FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0164	J		Date of visit: 31/05/2021			
Time spent on site:	3.5h	Main In	spector:			
Site No: FS0264 Business No: FB0132	Site Name: Business Name:	Inverpolly Finfish Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.6	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additiona	al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet.			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection completed 26/05/2021 by supervised by Physical inspection completed 31/05/2021 by supervised by

Since last inspection the site has moved away from producing a combination of organic and non-organic fish and is now rearing solely organic fish.

Site now owned by MOWI Scotland Ltd.

Recent issues: PCR positives for Costia and SGPV in the Q4 fish and Flavobacterium sp. in the Q2 fish. Not causing mortalities, with Aquacen formaldehyde and Bronopol treatments being carried out under veterinary advice.

Peaks in mortality: Wb 19/08/2019 site mortality 2.22% due to high temperature and spate conditions and PKD positive fish reported to Marine Scotland on the 06/09/2019. Wb 17/08/2020 2.48% mortality in Q2 standard fish once again due to high temperature and spate conditions with fish PCR positive for PKD. Overall site mortality was 1.3%, therefore below reporting threshold.

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity measures.

No issues on site. Fish looked healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0164		Site No:	FS0264				
Date of Visit:		31/05/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to		checked by s	ite representa	itive?			Y Y	
-								
Site Details (include	_		_			101 - C 100 -		
Total No facilities		129	Facilities sto	cked	34	No facilities	s inspected	34
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	Q4	Q2						
No Fish		2,017,888						
		0.24g	204	the Married Da	(04-)	1	20 Marrola 20	00
Next Fallow Date (Si	,	December 20	021	Next Input Da			022, March 20	
Recent (last 4 wks) of					Any escapes	•	/ISIT) ?	N
If yes, detail:	Costia, SGP	√, Flavobacte	erium sp. See	additional infor	rmation for mo	re details.		
Movement Records 1. Movement records 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement records 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certificat Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a syst Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	s available for ction: blete and correctords available blete and corrected ates for introducts carried out tem in place for available for in	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on bel for maintenance)	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa :half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	?		19/03/2019	Y Y Y Y
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)			
				h Water Horizo	n (biogas prod	luction)		
3. Mortality records of	•	•						Y
4. Recent mortality (•			75 (0.79%), wk	: 18 - 20,931 (I	0.51%), wk	<u> 19 - 13,409 (</u> (
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in								Y
				lue to high tem		ibined with	spate condition	ons and PKD
				r more details.				81/2
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been rei	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	ieet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y				
Formalin,					
If yes, detail: Pyceze					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Formalin, Pyceze					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y				
Biosecurity Records					
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y				
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y				
If no, detail:	_				
Results of Surveillance					
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y				
3. Any significant results?	Y				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). 10/05/2021 PCR - Costia, SGPV (Q4) and					
20/08/20 Q2 high mortality, histology - PKD and gill pathology. 12/08/2019 histology - Flavobacterium, PKD.					
Records checked between: 19/03/2019 - 26/05/2021					

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI					of issue:	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0164		Site No:	FS0264		Insp:	
Date of Visit	31/05/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent zone or					
species		ncluding third country	0		18	26	
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	10
	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water	I= :tt	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	led (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I					
diseases)		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V			<u> </u>		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		I	Onsecure		
processors	,, processing	, prant alconarging into acjacom materi	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0	1			0
the fules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of	Δ	1			
	equivalent state	us n from Category III farm	8	-			\vdash
	_	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.		,]			0
products		esses with other farms	2				
		t for waste from other farms	5				
				1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds		inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas		5	J			
Biosecurity	lo:tt:	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0		2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
a.ioniii access to cages	No		2	-			
	1			J			
					Total		24
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0164	Site No:	FS0264
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as		chanical control measures,
Management Area (or equivalent)?		site and coor i aim
Are sea lice count records available for ins Do records adequately reflect the required	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H. 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which 10. Have therapeutic treatments been admini suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e.</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where a 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, 15. Is there a site specific written lice manage 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks 15. The site is a site specific written lice manage 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks 17. Has the site experienced equipment damage 18. Are measures in place to mitigate against the site of the s	is considered to cause significant welfare probastered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implications.	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) s levels have exceeded the ations? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) evels recorded? s? re held without treatment for actions to deal with reasons.
Top nets, tanks inside, pest control If other, detail below:		
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	greed with local wild fish interests and was permise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	
be considered under satisfactory measur 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	res of the Act) egards to containment? If no, please detail rea	son(s) Y

					0.1/0.5/0.0	6.71		
Case No:	2021-0164	J		Date of visit	31/05/20	21		
Site No:	FS0264	1		Inspector	:			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Inst
			-					
	-							
			-				_	
Report Summany			_	1				
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI. CNI	17/06/2021	шэр	2 11150					
,								
	+							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0132 Date of Visit 31/05/2021 Site No FS0264 Site Name Inverpolly

Case No 20210164 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 04/06/2021