FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0055			Date of visit: 20/05/2021
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS1338	Site Name:	Scalpay	
Business No: FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9.9	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-28
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Paperwork conducted remotely 13/05/21 by \_\_\_\_\_, observed by \_\_\_\_. Site inspection by \_\_\_\_\_, shadowed by \_\_\_\_\_. VMD sampling by \_\_\_\_\_.

Site is very exposed, especially from northerly. A lot of movement reportedly goes through the site.

2 fish sampled for VMD, both appeared healthy, site is due to undergo freshwater treatments as sea lice numbers have increased. Site has been harvesting, with the pens worst affected by CMS being targeted) and were thinning down cages during the site inspection using the Inter Caledonia.

Site manager reported that the seal pro nets have been very effective mitigation measure against seal predation, with no seal damage noted on any fish (incl mortalities) since input.

Fish were sitting deeper in the water and visibility was poor at time of inspection.

10-15 mortalities observed across site at time of inspection, these were to be removed, a team was working round the pens, but there were multiple operations on-going including net washing.

One pen unable to inspect fully due to net washing operations and one pen where wellboat operations were on-going where the fish were being crowded to be split to an empty pen.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2021-0055	]	Site No:	FS1338						
Date of Visit:		20/05/202	1		Inspector(s):					
Registration/Autho										
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by	site represent	ative?			Υ			
2. Changes made to	details?						Y			
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)							
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	ocked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	12		
Species	SAL	LUM	WRA							
Age group	2020 Q1	2020	Wild							
No Fish	~400,000	~35,000	~19,000							
Mean Fish Wt	4.6 kg	Mixed	Mixed							
Next Fallow Date (Si		August 202		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Feb 2020	•			
Recent (last 4 wks)	*			_	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N		
If yes, detail:					, ,	<u> </u>	,			
2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?										
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed d	of?			Ensiled - on s	site				
If other detail:										
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly en	tered?					Y		
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		WK 19 - 32	7 for site, attribเ	uted to CMS, V	VK 18 - 2,0	67 attributed t	o CMS, WK		
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	atypical morta		·	,	,		N		
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason:						
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality dւ	iring period o	hecked?					N		
If yes, detail:										
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities b	een reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A		
If yes, detail action:										
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	II? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y		

Treatments and Medicines Records	V								
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y								
If yes, detail: T.M.S.									
If other, detail:									
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?									
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?									
If other, detail:									
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y								
Biosecurity Records									
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y								
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y								
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any									
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y								
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease									
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y								
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y								
health status, certification if required)?									
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y								
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?									
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y								
aquaculture animals held on site?									
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y								
If no, detail:									
Results of Surveillance									
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y								
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y								
3. Any significant results?	N								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).									
Records checked between: Input (1st cycle) to 13/05/21									

ы	11 059, Version 13							ISS	ued by: F	ПІ			
	Case no:	2021-00	)55	Site No:		FS1338			Date of vi		20/0	05/2021	20/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:		0:00 Cloudy		0:00 Sunny		Inspecto Calm	or: 4		5	VMD No	р. <b>[</b> 	19
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	5 kg	5 kg										
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Sconser Quarry	O Sconser Quarry										
S	I domey 140	9	10										

D5/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0055		Site No:	FS1338	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	20/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	I 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
and raids of the anddays	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			0
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021	-0055 Site No	p: FS1338
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawar	er Sites Only)	
1. Has the site experienced se	ea lice problems in the previous 4 years?	N/A
2. Is the CoGP Farm Manager	ment Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a sing	le year class basis?
	to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or n	
4. Is there a signed document Management Area (or equival	ed farm management agreement or statement relevant to the ent)?	e site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records a	available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
	ect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) r records are inspected? (CoG	ecord levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the P Annex 6)	e CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female	sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been report	ed to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment	. Y
9. Is C. elongatus infestation	at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare pr	oblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
•	nts been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmon</i> nt or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare impli	
11. Has any other action been	taken (where applicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatmen	its or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice	e levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where cor	ducted, carried out in cooperation between participating far	ms?
14. Is there a harvesting strate	egy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations	are held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific writ	ten lice management procedure with waypoints describing s	et actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels obse	erved on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please det	ail reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	quipment damage due to predators in the current or previous	s production cycles?
	itigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail be	
Top Nets, tensioned nets, S		,
If other, detail below:	real Florida,	
3. Have escape incidents or e	events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	e the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions	4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported t	o Scottish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported t	o local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported t	o the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they e	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used	I to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
0.16 -: 11		and a large base by 20 Hills
8. If gill nets were deployed with Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4	as this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was policies, 38, 5.4.18)	ermission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to p	revent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covere	ed in code but could
be considered under satisf	actory measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as sa	tisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail re	eason(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0055	Site No: FS1338	
Date of Visit: 20/05/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	ithin a farm management area?	v.
<ol> <li>Is the farm under inspection located w</li> <li>If N, no further questions require comple</li> </ol>	_	Y
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management agree</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for ins</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li> </ol>	farm management area? n site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinat 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim individual farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro tion requirements for stocks held in the area s of fish which may be stocked into the area um stocking density of any pen on any farm ements for the storage and disposal of any	or farm? Y or farm? Y in the area or the
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	f Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	oility and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual farm	ements for the sensitivity testing of available ms?	treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsused on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and arms?	cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced or ements for the movement of live fish on and	
or individual farms?		To the state of th

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	o sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to bec	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S?  30/12/2019	or statement?

Site No: FS1338

Case No: 2021-0055

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Casa Na	2024 0055			D-46.::-:4	20/05/2024				
Case No:	2021-0055	ı		Date of visit	20/05/2021	J			
Site No:	FS1338	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ition			
·		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
	+								
	1								
	+								
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	26/05/2021								
	1								





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 20/05/2021

 SITE NO
 FS1338
 SITE NAME
 Scalpay

 CASE NO
 20210055
 INSPECTORS

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately / inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 26/05/21

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>