FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2021-0193			Date of visit: 16/06/2021		
Time spent on site:	hour	Main Inspecto	or:		
Site No: FS0617 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Tuath The Scottish Salmon Compan	у		
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-37		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:			

## **Additional Case Information:**

Site currently fallow - Last fish came out of Tuath - 4th December 2019 - site to be made inactive.

8 cages still on site, no barge. No current future plans to use site for stocking fish. Remaining equipment to be removed when possible.

Mortality 2019.

week 20 - 3594 - 1.95% - During live haul harvest.

week 23 - 1855 - 1.35% Issues with PD

week 31 5464 - 2.73% - post treatment/PD/gill health

week 39 - 4042 - 1.72% Gill health/environmental/post treatment

week 40 - 3103 - 1.58% Gill health/environmental/post treatment

All increased mortality since last inspection was reported to FHI.

FHI 059, Version 13	;	Issued by: FHI					Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2021-0193		Site No:	FS0617					
Date of Visit:		16/06/2021			Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils							
1. Business/site det			te representa	ative?			Y		
2. Changes made to	o details?	·	·				Υ		
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all secti	ons)						
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	0	
Species									
Age group									
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt							<del>                                     </del>		
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Currently fall	DW	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	no plan to	stock		
Recent (last 4 wks)			-		Any escapes			N/A	
If yes, detail:		-			,	(1 11 111	- ,		
<ol> <li>Movement record</li> <li>Date of last inspect</li> <li>Are records completed</li> <li>Are movement respondent responds</li> <li>Are health certified</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a system</li> </ol> Mortality Records	ection: plete and correctords available plete and corrected for introductions at the correct for introductions at the correct for introductions at the correct for introductions are corried out	ectly entered? e for dead fisl ectly entered? uctions (outw	ith GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	•		02/04/2019	Y Y Y N/A	
Mortality records	available for in	nspection?					Г	Y	
2. How are mortaliti		•			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicals		
If other detail:									
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	red?					N/A	
4. Recent mortality	•		Been fallow	since 2019			•		
5. Evidence of rece	•			000 _00				N/A	
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:			•		
		·							
6. Any other peaks		• .						Y	
If yes, detail:		nt mortality - s							
7. Have increased (	unexplained) i	nortalities bed	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI?	If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		Y	

Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?						
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?						
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?						
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: 02/04/20219-16/06/2021						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2021-0193		Site No:	FS0617		Insp:		
Date of Visit	16/06/2021	16/06/2021 No of movements/supp./dest.						
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			0	40	00	0	
species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			26 14	0	
							0	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10	
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts				10	3	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	1	I-3	0-10			
farms (holding species	disinfection or b		0					
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I	4	0	4		0	
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		2	
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V						
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	3					
processors			0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0	
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
		from MS of equivalent status	1	-				
		from zone or compartment of						
	equivalent statu		4					
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0					
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	-	t for waste from other farms	5					
l lan af ummantaurian d for de	INIC for alice of the	un antarrii and fan d		1				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	Feeding unpas	inpasteurised feed	0				0	
D1	reeding unpas	Number of sites	5	] 2 or 3	≥ 4			
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	1	1				
Contacts with other sites		taff and equipment	0		2		2	
		tan and equipment	0	<u> </u>	2			
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0	
footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0	
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3					
code of practice				J				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0	
	No		2					
				•				
					Total		20	
					Rank		MEDIUM	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0193	Site No:	FS0617
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	/ear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v	well as access to suitable biological and/or med	chanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> clongatus is considered to have welfare implicated	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
2.7.10 modeanos in piaco to mingato agamet t	The production experienced on one ( (Botain Bolev	,
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?  N/A
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re-	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)

Site No: FS0617

Case No: 2021-0193
Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0193			Date of visit:	16/06/2021				
Site No:	FS0617			Inspector:					
Results Summary	IEroa	Date of Notification							
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
						9		Ζ ΙΙΙδΡ	
D 1 C				1					
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inco	and Ire and						
REG	10/08/2021	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
	. 0, 0 0, 2 0 2 .								
	I								





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0169
 DATE OF VISIT
 16/06/2021

 SITE No
 FS0617
 SITE NAME
 Tuath

 CASE No
 20210193
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow. There are no plans to restock the site at this time therefore the status will be changed to inactive and it will be removed from the list of sites that the business is authorised to operate at. Please contact us if the site is to be restocked in the future or transferred to another business.

## Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 10/08/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

R25