Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
		Date of visit: 28/04/2021
rs	Main Inspec	etor:
Site Name: Business Name:	Linnhe Mowi Scotland Ltd	
CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed
Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-33
ish present? !?	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
out intended visit detail r	eason below:	
	Site Name: Business Name: CNI 3 SLI Thermometer No: Region: HI ish present?	Site Name: Business Name: CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 Thermometer No: Region: HI Water type: S ish present? Y If yes, see additional info N If yes, see additional info N If yes, see additional info

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork completed remotely on 22/04/2021 by , shadowed by Physical site inspection on 28/04/2021 by

Next cycle plan to get 120m circles.

2021 wk6 AF 0.72, 2021 wk7 0.52 treatment in 2021 wk7, below CoGP in wk8, 2021 wk09 0.55 AF, wk9 treatment for 6 out of 12 cages on site, following week below CoGP levels, 2021 wk15 0.55 AF, following week below CoGP, harvests planned for the next few weeks, will target cages with highest lice counts for harvest first. Just recently also an increase in water temperature which means WRS are working better.

Most pens had a small number of fish with physical damage this appeared to be predominantly damage to the eyes. Most affected fish were deeper in the water, still actively swimming or too far away to be removed. Two lethargic fish were able to be removed which had both eyes missing. These fish appeared to be in good condition apart from the missing eyes. They were opened up but no gross pathology was observed so no samples collected. The site manager suspected the damage was related to recent mechanical treatments. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0059		Site No:	FS0240				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		24	Facilities sto	ocked	11	No facilitie	s inspected	24
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group	2020 Q1	wild, mixed						
No Fish	288,827	9,160						
Mean Fish Wt	5.4kg	mixed						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	June 2021		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Sept 2021	+ Dec 2021	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords availab plete and corr cates for introd s nts carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Biogas - Barl	кір		
If other detail:		te to Dundas (l Barkip				
3. Mortality records		correctly ente						Y
4. Recent mortality				0.14%, 453 mo	orts per site; w	/b 29/3/21 (0.10%, 328 m	
5. Evidence of recei		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:	IT Mortality dt	ing period cr	iconeu!					IN
7. Have increased ((Inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	mortanties be	en reported to	o vector i i i i :				14/74
8. Have 'mortality e	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet		N/A
J Idito infolianty Ci	C. NO DOCK IC	portou to i ili	, critci	astans on mon	0101110 31			,, ,

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020								
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y								
If yes, detail: If other, detail: TMS										
	2. Medicines records available for inspection?									

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail: TMS	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail: TMS	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a lister is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or health status, certification if required)? 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to measure the status of the status of the presence of a lister is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or health status, certification if required)?	ed disease Y higher Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Υ
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	IV
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 19/11/2020-22/04/2021

Г	ni uba, version 13							ISS	ued by: F	П			
	Case no:	2021-00	059	Site No:		FS0240			Date of v Sampling		28/0	04/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Camping	HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:0	0:00	11:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). 	20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	\Box	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	5.4kg	5.4kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		4- Loch Arkaig	م Loch Arkaig										
S	T domey No	14	10										

