FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0182			Date of visit: 09/06/2021
Time spent on site:	2.5hrs	Main Inspec	etor:
Site No: FS0430	Site Name:	Nevis A	
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.9	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-23
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	N If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		formation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken:		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail r	reason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

LUM - Swansea, WRS - Orkney

Since input lice above CoGP: 23/6/2020 0.56AF, all other weeks below. Very low numbers.

Only operator in CoGP management area.

Cleaner fish observed on site, salmon deeper in the pens and at times challenging light conditions resulting in decreased visibility. Seal observed near one of the pens during visit. Seal pro nets are being used on site and seals have so far not caused any problems. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and in good condition.

Cleaner fish mortality records: Mortality in last 4 weeks between 24-74 per week for the entire site for both wrasse and lumpfish. Increase in mortality wk28 2020 with a peak in wk30 2020 at 2,353 morts, then slowly decreasing. Back to background level wk43 2020. Elevated mortalities related to treatments and some higher sea teamperatures for lumpfish. Database entry records mortalities by different species but exports from the database don't reflect this. Company who provided the database is currently working on improving this.

Paperwork completed remotely on 3/6/2021 by shadowed by Physical site inspection on 9/6/21 by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ued by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0182]	Site No:	FS0430]			
Date of Visit:		09/06/202	1		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by	site represent	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	ocked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM	WRS					
Age group	2020 Q1	2019	Wild					
No Fish	146,000	33,000	12,900					
Mean Fish Wt	6.3kg	80-100g	Mixed					
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	End July 20	21	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	November	2021	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	2							
Movement records		r inspection?	•					Y
2. Date of last inspec		і порсопотт.					27/03/2019	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?				2770072010	Y
4. Are movement red		•		?				N/A
5. Are records comp				•				N/A
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
Transport Records								
Are any movement		t by (or on be	ehalf) of the hi	usiness (not us	ing a STR\2			Y
If yes, is there a syst								Y
Mantality Danson							'	
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:	s disposed o				inomerated -	OH Site		
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly en	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (concomy on		vk 22 - 70 mort	s: 2021 wk 21	- 174 morts	s: 2021 wk 20	- 73 morts:
5. Evidence of recen	•	typical morta		VICEE 70 MIGH	5, 2021 WK 21	174 1110110	5, 2021 WK 20	N
If yes, facility nos/no				//reason:				
,		,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period o	hecked?					Y
		• 1		lity all within 6 v	veek post inpu	ıt period, oc	casional sligh	tly elevated
If yes, detail:				s post treatmen		•	_	
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	V
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	1
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
Tioditi otatao, oriangana, roganga,	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
ii iio, detaii.	
D	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	NI
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
07/00/0040 00/00/004	
Records checked between: 27/03/2019 - 03/06/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by: i	-111			
Case no:	2021-01	82	Site No:		FS0430			Date of Samplin		09/0	06/2021	09/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampiin	g. HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	0:00	13:2	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D. [9
Environmental conditions	: 1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1											
	1					_						
Pool Group	CAL											
Species	SAL											
Average weight Sex	6.3kg N/A											
Water Type	SW											
water Type	SVV											
						- 1						
						- 1						
						- 1						
<u>w</u>						- 1						
Details		l				- 1		l				
ď	School	l				- 1		l				
്റ്റ് Stock Origin	Knock					- 1						
Stock Origin Facility No	8											

06/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0182		Site No:	FS0430		Insp:	
Date of Visit	09/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	<u> </u>	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	1			
					Total Pank		18
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0182	Site No:	FS0430
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in	n the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	rear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licer azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as we		
4. Is there a signed documented farm manager Management Area (or equivalent)?	nent agreement or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspe	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the required st	andard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmo	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administrated suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elo</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where ap	plicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried o	ut in cooperation between participating farms?	? Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, w	here fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management	nent procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks re	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		water transcriptor
Has the site experienced equipment damage		
Are measures in place to mitigate against the	e predation experienced on site? (Detail below	Y Y
If other, detail below:		al at the contagline
top nets, seal pro cage nets, tensioned nets, se	-	
 Have escape incidents or events been expe If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip t 	•	e last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minister	•	
Have these been reported to local DSFB fort		1.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and I		
·	· ·	10: (0001 - 4.4.57, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escap	pees? If yes give detail	
If gill nets were deployed was this action agre	eed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	ise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with reg		on(s)
	·	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0182	Site No: FS0430	
Date of Visit: 09/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	uithin a farm managamant aroa?	V
 Is the farm under inspection located v If N, no further questions require complete 	_	Ŷ
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for instance Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed? Y Y Y Y
farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or in	dividual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by a date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brooking the factor of the f	d? or more year classes may be stocked ont	to sites covered by the
covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of		

Site No: FS0430

Case No: 2021-0182

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0182			Date of visit:	09/06/2021			
Site No:	FS0430			Inonostori				
Site No.	F30430			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	16/07/2021		2 1110					





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125
 DATE OF VISIT
 09/06/2021

 SITE NO
 FS0430
 SITE NAME
 Nevis A

 CASE NO
 20210182
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement [delete as appropriate]

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].

- Fish health management
 - This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- The management of parasites⁽²⁾
 This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms
 This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to or removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish
 This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish
 removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

⁽¹⁾ Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

⁽²⁾ Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*