FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0534			Date of visit: 07/12/2020			
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Insp	pector:			
Site No: FS1324 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery Incut Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	pation 4			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 5.8	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

### **Additional Case Information:**

Remote inspection carried out on the 01/12/2020 and the physical inspection was carried out on the 07/12/2020. Accompanied by for remote inspection.

Waste is ensiled onsite and transported to SSF Barkip by

Site thermometer used as case inspectors faulty.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0534		Site No:	FS1324				
Date of Visit:		07/12/2020	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	isation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (include	cleaner fisl	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		5	Facilities sto	cked	1	No facilitie	s inspected	5
	SAL							
	Ova							
	1,519,716							
Mean Fish Wt	Ova							
Next Fallow Date (Sit		No plans		Next Input Da		January 20		
Recent (last 4 wks) d	isease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Date of last inspect</li> <li>Are records complet</li> <li>Are movement records complet</li> <li>Are records complet</li> <li>Are health certificat</li> </ol> Transport Records <ol> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a system</li> </ol>	ete and corre ords availabl ete and corre tes for introd	e for dead fisectly entered uctions (out	sh and waste? ? with GB) availa ehalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			22/03/2019	Y Y Y Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalities	s disposed of	f?			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records c		correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): wk45 1.47% 23827 wk46 - 816 0.06% wk47 825 0.05% wk48 2496 0.16%								
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?  If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:						N		
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	tacility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?						N/A		
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	ported to FH	l? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Reco 1. Recent treatments (see comme		N
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N					
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y					
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
	· ·					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y					
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?	N					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						

Records checked between: 22/03/2019 - 07/12/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0534		Site No:	FS1324	ı	nsp:	
Date of Visit	07/12/2020		No of m	No of movements/supp./dest.			
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out				5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		_			
species		ncluding third country	0			26 14	9
	Number of sup		0	5	10		5
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	3
	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Icarm is protect	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		
		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	'		7		$\vdash$
	farms upstrean	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	1	8		
	iaiiiis upstreaii	TOT WILLIIT T LIGHT EXCUISION	<u> </u>	4	°		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0	]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)						
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
		ı from Category III farm	4				
	_	from Category V farm	10				
			10	]			
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	- Site's own waste only processed.						
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
	Yes			1			
Platform access to cages	No		0	-			U
	140		2	J			
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0534	Site No:	FS1324
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	/ear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
_	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i>	
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. e	elongatus is considered to have welfare implication	tions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
•	out in cooperation between participating farms	
	where fewer populations or part populations are	
	ement procedure with waypoints describing set	
	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail i	
		•
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	reduction evelog?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail belov	
	the predation expendenced on site? (Detail below	V) T
Pest control Site inside		
If other, detail below:		
2. Have occano incidente er evente hace even	porioneed on or in the visinity of the site since the	no last EUI inspection?
·	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ie last i rii ilispection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		4.47)
-	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (COGP = 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s)

		_				_		
Case No:	2020-0534			Date of visit:	07/12/2020			
Site No:	FS1324			lmama atawi				
Sile No.	F31324			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI	26/01/2020	шэр	Z IIISP					





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS1324 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 07/12/2020
SITE NAME Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation 4
CASE NO 20200534

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 26/01/2021