FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0533			Date of visit: 07/12/2020
Time spent on site: 3	hours	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS1322 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery Incub Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	pation 2
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 5.9	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional i	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:	

#### FHI 059, Version 13

### Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection carried out on the 01/12/2020 and the physical inspection was carried out on the 07/12/2020. Accompanied by the physical inspection was carried out on the 07/12/2020.

Waste is ensiled onsite and transported to SSF Barkip by

The site was stocked in week 48 from Holywood. Eggs disinfected with Buffodein on arrival - 1% for 10 minutes.

Site temperature used as inspectors thermometer was faulty.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0533	]	Site No:	FS1322	2			
Date of Visit:		07/12/2020	5		Inspector(s):	:		
<b>Registration/Autho</b> 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y Y	
Site Details (include	le cleaner fis	sh for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	ocked	5	No facilitie	es inspected	5
Species	SAL							
Age group No Fish	Ova							
Mean Fish Wt	1,557,448 Ova							
Next Fallow Date (Si		No plans		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	January 2	2021	
Recent (last 4 wks) of	· ·				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Movement records</li> <li>Date of last inspect</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are movement records comp</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are health certificat</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a syst</li> </ol>	ection: olete and corr cords availab olete and corr cates for introc nts carried ou	rectly entered? ble for dead fis rectly entered? oductions (outw ut by (or on be	l? sh and waste? l? with GB) availa ehalf) of the bu	lable? usiness (not us			12/03/2019	Y Y Y Y
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	•	d correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (I	• •		WK49 - 0.16	3%				
5. Evidence of recen								N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality d	uring period c	beeked?					N
lf yes, detail:	1 montainty do	Inny penda ci	Neckeu :					
7. Have increased (u	(nexplained)	mortalities be	een reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	niester (		,on repend					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	•
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 12/03/2019 - 07/12/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

		Issued by. I Th			Duic	01 100000	. 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0533		Site No:	FS1322		Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/12/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
openice	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0	5	10	14	5
		•					
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0	3	6	10 10	3
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts		1-5		10	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, v	1-5	0-10		<b></b>
farms (holding species	disinfection or		0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
		or in a coastal zone with category III		2			
	•	n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V	1	3	6		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing		1			
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	0				0
	-		1				
	-	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	_	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				-
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		0				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0533	Site No:	FS1322
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the pre-	evious 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)	fallowed synchronously on a single y	/ear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-f azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as ac	•	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management age Management Area (or equivalent)?	reement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard	specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the surrecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) nu	mbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Insp	pectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is conside	ered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable	)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken ha		evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coo	operation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where few	ver populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice management pro-	cedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect se	a lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to	predators in the current or previous pr	roduction cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predat	ion experienced on site? (Detail below	v) Y
Pest control contract Site i	inside	
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced	on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to questi	on 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (w	here they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fish	neries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If	yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the r	isk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures of the	Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to	containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2020-0533	]		Date of visit:	07/12/2020	)		
Site No:	FS1322	]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	<u> </u>		=		-		-	
	L							
	L							
	L							
	<b> </b>							
1								

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
ECI, CNI	25/01/2021		

# marine scotland science



Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

# SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS1322 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 07/12/2020SITE NAMEBarcaldine Hatchery Incubation 2CASE No20200533

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

# Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/01/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>