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0									

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0059		Site No:	FS0240		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/04/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	10
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		0	10	26	
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	5
						_	40
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6 6	10 10	3
Exposure via water	Ivalliber of des	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
,		or in a coastal zone with category III					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
	iaims upstream	TOT WILLIAM T HAZI EXCUSSION	'		0		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
		from zone or compartment of		1			
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	J			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	I 0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	5 1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		1 0	1			
between sites, use of	No		0				U
footbaths etc	<u> </u>		1	J			
Practices in accordance	Yes		T .	1			
with regulator or industry			0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		T 0	1			0
access to days	No		2				0
				J			
					Total		31
					Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2021-0059	:	Site No:	FS0240	
Sea Lice Inspection	(Seawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experie	enced sea lice problems in the p	revious 4 years?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm I	Management Area (or equivalen	t) fallowed synchronously on	a single y	year class basis?	Υ
3. Does the site have	access to a range of licenced in	-feed and bath sea lice medic	cations (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Υ
	namectin benzoate) as well as a				es, and
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	ocumented farm management ag equivalent)?	greement or statement releva	int to the s	site and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count r	ecords available for inspection?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			Υ
	tely reflect the required standard		CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex	6) Y
7. Are sea lice (L. saln records are inspected	monis) record levels below the s ? (CoGP Annex 6)	uggested criteria for treatme	nt in the C	OGP during the period th	nat N
8. Have average adult	t female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) n	umbers per fish been at a lev	el of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/	(19) or N
_	en reported to the Fish Health Ins			**	N/A
	estation at a level which is consid	•		lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3	3.50) N
10. Have therapeutic t	treatments been administered or treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i>	r other actions taken when L.	salmonis	levels have exceeded the	ne Y
	ion been taken (where applicable				Ý
•	treatments or the actions taken I		the lice le	evels recorded?	Y
•	here conducted, carried out in co				· Y
	ng strategy for the site, where fe				for N
	cific written lice management pro				
	els observed on stocks reflect s	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		ymseu 1
To. Do the sea lice lev	ers observed on stocks reflect s	ea lice count data: Il no pied	ise detail i	reasons.	'
Containment Inspect	tion				
1. Has the site experie	enced equipment damage due to	predators in the current or p	revious pi	roduction cycles?	N
2. Are measures in pla	ace to mitigate against the preda	ation experienced on site? (D	etail belov	v)	Υ
If other, detail below	<i>/</i> :				
top nets, tensioned ne	ets, seal blinds				
3. Have escape incide	ents or events been experienced	d on or in the vicinity of the si	te since th	ne last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with qu	uestions 4 – 9. If No skip to ques	tion 10			
4. Have these been re	eported to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been re	eported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	
	eported to the SSPO and local fi	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			.17)
7. Were methods (if a	ny) used to recover escapees?	f yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were dep Ministers? (Legal, Co	oloyed was this action agreed wit GP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	th local wild fish interests and	l was pern	nission given by Scottish	
9. What action was tal	ken to prevent and minimise the	risk of further escapes? (No	t covered i	in code but could	
be considered unde	er satisfactory measures of th	e Act)			
	ed as satisfactory with regards to		detail reas	son(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0059	Site No: FS0240		
Date of Visit: 28/04/2021	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm 2. Has a current farm management agre 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been spection? It farm management area? It site(s) to which it applies? It commencement of the agreement review?	en prepared?	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?		to be introduced to the area or	Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm? 	es of fish which may be stocked into	o the area or farm?	Y Y Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa		al of any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem		lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on f	arms covered by the agreement	t Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir		f available treatments for sea	Υ
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f		ntrols and cleaner fish are to be	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang		ts on farms within the area?	Υ
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be intr	oduced or removed from the	Υ
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fi	sh on and off sites in the area	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptal	ble harvest practices on farms in the area or in	ndividual farms?
	s by which the area or individual farm will be fa	allow and the earliest
date when a farm or area may be reston 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked ont	to sites covered by the
•	broodstock or potential broodstock are to be knt?	xept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Mana 24. Does the farm management agree parties to the agreement?	gement Agreements Only ment include arrangements for persons to bed	come, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issu	d operated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? dated: 20/11/2019,	or statement? Y reviewed 30/11/2019

Site No: FS0240

Case No: 2021-0059

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_			
Case No:	2021-0059			Date of visit:	28/04/2021				
Cita Nav	E00040								
Site No:	FS0240	l		Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
ŕ	· ·	Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
						_			
	 								
Report Summary									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI,CNI,SLI, VMD	10/05/2021								
case closed	31/05/2021								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 28/04/2021

 Site No
 FS0240
 Site Name
 Linnhe

 Case No
 20210059
 Inspector

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 10 June 2021. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 31/05/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 28/04/2021

 SITE NO
 FS0240
 SITE NAME
 Linnhe

 CASE NO
 20210059
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

On this occasion recommendations were issued in relation to:

 A harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment, with regards to sea lice was not available.

Please ensure that this point has been addressed by 10 June 2021. Records or documentation demonstrating that this point has been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above point not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/