FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2021-0133			Date of visit: 26/05/2021					
Time spent on site:	nrs	Main Inspecto	or:					
Site No: FS0629 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Poll Na Gille Mowi Scotland Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 9.3	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N N N N N N N N N N N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	ason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Fish came onto site from Glenfinnan at the beginning of May 2020.

Wild caught wrasse on site were caught around Mull, Loch Melfort and some were imported from Ireland (not since last inspection). Wrasse were stocked at 4% however, site staff are not observing many in the cages.

Wellboat was on site during inspection conducting FW treatments. Fish in all cages appeared in good health with minimal physical damage observed across the site. No moribunds were observed.

Some lumpfish observed across the site but not many. Those that were observed appeared to be in good health and no physical damage was noted. Site due to receive a lumpfish input next month from Anglesey.

Wrasse mortality for the site was 13% since input (May 2020). Lumpfish mortality was 30% since input (May 2020)

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Paperwork inspected remoteley 19/05/2021. Site inspection completed on 26/05/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13			ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0133		Site No:	FS0629				
Date of Visit:		26/05/2021)		Inspector(s)			ı
Registration/Author	risation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			ite represei	ntative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?		·				Υ	j
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities s	stocked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM				T	
Age group	2020 Q2	Wildcaught	2020					
No Fish	609, 411	38,800	40,812					
Mean Fish Wt	4.2kg	Mixed	90g					
Next Fallow Date (S		Sep 21		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	April 22		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prol	blems?		N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	Y
If yes, detail:								
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys 	olete and cor cates for intro s nts carried o	rectly entered? oductions (outw	vith GB) ava	ailable? business (not us	· ·			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed	of?			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Ensiled at I	Kames pier and	d collected l	by Billy Bowie an	d taken to En	ergen at Cu	mbernauld.	
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•			3 (0.08%); Wk18	: 1,181 (0.179	%); Wk17: 2	.69 (0.07%); V	
5. Evidence of recei		* *						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	er facility/no sto	ck per facil	ity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks if yes, detail:	n mortality d	luring period ch	necked?					N
7. Have increased (unevolained) mortalities ha	on reported	to yet or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained	inortanties be	en reported	TO VELOI FIT!				IN/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been r	reported to FHI	? If no ente	er details on mort	ality events s	heet.		N/A
The state of the s			,					

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspec	tion?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly en	tered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Ϋ́

If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	<u> </u>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	*
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	·
health status, certification if required)?	
Tiodin' Status, Solution in Foquitou).	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Moritella viscosa, but not causing significant si	ynficiant
Report date 27th April 2021.	

Records checked between:

24/11/2020 - 20/05/2021

mi ube, version 13							188	ueu by.	гпі			
Case no:	2021-0 <i>°</i>	133	Site No:		FS0629					26/0	05/2021	26/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g: HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	00:00	14:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. 	14
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
dd Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	F1	F2	F3									
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
Average weight	4.2kg	4.2kg	4.2kg									
Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
	ت Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan	م Glenfinnan									
	Case no: Priority samples: Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Summary samples HIST dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 Pool Group Species SAL Average weight 4.2kg Sex N/A Water Type SW	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling 14:00:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 Pool Group Species Average weight Average weight Average weight Sex N/A Water Type VI 14:00:00 Indoors FIST Authorized VI Indoors Authorized VI Indoors VI VI VI VI VI VI VI VI VI V	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 14:00:00 14:3 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No F1 F2 F3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 4.2kg 4.2kg 4.2kg Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW Leave 1	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 14:00:00 14:30:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 F3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 4.2kg 4.2kg 4.2kg Sex N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW Leg Use	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Priority samples: VI BA PA Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:30:00 3 Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 Summary samples HIST BA MG Add Fish/Pools - click MG MG Pool/Fish No F1 F2 F3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 4.2kg 4.2kg 4.2kg SW Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type SW SW SW	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Priority samples: VI BA PA MG Time sampling starts/ends: 14:00:00 14:30:00 Inspector: Inspector:	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Date of Samplin	Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Sampling:	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2021-0133 Site No: FS0629 Date of visit/ 26/05/2021

THE USS, VEISIO	11 13	155 Issueu by. 1111												
05/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
Dispatched by percussive blow.														
2.054.0.00 27 50.0000.00														
					_									
0	Total Te	ests ass	igned	0										

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0133		Site No:	FS0629		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Wovernonts on	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0]			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2]			
					Total		31
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0133	Site No:	FS0629
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites On	nly)	
1. Has the site experienced sea lice proble	ems in the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye	ear class basis?
	f licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc	
	as well as access to suitable biological and/or mecl	
4. Is there a signed documented farm mar Management Area (or equivalent)?	nagement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for	inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the require	red standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	s below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	pGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L .	salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fis	sh Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level wh	nich is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
·	ministered or other actions taken when L. salmonis in C. elongatus is considered to have welfare implication	
11. Has any other action been taken (whe	ere applicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the ac	tions taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carr	ried out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the s	ite, where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice man	nagement procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on sto	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	mage due to predators in the current or previous pro	oduction cycles?
	nst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Tensioned, top nets	tot the production experienced on site. (Detail below	,
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions $4-9$. If No	skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish M	/linisters?	Υ
5. Have these been reported to local DSF	B forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	.17) Y
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO	and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	escapees? If yes give detail	N
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	n agreed with local wild fish interests and was perm	ission given by Scottish N/A
9. What action was taken to prevent and r	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory mea	,	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory wi	th regards to containment? If no, please detail reason	on(s)

TH 050 Version 40	leaved by FUI	Data at	:: 40/05/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI Site No: FS0629	Date of	issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0133	Site No: FS0629		
Date of Visit: 26/05/2021	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located wi	ithin a farm management area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require complet	tion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm N	Janagement Agreements and State	amants	
2. Has a current farm management agree			Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Υ
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•		Υ
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	* *		Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of r	_	statement?	Y
7. Does the Fiving/3 identity the date of F	eview:		
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage			
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimun farm?	n health standards for the stocks to l	be introduced to the area or	Υ
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinat	•		Υ
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	•		Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximulation individual farm?	um stocking density of any pen on a	ny farm in the area or the	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange fish farm in the area or the individual fare	•	of any dead fish from any	Y
	:		
Arrangements for The Management of			_
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lic	e numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	ility and the use of medicines on farr	ns covered by the agreement	Y
of statement: 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require	ements for the sensitivity testing of a	vailable treatments for sea	Y
lice on farms in the area or individual farr			
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums	stances under which biological contr	ols and cleaner fish are to be	Y
used on farms in the area or individual fa	ırms?		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for synchronous treatments	on farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introd	uced or removed from the	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish	on and off sites in the area	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable I	narvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke		
agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto s	
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Managen 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or some fithe FMAg/S? May-20	statement? Y

Site No: FS0629

Case No: 2021-0133

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0133			Date of visit:	26/05/2021]					
Site No:	FS0629	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion					
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
							-				
							-				
							-				
							-				
Donort Cummon											
Report Summary	Date	lnon	ond L								
Case Type		Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	02/06/2021										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 26/05/2021

 Site No
 FS0629
 Site Name
 Poll Na Gille

 Case No
 20210133
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 02/06/2021