FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0541			Date of visit: 08/12/2020			
Time spent on site:	5 hrs	Main Insp	ector:			
Site No: FS1333 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Hatchery The Scottish Salmon Com	pany			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 8	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Unhatched eggs not yet measured out as still given stragglers a few extra days. 10 comp hatch units with 8 trays each (80 trays total) 60 trays stocked. A few weeks fallow in between batches planned for cleaning and disinfection in January 2021. Current batch of Ova arrived 20/11/2020. No treatments applied in the hatchery. Hatchery has it's own recirculation system, separate from the system for the fry unit. Single biosecure entry point to the unit. Plan to eventually have 4 inputs of ova to the unit every year to have fish ready each quarter, however implementation depends on the progression of building works and refurbishment of the other units (smolt).

Due to biosecurity concerns used sites own thermometer.

Accompanied by APHA Vet for training.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0541		Site No:	FS1333				
Date of Visit:		08/12/2020]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	•	ŕ	·				Υ	1
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities			Facilities sto	ocked	60 trays	No facilitie	es inspected	1 hatchery
Species	SAL						Ī	
Age group	Alvins							
No Fish	1,672,800							
Mean Fish Wt	0.1g							
Next Fallow Date (S		January 202	1	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Jan-21		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:						`	·	
 Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre ates for introd ats carried ou	ectly entered? luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi	· ·			N Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ente						Y
4. Recent mortality (•			ality since input	on 20/11/202	20 (33,000)	dead eggs.	
5. Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	r/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	(.))		0.16	1.6.7.	-126			K1/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records				
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N		
If yes, detail:				
If other, detail:				
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		N/A		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?				
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y		
Biosecurity Records				
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recor	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y		
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sc	ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any			
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y		
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the prese	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease			
is detected been included and how and when that will be no	tified to Scottish Ministers?	Y		
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher				
health status, certification if required)?				
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	, ,	Y		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vi	sitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?			
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y		
aquaculture animals held on site?				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ented on site?	Y		
If no, detail:				
Results of Surveillance				
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of, the business?	N		
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?				
3. Any significant results?				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
Records checked between:	10/12/2019 - 08/12/2020			

Case Number: 2020-0541 Site No: FS1333 Insp: Date of Visit 08/12/2020 No of movements/supp./dest. Live fish movements 0 1-5 6-10 >10 Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 14 Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 9 18 26 Number of suppliers 0 5 10 14	5 5 3 3
Live fish movements O 1-5 6-10 >10 Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 14 Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 9 18 26	5 5 3 3
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5 10 14 Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 9 18	5 3 3
with GB) of susceptible species Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 9 18 26	5 3 3
species compartment including third country 0 9 18 26	5 3 3
compartment including tillid country	3 3
	3
	3
Movements off 0 3 6 10	3
Number of destinations 0 3 6 10 Exposure via water Site contacts 0 1-5 6-10	
Exposure via water Site contacts 0 1-5 6-10 Water contacts with other Farm is protected (secure water supply through	
farms (holding species disinfection or borehole)	
susceptible to same Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I	
diseases) farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 2 4	1
Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 3 6	
Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V	
farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 4 8	
Management practices None Secure Unsecure	
Water contacts with Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	
processors 0 1 2	0
On farm processing within No on farm processing	0
the rules of the directive Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	
Processing fish from MS of equivalent status 2	
Processing fish from zone or compartment of	
equivalent status 4	
Processing fish from Category III farm 8	
Processing fish from Category V farm 10	
Disposal of fish and fish by- Site's own waste only processed.	
Common processes with other farms 3	3
Collection point for waste from other farms 5	
Use of unpasteurised feeds No feeding of unpasteurised feed 0	0
Feeding unpasteurised feed 5	
Biosecurity Number of sites 1 2 or 3 ≥ 4	
Contacts with other sites Sites operating from single shorebase 0 1 2	1
Sites sharing staff and equipment 0 1 2	1
Disinfection of equipment Yes 0	0
between sites, use of	U
IOOLDAINS EIC	
CoGP/Regulator	
Practices in accordance Wes 0	0
code of practice No 3	
Platform access to cages Yes 0	0
No 2	3
Total	22
Rank	MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 202	20-0541	Site No:	FS1333	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seaw	vater Sites Only)			
	sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?	Г	
· ·	gement Area (or equivalent) fallo	· ·	year class basis?	
3. Does the site have access	ss to a range of licenced in-feed a ctin benzoate) as well as access t	nd bath sea lice medications (ir	ncluding deltamethrin,	
4. Is there a signed docume Management Area (or equiv	ented farm management agreeme valent)?	nt or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count record	ls available for inspection? (Legal	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Г	
6. Do records adequately re	eflect the required standard specif	ied in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i> records are inspected? (Co) record levels below the suggesto GP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult fema	ale sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers	per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	
If yes, have these been repo	orted to the Fish Health Inspector	ate? If no, FHI see comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infestatio	on at a level which is considered to	cause significant welfare prob	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
•	nents been administered or other anents or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con			
11. Has any other action be	een taken (where applicable)?		Г	
12. Have therapeutic treatm	nents or the actions taken had a si	gnificant impact upon the lice I	evels recorded?	
·	conducted, carried out in cooperat			
	rategy for the site, where fewer po			
	ritten lice management procedure			
·	bserved on stocks reflect sea lice	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	_	
Containment Inspection				
-	equipment damage due to preda	tors in the current or previous r	aroduction cycles?	J
· ·	mitigate against the predation ex		-	/
2. Are measures in place to	miligate against the predation ex	perienced on site: (Detail belo	w)	
If other, detail below:				
Site inside, Rent-o-kill				
3. Have escape incidents of	or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since t	he last FHI inspection?	١
If Yes proceed with question	ns 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10		_	
4. Have these been reported	d to Scottish Ministers?		Г	
5. Have these been reported	d to local DSFB forthwith (where t	hey exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)	
•	d to the SSPO and local fisheries	•	_	
7. Were methods (if any) us	sed to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail	Г	
O If will made viscos devel	wee this estion constitution to	wild find internal and the	minaian miyan hy O	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4	was this action agreed with local 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	who han interests and was peri	This stort given by Scottish	
	prevent and minimise the risk of	further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could	
	isfactory measures of the Act)			
	satisfactory with regards to contai	nment? If no, please detail rea	son(s)	/
The the one moperior do	Table 1 Togardo to somal	The state of the s	(-)	

Case No:	2020-0541			Date of visit:	08/12/2020]			
Site No:	FS1333	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
	<u> </u>								
	 								
	 								
	 								
	 								
Report Summary			1	1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI	11/12/2020		z irisp						
201, 0111	11,12,2323								





The Scottish Salmon Company 1 Smithy Lane Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8TA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0169 SITE NO FS1333 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 08/12/2020
SITE NAME Applecross Hatchery
CASE NO 20200541

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

The waste transfer note did not state the quantity of fish waste uplifted. It was
discussed with a site representative that this must be recorded in future and that this
should be rectified retrospectively if this information can be obtained from the
contractor.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/