FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0166			Date of visit: 01/06/2021			
Time spent on site:	.5h	Main Inspec	ctor:			
Site No: FS0858 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Fada Scottish Sea Farms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 10.2	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N/A			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-10			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N N N N N N N N N N N N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection done on 28/05/21 by supervised by Physical inspection done on 01/06/2021 by supervised by superv

Wrasse brought over from Ireland during last cycle.

Mortality event observed in week 16 2019 - 1,530 fish (1.1%) at 2.9kg post treatment, not reported to Marine Scotland.

No issues on site. Fish looked healthy when sampled by for VMD (observed by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2021-0166		Site No:	FS0858						
Date of Visit:		01/06/2021]		Inspector(s):					
Registration/Autho										
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ			
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ			
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)							
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	ô		
Species	SAL									
Age group	2021S1									
No Fish	149,035									
Mean Fish Wt	200g									
Next Fallow Date (S		October 202	2	Next Input Da	te (Site)	April 2023				
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl				Any escapes		visit)?	N		
If yes, detail:	·					`	,			
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?										
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalities	es disposed of	f?			Incinerated -	on site				
If other detail:										
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y		
4. Recent mortality (•			17. wk 18 - 1,3 (52 (0.9%) wk 1	19 - 536 (0.4	4%) wk 20 - 19	90 (0.1%) N		
Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?										
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:						
6. Any other peaks in								Y		
If yes, detail:				ost treatment m	ortality					
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A		
If yes, detail action:				1.69				N		
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.										

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S.,	
If yes, detail: Slice	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Ť
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Ť
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	V
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Gill swabs sent to FVG for AGD detections.	• •
oil swabs sent to 7 vo 101 AOD detect	tion, results
Records checked between: 21/11/2017 - 28/05/2021	

	ni 059, version 15					issueu by. Fili							
	Case no:	2021-0 ⁻	166	Site No:		FS0858			Date of Samplin		01/0	06/2021	01/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	-	g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	17:2	20:00	17:4	0:00]	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	р.	7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Fish nos												
Н													
	Pool Group Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	200g N/A	200g N/A	200g									
	Sex	SW	SW	N/A SW									
	Water Type	5VV	5W	5VV									
tock Details	1	Barcaldine FS1328	Barcaldine FS1328	ω Barcaldine FS1328									
S	I acility NO	1	2	3									

06/2021 Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0166		Site No:	FS0858		Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m	povements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
Movemente on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	. 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			
	No		2	1			
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 20	21-0166		Site No:	FS0858	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seav	water Sites Only)				
•	d sea lice problems in the previous	4 years?			N
·	Igement Area (or equivalent) fallow	•	n a single y	ear class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access	ss to a range of licenced in-feed ar ctin benzoate) as well as access to	nd bath sea lice med	ications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Υ
4. Is there a signed docume Management Area (or equiv	ented farm management agreemer valent)?	nt or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count record	ds available for inspection? (Legal	SSI. CoGP Annex 6)			Υ
	eflect the required standard specific			egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i> records are inspected? (Co	s) record levels below the suggeste oGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	oGP during the period that	Υ
8. Have average adult fema	ale sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers	per fish been at a le	vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
_	ported to the Fish Health Inspectors	•			N/A
	on at a level which is considered to				N
10. Have therapeutic treatm	ments been administered or other a ment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cons	ctions taken when L	salmonis	levels have exceeded the	Υ
11. Has any other action be	een taken (where applicable)?				N/A
	ments or the actions taken had a sig	gnificant impact upo	n the lice le	vels recorded?	Y
•	conducted, carried out in cooperati	• •			Υ
	rategy for the site, where fewer por		_		Υ
_	written lice management procedure				Y
	observed on stocks reflect sea lice		_	_	Y
Containment Inspection					
· ·	d equipment damage due to predat	ore in the current or	provioue pr	adjustion sycles?	N
	o mitigate against the predation exp		•	•	V
•		Deficition of Site? (L	Jetali below	')	1
Top nets, seal pro nets, t If other, detail below:	tension nets				
il Other, detail below.					
Have escape incidents of	or events been experienced on or i	n the vicinity of the s	ite since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
·	ons 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10				
4. Have these been reporte					
	ed to local DSFB forthwith (where the	hev exist)? (CoGP -	4437 54	l 17)	
· ·	ed to the SSPO and local fisheries	• ' '		•	
o. Have those been reported		arabic foranimal (info	io inoj ozno	1,1,0,1,0,1,1,1,	
7. Were methods (if any) us	sed to recover escapees? If yes given	ve detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP –	d was this action agreed with local v 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	wild fish interests an	d was perm	ission given by Scottish	
9. What action was taken to	o prevent and minimise the risk of t	further escapes? (No	ot covered i	n code but could	
be considered under sat	tisfactory measures of the Act)				
	satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0166	Site No: FS0858	
Date of Visit: 01/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	<u></u>
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement im health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availar of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	rered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual f.	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or in	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be faed? or more year classes may be stocked onto	o sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managen 24. Does the farm management agreemer parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to bec	come, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 01/05/2020 review	

Case No: 2021-0166 Site No: FS0858 Date of visit: 01/06/2021

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
15/04/19	21/04/2019	<750g	2.9kg	SAL	18S1	Weekly	1.10	Explained	Treatment

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	1530		Mortality data observed during inspection. No further action.	2018

Case No:	2021-0166			Date of visit:	01/06/2021						
Site No:	FS0858	1		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	1										
	_										
	 										
	1										
	1										
	 										
	 										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	21/06/2021		2 11100								
Case complete	28/07/2021										
	+										
	 										
	+										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 01/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0858
 Site Name
 Fada

 Case No
 20210166
 Inspector

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 21/07/2021. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 28/07/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 01/06/2021 Site No FS0858 Site Name Fada

Case No 20210166 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and containment and escapes.

The farm management agreement/statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 21/07/2021. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 21/06/2021

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to Scottish Sea Farms Ltd as signatories to the farm management agreement for area M-10.

(1) Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture