FHI 059, Version 13	l:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0118			Date of visit: 13/05/2021			
Time spent on site:	Hours	Main Inspec	etor:			
Site No: FS0575	Site Name:	Ormsary Smolt Unit				
Business No: FB0061	Business Name:	Landcatch Natural Selection	Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 MIX	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.1	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.			
Clinical signs of disease observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
Gross pathology observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
Diagnostic samples taken?		N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons

Due to ongoing covid-19 situation paperwork was completed remotely on the 19/05/2021 and the physical inspection took place on the 13/05/21. Both were conducted by and observed by

Mortality -

WK18 - 22S0 - 3510 (0.45%), 21S1 2219 (0.06%)

WK17 - 21S0 - 3049 (0.08%)

WK16 - 21S1 - 1232 (0.29%), 21S0 - 3011 (0.08%)

WK15 - 21S1 - 467 (0.11%), 21S0 - 4604 (0.12%)

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0118		Site No:	FS0575				
Date of Visit:		13/05/2021	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	o oroanor no	109	Facilities sto	cked	64	No facilitie	es inspected	109
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	21 S0	22 S1						
No Fish	3,112,958	2,195,942					<del>                                     </del>	
Mean Fish Wt	6g	0.5g						
Next Fallow Date (S		No plans	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	No plans		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					,	(	,	
2. Date of last inspection:  3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?  5. Are records complete and correctly entered?  6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  Transport Records  1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?							Y N/A N/A N/A	
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities disposed of? Incinerated - on site								
If other detail:								
Mortality records complete and correctly entered?						Y		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): See additional information								
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?						N		
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:							<b>1</b> 174	
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?						N/A		
If yes, detail action:							N1/A	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.						N/A		

Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see co			Y			
	Formalin,					
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.					
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records availab	ole for inspection?		Y			
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal pe	eriod?		Y			
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		Formalin, T.M.S.				
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored app	ropriately?		Y			
Biosecurity Records  1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?  2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?  4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?  5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?						
•	•	ented between each epidemiological unit to minimise, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y			
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?						
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health sur	rveillance been carried out by,	or on behalf of, the business?	Y			
2. If yes, are results available	•		Y			
3. Any significant results?			N			
	under recent disease problems	s).				
Records	checked between:	25/02/2019 - 19/05/2021				

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0118		Site No:	FS0575		Insp:	
Date of Visit	13/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3		10	10
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	6
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2021-0118	Site No:	FS0575					
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)							
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the	previous 4 years?						
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent	ent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	year class basis?					
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as							
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Management Area (or equivalent)?	4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm  Management Area (or equivalent)?						
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspectio	n? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)						
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard	ard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)					
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	e suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that					
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis	) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or					
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health	•						
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is con	•	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)					
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elonga</i> :	or other actions taken when L. salmonis	levels have exceeded the					
11. Has any other action been taken (where applica	able)?						
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions take		evels recorded?					
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in							
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where							
15. Is there a site specific written lice management							
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect							
	·						
Containment Inspection							
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due	to predators in the current or previous pr	roduction cycles?					
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the pre	edation experienced on site? (Detail below	v) Y					
Ren-to-kil Bird Nets E	lectric Fence						
If other, detail below:							
Tank lids/cover, some tanks inside							
3. Have escape incidents or events been experience	ced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?					
If Yes proceed with questions 4 - 9. If No skip to qu	estion 10						
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith	h (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)					
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local	fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)					
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees	? If yes give detail						
O If will make yours developed your things their settings.	with local wild fich interests and we	sission siven by Coottish					
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish					
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise t		in code but could					
be considered under satisfactory measures of							
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards	to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s) Y					

		_				_			
Case No:	2021-0118			Date of visit:	13/05/2021				
6'' N	E00575								
Site No:	FS0575			Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	eq. Date of Notification							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
			·		_	J		2 1110	
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
ECI, CNI	26/05/2021		2 11100						
ECI, CNI MIX	26/05/2021 27/05/2021								





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0061 Date of Visit 13/05/2021

SITE NO FS0575 SITE NAME Ormsary Smolt Unit

CASE NO 20210118 INSPECTOR

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

R04

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>