FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0527			Date of visit: 02/12/2020
Time spent on site:	.5 Hrs	Main Ins	spector:
Site No: FS1080 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Bay of Cleat (North) Cooke Aquaculture Scot	and Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.1	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-1
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additiona	I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	I reason below:	

## **Additional Case Information:**

Inspection of site records carried out remotely on the 26th November 2020 by with shadowing. Inspection of stock on site carried out on the 2nd December 2020 by WJM.

Water clear with good visibility of the stock. Fish shoaling well and responding to feed. No issues observed while on site. Fish removed for vmd sampling appeared in very good condition externally with no lice noted. No gross pathology observed on internal examination of fish taken for vmd sampling.

FMA not fallowed synchronously but RA conducted in accordance with CoGP 4.3.101.

FHI 059, Version 13	ı		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0527		Site No:	FS1080				
Date of Visit:		02/12/2020	1		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	15	No facilitie	es inspected	15
Species	SAL							
Age group	19 S1							
No Fish	201,599							
Mean Fish Wt	4.3 kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	Jan. 2021		Next Input Da		April 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Date of last inspe</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are movement re</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are health certific</li> </ol> Transport Records <ol> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a sys</li> </ol>	plete and correctords available plete and corrected to the correct states for introducts.	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw it by (or on bel	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa :half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			31/07/2019	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records	"-1.1. fami	-4:0						V
Mortality records     How are mortalities.		•			Other (detail)			1
2. How are mortalitied of their detail:			) Carlo Dia s		Other (detail)			
				as may also be	e usea.			V
3. Mortality records	•	•		(00/)	4 (0.040/)	45 20 (0)	040/)	14 (0.040/)
4. Recent mortality	•		•	(0%), wk 46 - 1	4 (U.U1%), WK	45 - 20 (0.	U1%), WK 44 -	_ `
<ol><li>Evidence of recer</li><li>If yes, facility nos/no</li></ol>		• •		droccon:				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	Tacility/110 Sto	ck per racility	/reason.				
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	ieet.		N/A

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
7711 000, 70101011 10		100000 27.1111	Dute 61 18546. 12766/2020
Treatments and Medic	ines Records		
1. Recent treatments (s	ee comment)?		Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.		
If other detail:			

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	ĭ
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	1
Thealth Status, Certification if required):	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	•
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems)	

31/07/2019 - 26/11/2020 Records checked between:

P													
T	Case no:	2020-05	527	Site No:		FS1080			Date of		02/	12/2020	
	riority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	ig: HI			
St	ime sampling tarts/ends:	13:3	0:00	14:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	15
Е	invironmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
S	summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
	I Fish/Pools - click												
	ish nos	1	2										
		1	2										
	Pool Group	0.41	0.41										
	pecies	SAL	SAL										
	verage weight		1.4 Kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
V\	Vater Type	SW	SW										
	stock Origin acility No	4 Willburn (FS0274)	9 Sallachy Site (FS0887)										

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0527		Site No:	FS1080	ı	nsp:	
Date of Visit	02/12/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	۵	18	26	0
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	26 14	0
M							
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	3
Exposure via water	realiser of des	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	red (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
,		or in a coastal zone with category III		_			
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
	namis upstream	TOT WITHIN T HUAI EXCUISION	<u> </u>	4	٥		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0	]			
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent state	us n from Category III farm	4	-			
		n from Category V farm	8				
			10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products		7.1	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator	•			•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		1 0	1			-
a.c access to cages	No		2				0
	1			J			
					Total		15
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0527		Site No:	FS1080	
Is the CoGP Farm M.     Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema	seawater Sites Only) aced sea lice problems in the previous anagement Area (or equivalent) fallo access to a range of licenced in-feed a amectin benzoate) as well as access access access access access	wed synchronously o and bath sea lice med to suitable biological	lications (in and/or med	cluding deltamethrin, hanical control measures, and	N N Y
Management Area (or e		sin or statement relev	ant to the s	nte una coor i um	
	cords available for inspection? (Legal ly reflect the required standard specif		•	.egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salme</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the suggest (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatme	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
If yes, have these been 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infes 10. Have therapeutic tresuggested criteria for the 11. Has any other action 12. Have therapeutic tre 13. Are treatments, who 14. Is there a harvesting 15. Is there a site speci	emale sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numbers reported to the Fish Health Inspector tation at a level which is considered to eatments been administered or other eatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to the eatment or where applicable)? The eatments or the actions taken had a serie conducted, carried out in cooperating strategy for the site, where fewer positic written lice management procedurals observed on stocks reflect sea lice	rate? If no, FHI see coo cause significant wactions taken when the asidered to have welf significant impact upout too between participal pulations or part pope with waypoints des	omment. Telfare probles. The salmonis fare implication the lice leading farms are cribing set a	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)  levels have exceeded the tions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)  evels recorded?  e held without treatment for actions to deal with recognised	N/A N N/A N/A N/A Y
2. Are measures in place	on ced equipment damage due to preda se to mitigate against the predation ex Nets, M.M.L., Seal Pro Sapphire			-	N Y
2			· i 4 i 4 l-	- l4 FIII in -n #0	IN.
If Yes proceed with que 4. Have these been rep 5. Have these been rep	nts or events been experienced on or stions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 orted to Scottish Ministers? orted to local DSFB forthwith (where orted to the SSPO and local fisheries	they exist)? (CoGP –	4.4.37, 5.4	ł.17)	N
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover escapees? If yes g	ive detail			
Ministers? (Legal, CoG 9. What action was take	yed was this action agreed with local – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) en to prevent and minimise the risk of satisfactory measures of the Act)	further escapes? (N			
	as satisfactory with regards to conta		detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0527	Site No: FS1080	
Date of Visit: 02/12/2020	0 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
<ul><li>2. Has a current farm management agr</li><li>3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li><li>4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li><li>5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far</li></ul>	int farm management area? irm site(s) to which it applies? if commencement of the agreement or state	red? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minim farm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be into	roduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the speci	nation requirements for stocks held in the are lies of fish which may be stocked into the are imum stocking density of any pen on any far	ea or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	y dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen	of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice nun	nbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms co	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of availab arms?	le treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circulused on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls ar farms?	nd cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for synchronous treatments on far	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements  18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circularea or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	ngements for the movement of live fish on ar	nd off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest prac	tices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the a	rea or individual farm will be fallow and the ea	urliest Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more yea agreement or statement?	ar classes may be stocked onto sites covered	by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or p covered by the agreement or statement?	otential broodstock are to be kept on any site	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreen 24. Does the farm management agreement include an parties to the agreement?		to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in ac 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S		Y

Site No: FS1080

Case No: 2020-0527

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

O N	0000 0507			D	. 00/40/000	<u> </u>		
Case No:	2020-0527			Date of visi	it: 02/12/202	J.		
Site No:	FS1080	1		Inspecto	r:			
Results Summary	Freq.	D / 1	1.		ate of Notifica		1.	
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	ļ				_			
					_		_	
	<del>1</del>							
	1							
	<del>1</del>							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	09/12/2020							
	<del> </del>							





Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd Crowness Road Hatston Kirkwall, Orkney **KW15 1RG** 

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS No FB0095** SITE NO INSPECTOR

FS1080

**DATE OF VISIT** 02/12/2020

SITE NAME Bay of Cleat (North) 20200527 CASE NO

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 09/12/2020