FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0063				Date of visit: 28/04/	2021
Time spent on site:	hrs	_	Main Inspecto	r:	
Site No: FS0742 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Glenfinnan Mowi Scotland I	Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 6.9	Thermometer No:	T152	\supset	FHI 045 completed	N
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type	: F	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?			mation/clinical score sh	
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?			mation/clinical score sh	
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see	additional inforr	mation/clinical score sh	eet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Stock on site have been vaccinated against PD with Ajmicro 1 and 6.

Haemorrhagic smolt syndrome (HSS) and nephrocalcinosis are present on site. HSS has been the most significant cause of mortalities, however is not causing large mortalities within the population on site.

Ensiled waste is collected by Fergusons and taken to Scanbio in Inverness.

Fish came onto site from Inchmore (FS0226).

Site has begun moving fish off to sea sites. ~112k fish will be moved to Colonsay (FS1296), the remaining stock will go to Seaforth (FS1042).

Site is prophylactically treating against fungus every 2 days using Formalin and Cress (Bronopol).

A few moribund fish observed across the site (~4) displaying fungal lesions.

Case Paperwork completed remotely on 21/04/2021. Site inspection completed on 28/04/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0063		Site No:	FS0742				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2021	1		Inspector(s)	:		•
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made to	details?						N	1
Site Details (include	e cleaner fisl	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		32	Facilities sto	ocked	14	No facilitie	es inspected	32
	SAL		. 6.6	I		110 100	I	
•	2021 Q2							
No Fish	~1 million							
Mean Fish Wt	128g							
Next Fallow Date (Sit		Beginning of	May 21	Next Input Da	te (Site)	July 2021		
Recent (last 4 wks) d	,				Any escape		visit)?	N
` _			rome (HSS) a	and Nephrocald			,	•
 Are records completed. Are movement records completed. Are records completed. Are health certificators. Transport Records. Are any movement records. Are any movement records. 	ords availablete and correctes for introdets carried out	e for dead fisectly entered? uctions (outwood)	h and waste? with GB) availant	able? usiness (not usi	-			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a		•			Ensiled - on	oito		'
How are mortalitieother detail:	s disposed of	· ·			Ensilea - on	Site		
	amplete and	oorroothy onto	orod?					
3. Mortality records of	•	•		(O OCO() M/I-4 4.	COC (0.0C0()	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	- (0,000(), \M/I	10.4.405
 Recent mortality (language) Evidence of recent 				(0.06%) Wk14:	030 (0.06%)	, VVN 13. 623	5 (U.U6%), VVR	(12. 1,405 V
If yes, facility nos/no		••		droocon:				<u> </u>
•	, ,	•		71645011.				
Slight increase in mo 6. Any other peaks in								N
If yes, detail:	Thortality du	ing penda ch	eckeu:					
7. Have increased (u	nexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents' been re	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records			
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?			Y
If yes, detail: Formalin			
Cress TMS			
If other, detail: (Bronopol) 2. Medicines records available for inspection?			
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?			<u> </u>
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?			<u> </u>
•	ormalin	Cross (Proposal)	TMC
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail:	-ormalin	Cress (Bronopol)	TMS
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?			
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?			
Biosecurity Records			
Biosecurity records available for inspection?			
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording the manner and frequency of mortality removal.	ing and safe	disposal been considere	ed?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scott	_	•	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	ittisii iviii iistei	is or veterinary profession	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	nce or susnic	rion of the presence of a	listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notif			Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked			al or higher Y
health status, certification if required)?		one been covered (eque	a of riighter
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	d hetween e:	ach enidemiological unit	to minimise Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visi			
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place			
aquaculture animals held on site?	o to mantan	the physical containing	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemen	nted on site?	•	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or co	on behalf of,	the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	,		Y
3. Any significant results?			N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).			
Records checked between: 2	25/08/2020 -	23/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0063		Site No:	FS0742		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/04/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
, page 1	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
Mayamanta off			1 0			10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion 1 4 8						
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	<u> </u>	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				O
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		14 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0063	Site No:	FS0742
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
·	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or me	ncluding deltamethrin,
and the seek has deadlessed by a presentable deadless	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sali	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare prob	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implications.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	s?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations ar	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against t	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	w) Y
Top nets, tensioned nets		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	he last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.	.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action as Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perr	mission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail rea	son(s) Y

٠.	ii 055, version 15							100	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2021-00)63	Site No:		FS0742			Date of		28/	04/2021	28/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	10:4	5:00	11:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	31
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
		E4.40	E47.04	E00.40	E40.05								
	Fish nos	F1-16	F17-31	F32-48	F49-65								
	Pool Group	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41								
	Species	SAL		SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	128g	128g	128g	128g								
	Sex												
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW								
		26	26	26	26								
		FS0226	02	FS0226	02								
			FS		FS								
Details		ē	ē	ē	ē								
)et		e e	mo	mo	mo								
		Inchmore	Inchmore FS0226	nchmore	Inchmore FS0226								
Stock	Stock Origin												
S	Facility No	9	12	13	14								

)4/2021	Addition Overdo	nal Sam se with	ple Infor MS222.	mation:							
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1					

Site No: FS0742

10. 1 007 12

Case No: 2021-0063

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0063			Data of vi	sit: 28/04/20	721					
Case No.	2021-0003	J		Date of vi	SIL. 20/04/20	JZ 1					
Site No:	FS0742]		Inspect	tor:						
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification								
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Ir			
	-										
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, VMD	04/05/2021										
	+										
	+										
· 											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 DATE OF VISIT 28/04/2021
SITE NO FS0742 SITE NAME Glenfinnan
CASE NO 20210063 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 04/05/2021