 - 120 (0.179	%), wk45 - 114	4 (0.13%), v	wk44 - 0	N
Evidence of recenIf yes, facility nos/no		••		dreason:				N
If yes, facility hos/no	Mortanty per	lacility/110 3to	ck per racinty,	Teason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	uring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	4-11	t to FIII	O. IZ			Pl. combo		NI/A
8. Have 'mortality even	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, add ivi	IRI case and F	enter on morta	ality events s	sheet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 11	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)? If yes, detail:		N
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y Y N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records		Y

2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If no, detail: 9. No significant results? 1. Is documentation available for inspection? 1. Any significant results? 1. Is documentation available for inspection? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? 1. If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	II other, detail.		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Yesults of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. Yesults of Surveils available for inspection? 1. Any significant results?	2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Piosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Yes, are results available for inspection? Any significant results?	3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. Has any significant results?	4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 1. Has any significant results?	5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. Yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results?	If other, detail:		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9 If no, detail: 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9 Y If yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results?	6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Yes a results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	Biosecurity Records		
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 1. Any significant results?	Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. No significant results?	2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal b	een considered?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? N N	3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterion	nary professional of any	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? 9. If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 9. If yes, are results available for inspection? 9. Any significant results?	4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the	presence of a listed disease	Y
health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Minister	s?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been	covered (equal or higher	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	health status, certification if required)?		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? Y If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epiden	niological unit to minimise	Y
aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Any significant results?	transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or	dead fish etc.)?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Any significant results?	7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physic	al containment of	Y
If no, detail: Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? Y 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	aquaculture animals held on site?		
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?			Y
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	If no, detail:		
2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results?	Results of Surveillance		
3. Any significant results?	1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the busine	ss?	Y
o. 7 kily digitaliouni rocatio.	2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	3. Any significant results?		N
	If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

06/09/2017 - 20/11/2020 Records checked between:

FHI 059, Version 11							ISS	uea by:	FHI			
Case no:	2020-0	514	Site No:		FS0390			Date of Samplin		25/	11/2020	25/
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:		30:00		0:00		Inspecto		Claudy		VMD No). [17
Environmental conditions:	. 1	Dry	4	Wet	اد ا	Sunny	4	Cloudy	5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1	2										
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL										
Average weight	5.0000	6.0000										
Sex												
Water Type	SW	SW										
S	_	_										
Details	Bay	Bay										
¥	Meil Bay	Meil Bay										
Stock Origin Facility No		Ž										
Facility No	4	8										

11/2020	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:									
	Additional Sample Information:												
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l							

FHI 059, Version 11		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/09/2017
Case Number:	2020-0514		Site No:	FS0390		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/11/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		_	40	00	
species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	0
F	Number of des		0			10	
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	Site contacts red (secure water supply through	0	1-5	6-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I					-
diseases)		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					\vdash
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters			Chiocodic		
processors	, , p. c c c c	, p.a a.coa.ggc a.c,	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing		1			$\overline{}$
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	0				\vdash
		n from MS of equivalent status	1				⊢ '
		n from zone or compartment of					-
	equivalent stat		4				
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			-
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				Н
CoGP/Regulator			· · ·	J			
Practices in accordance	Yes		n	1			0
with regulator or industry	No		2				⊢—"∣
code of practice				J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2				${}$
					Total		10
					Rank		LOW

Case No:	2020-0514		S	Site No:	FS0390]	
•	Seawater Sites Only)	s in the previous 4 years	s?				N
•	•	equivalent) fallowed syn		a single ve	ear class basis?		N
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?							
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or		ement agreement or sta	atement relevar	nt to the si	te and CoGP Farm		Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)				Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	standard specified in th	e SSI and the	CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Anno	ex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		low the suggested criter	ria for treatmen	t in the Co	GP during the period	d that	Y
8. Have average adult records are inspected?		monis) numbers per fish	h been at a leve	el of 3 or a	above during the perio	od that	N
f yes, have these beer	n reported to the Fish H	Health Inspectorate? If n	no, FHI see con	nment.			N/A
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infe	station at a level which	is considered to cause	significant welf	fare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)	N
		istered or other actions t elongatus is considered					N/A
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where a	applicable)?					N/A
12. Have therapeutic tr	reatments or the action	is taken had a significan	nt impact upon	the lice lev	vels recorded?		N/A
13. Are treatments, wh	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation betw	veen participati	ng farms?	•		Υ
		where fewer population		_		nt for	Υ
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised Y scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?							
16. Does the stock on steasons.	site appear satisfactory	y in relation to sea lice le	evel and sea lid	ce count d	ata? If no please deta	ail	Υ
Containment Inspecti	ion						
•		ge due to predators in th	he current or pr	revious pro	oduction cycles?		N
2. Are measures in pla	ce to mitigate against t	the predation experience	ed on site? (De	tail below)		Υ
Top Nets, Tensioned	Nets, M.M.L., SealF	Pro Nets					
If other, detail below:							
3. Have escape incide	ents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site	e since the	e last FHI inspection?	?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip ported to Scottish Minis	•				I	
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB fo	orthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4	1.4.37, 5.4	.17)		
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where	they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	il				
8 If all pets were depl	oved was this action as	greed with local wild fish	interests and	was nermi	ission given by Scotti	ieh	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG		greed with local wild list	i interests and	was penni	ission given by Scott		
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and mini	imise the risk of further	escapes? (Not	covered in	n code but could		
be considered under	r satisfactory measu	res of the Act)					
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with r	egards to containment?	If no, please d	etail reaso	on(s)		Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/09/2017

FHI 059, Version 11

FHI 059, Version 11	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017
Case No: 2020-0514	Site No: FS0390	
Date of Visit: 25/11/2020	0 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Statements	
 Is the current FMAg/S available for i Does the FMAg/S identify the releva Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fa Does the FMAg/S identify the date o Does the FMAg/S identify the date o 	int farm management area? irm site(s) to which it applies? if commencement of the agreement or statemer of review?	Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag	gement	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minim farm?	um health standards for the stocks to be introdu	iced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec	nation requirements for stocks held in the area o lies of fish which may be stocked into the area o imum stocking density of any pen on any farm in	r farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrar fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of any de arm?	ad fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arranger	ments for the sharing of data on sea lice number	rs and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms covere	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of available trarms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circu used on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls and cl farms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or rengements for the movement of live fish on and o	

FHI 059, Version 11	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/09/2017
Harvesting		
20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	le harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	lual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates date when a farm or area may be restor	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow cked?	and the earliest
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto site	es covered by the Y
_	proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept of the control o	on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag	gement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement?	ment include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be,
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and	I operated in accordance with the agreement or sta	atement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue	e of the FMAg/S? 11/02/2020	

Case No:	2020-0514	Date of visit: 25/11/2020							
Site No:	FS0390	ı		Inspector:		l			
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
D 10									
Report Summary Case Type									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	26/11/2020								





Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd Crowness Road Hatston Kirkwall, Orkney KW15 1RG

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0095Date of Visit25/11/2020Site NoFS0390Site NameCarness BayInspectorsCase No20200514

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 26/11/20

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/