FHI 059, Version 13	I	ssued by: FHI	!	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0064			Date of	visit: 29/04/2021
Time spent on site:	hrs	Ma	ain Inspector:	
Site No: FS0649 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Arkaig Mowi Scotland Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 6	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045	5 completed N
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	F CoG	P MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see add	itional information/cli itional information/cli itional information/cli	inical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:		

Additional Case Information:

Fish came onto site from Finfish, Inverpolly (FS0264).

Fish will be moved off site next month. Organic stock is going to Loch Duich (FS0248) and the non-organic stock will be going to Seaforth (FS1042).

Current stock on site has done really well with very little mortality since input. Staff have observed a slight increase in mortality in the last 2/3 weeks attributed to fungus as a result of the fish smolting.

Few fish observed with fungal lesions across the site (<10). These were not moribund and were active in the water.

Case paperwork completed 20/04/2021. Site inspection completed 29/04/2021.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0064		Site No:	FS0649				
Date of Visit:		29/04/2021]		Inspector(s)	:		ı
Registration/Auth	norisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site de			ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made	to details?						N	
Site Details (inclu	ıde cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		24	Facilities sto	cked	15	No facilitie	es inspected	24
Species	SAL							
Age group	21 Q1							
No Fish	1,401, 509							
Mean Fish Wt	78g							
Next Fallow Date (` '	May 2021		Next Input Da	• '	August 20		
Recent (last 4 wks	s) disease probl	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Recor	ds							
1. Movement reco		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last insp							06/02/2019	
3. Are records con		ectly entered?					00,02,20.0	Y
4. Are movement i	•	•		•				N/A
5. Are records con								N/A
6. Are health certif	•	•		able?				N/A
Transport Record	·le							
1. Are any movem		t hy (or on he	half) of the hi	isiness (not iisi	ng a STR)?			N
If yes, is there a sy					-			
Mandal'to Dana d								
Mortality Records								V
1. Mortality records		•			la sia sasta d			ī
2. How are mortali If other detail:	ties disposed o	Τ?			Incinerated	on site		
	a complete and	aarraath, ant	orod0					
3. Mortality records	•	correctly enti		0.440() \\MI-4.4	070 (0.400/)	\ \M!+40-00	2 (0 050() 14/1	(40: 070
4. Recent mortality	•	tunical marta		0.11%), Wk14	878 (0.13%)), VVK13: 368	3 (U.U5%), VVI	√12: 279 ■ ∨
5. Evidence of rec		* *		/rosson:				
		•						
Slight increase in r 6. Any other peaks				to rungus.				
If yes, detail:		•		ed to fungal inf	ection			'
7. Have increased					ection.			N/A
If yes, detail action	• •		J. A. Topolica it					,, .
8. Have 'mortality		ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		Y
			-,		,			

Treatments and Me			V
1. Recent treatments	,		Y
If yes, detail:	Formalin		
If other details	Cress TMS		
	(Bronopol) s available for inspection?		V
	lete and correctly entered?		, V
4. Are fish in a withdr	•		\ \
5. If yes, what treatm	•	Formalin	'
	Cress TMS	FOIIIIaiiii	
	(Bronopol)		
6. Are medicines stor			Y
	is appropriately:		
Biosecurity Record	ls		
_	s available for inspection?		Y
		ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner a	nd period in which the APB will notify S	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
	ned) mortality at the site been included		Y
4. Has the action that	it will be taken in the event that the pres	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been incl	luded and how and when that will be no	otified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health sta	itus of aquaculture animals being stock	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification	ation if required)?		
6. Have the husband	dry and biosecurity measures implemen	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disea	ase been covered (movement of staff, v	visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation a	available regarding the measures in pla	ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals	held on site?	•	
8. Have the biosecur	rity procedures been adequately implem	nented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
•			
Results of Surveilla	ance		
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, o	or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results a	available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant res	ults?		N
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems).		
P	Pacarde chacked hatwaan:	06/02/2019 - 29/04/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0064		Site No:	FS0649		Insp:	
Date of Visit	29/04/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
•	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3		10	6
Wovernonts on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water	,	Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	!	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		11 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0064	Site No:	FS0649
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or medications)	ncluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. sal.	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare problem	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	lout in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
	ement procedure with waypoints describing set	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Electric fence, top nets	the predation expendiced on site: (Detail below	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
If other, detail below:		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	p to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	
O. If will restaurant death of the city		oleria e di con le constituto
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern	
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

	mi 059, version 15							1550	ieu by. FHI			
	Case no:	2021-00	064	Site No:		FS0649			Date of visit/ Sampling:	29/	04/2021	29/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	sampling.	н]	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	10:3	0:00	11:0	0:00		Inspector	r:		VMD N	0.	18
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5]	
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA	Total Sa	mples
Δ	dd Fish/Pools - click											
		E4.40	E47.00	E0.4. E0	FF4 00	E04 70						
	Fish nos	F1-16	F17-33	F34-50	F51-63	F64-76						
L	Pool Group											
	Species	SAL		SAL	SAL	SAL						
	Average weight	78g	78g	78g	78g	78g						
	Sex											
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW						
		64	49	64	64	64						
		Inverpolly FS0264	Inverpolly FS0264	FS0264	FS0264	Inverpolly FS0264						
		FS	FS	FS	FS	FS						
Detaile		≧	≧	<u></u>	<u> </u>	Ş						
) d		od.	od.	od.	od.	od.						
	/ I	ver	ver	Inverpolly	Inverpolly	ver						
70-tV	Stock Origin											
Ċ.	Facility No	17	19	20	21	18						

04/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
	Overdo	se with	TMS.											
0 Total Tests assigned 0														
	ı	. Otal I		gcu	Ü									
	T	1	l				l				l	l		

Site No: FS0649

Case No: 2021-0064

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

O N.	0004 0004				00/04/0	204					
Case No:	2021-0064	J		Date of vi	sit: 29/04/20	J21					
Site No:	FS0649]		Inspec	tor:						
D	Ir										
Results Summary	Freq.	Databas	Date of Notification Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd								
		Databas	ПЗР	THORIC	шэр	witting	ШЭР	2 nd Ins			
							_				
							_				
			_		_		_				
Report Summary				7							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1							
ECI, CNI, VMD	04/05/2021										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 29/04/2021

 Site No
 FS0649
 Site Name
 Loch Arkaig

 Case No
 20210064
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained. Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Date: 04/05/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/