FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0136			Date of	visit: 25/05/2021				
Time spent on site:	nrs	Ma	ain Inspector:					
Site No: FS0805 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Bagh Dail Nan Cea Mowi Scotland Ltd	ın					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 9.3	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045	5 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S CoG	SP MA: M-40				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see add	ditional information/cl ditional information/cl ditional information/cl	linical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Fish were transferred onto site from Loch Lochy and Glenfinnan in April 2020. Stocking density has been significantly reduced this cycle.

Thermolicer treatment was completed last Wednesday (12th) and average sea lice numbers have dropped to below 0.15 for all stages.

Ensiled waste is collected by Billy Bowie and taken to Energen, Cumbernauld.

Site manager reported good health and has observed a high feed conversion rate within the stock on site.

Site has done both live and dead haul harvests this cycle.

Fish were sitting deep in the water and only 3 lethargic fish were observed across the site. On the fish that were observed, some physical damage was noted but fish were active and demonstrated a strong escape response.

Lumpfish mortality across the site for April was 4.4%. Lumpfish observed on site appeared to be in good health.

Very few wrasse observed across the site. Mortality for the month of April was 1.2%.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Paperwork inspected remotely on 19/05/2021. Site inspection completed on 25/05/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13	i		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0136		Site No:	FS0805	5			
Date of Visit:		25/05/20	21		Inspector(s	s):		ı
Registration/Author	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			y site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	o details?						N]
Site Details (include	le cleaner fi	sh for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	ocked	12	No facilities	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM	WRA				i i	
Age group	2020 Q2	2020	Wildcaught					
No Fish	394,350	135,176	35,657					
Mean Fish Wt	4.7kg	200g	Mixed					
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Oct 2021		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	May 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prol	blems?		N	Any escap	es (since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys 	olete and concates for intro s s nts carried o	rrectly entered oductions (or out by (or on	ed? utwith GB) availa behalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	•	,		Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed	of?			Other (deta	ail)		
If other detail:	Ensiled at I	Kames pier.						
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly e	entered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•			7 (0.53%); Wk ²	18: 1,1 <mark>76 (</mark> 0.	.3%); Wk17: 2	70 (0.05%); '	
5. Evidence of recei	nt increased	atypical mo	rtalities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	er facility/no	stock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks								Y
If yes, detail:			(1.13%); Wk48 -		%); Wk44 - 1	18,291 (1.73%		
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities	been reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been r	eported to F	HI? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Υ
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail:	ī
ii iio, detaii.	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Report Date: 23rd April 2021	
Records checked between: 10/12/2020 - 19/05/2021	

٠.	ni ube, version 13							1330	uea by. Fn	11			
	Case no:	2021-0 ⁻	136	Site No:		FS0805			Date of vis Sampling:		25/0	05/2021	25/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	0:00	14:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1	F2										
	Pool Group												
Г	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	4.7kg	4.7kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
S		ian	ian										
Stock Details		Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan										
Stc	Facility No	3	4										

1111 000, VOISI									.00	aca by.				
05/2021 Addition	onal Sam	ple Infor	mation:											
Dispat	ched by	percussi	ve blow											
	O Total Tasta assistand O													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													
	_													
														İ
														İ

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0136		Site No:	FS0805		Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	200	0
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
F	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	IForm is protect	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices	•		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				
footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0136	Site No:	FS0805
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	N
·	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	ear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc well as access to suitable biological and/or med	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis allongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms?	? Y
	where fewer populations or part populations are	
	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro	oduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Sealpro nets, tensioned, top nets		
If other, detail below:		
	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
•	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If all nots were deployed was this action as	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	sission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason	on(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	te No: FS0805	
Date of Visit: 25/05/2021	Inspector:	
Date of Visit. 25/05/2021	іпаресіої.	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	in a farm management area?	Y
f N, no further questions require completio		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma	nagement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreem		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa	_	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm sDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of cor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem	ent	
3. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum herarm?		duced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	•	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum ndividual farm?		
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem ish farm in the area or the individual farm?		dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	s for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit of statement?	y and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	nents for the sensitivity testing of available	treatments for sea
ice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta		cleaner fish are to be Y
used on farms in the area or individual farm 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		s within the area?
L ive Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	ances when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the
area or farm?	and a whomework that they be introduced of	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem or individual farms?	nents for the movement of live fish on and	I off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practi	ces on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the ard date when a farm or area may be restocked?	ea or individual farm will be fallow and the ea	arliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more yea agreement or statement?	r classes may be stocked onto sites covered	by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or pocovered by the agreement or statement?	etential broodstock are to be kept on any site	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreem 24. Does the farm management agreement include arraparties to the agreement?		to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in acc 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S		Y

Site No: FS0805

Case No: 2021-0136

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0136			Date of visit:	25/05/2021						
Site No:	FS0805]		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Inch	and Incom								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	28/05/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp								
LOI, OIVI, OLI, VIVID	20/03/2021										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0119 **DATE OF VISIT** 25/05/2021

SITE No FS0805 SITE NAME Bagh Dail Nan Cean

CASE NO 20210136 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/05/2021