FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0197			Date of visit: 22/06/2021
Time spent on site:	2 hrs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS1103	Site Name:	Vuia Mor	
Business No: FB0169	Business Name:	The Scottish Salmon Company	/
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.8	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA W-2
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		mation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		mation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional inform	mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

### **Additional Case Information:**

Remote inspection conducted on 15th June by shadowed by Physical inspection conducted on 22nd June by shadowed by

Site carried out Slice treatment from 14th May - 20th May 2021.

Seal Pro nets now deployed at site.

No moribund/ lethargic fish spotted. Stock on site remaining low in water so hard to observe

Fish sample for VMD appeared healthy- internally and externally.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0197	]	Site No:	FS1103				
Date of Visit:		22/06/2021	]		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)			_		
Total No facilities		14	Facilities sto	cked	11	No facilitie	es inspected	14
Species	SAL	LUM						
Age group	2021 S1	2020/21						
No Fish	489,405	51,749						
Mean Fish Wt	658g	unknown						
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	June 2022		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Dec. 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:						`		
<ol> <li>Are movement red</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are health certification</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a system</li> </ol>	elete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	ectly entered? ductions (outw	evith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi	_			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Whiteshore	Cockles						
3. Mortality records of			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		Wk 23 - 345	(0.07%), wk 2	2 - 396 (0.08%	6), wk 21 - 1	157 (0.03%), v	wk 20 - 954
5. Evidence of recen	it increased/a	typical mortal	ities?	· ·	·	,		N
If yes, facility nos/no		••		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	iecked?					Y
If yes, detail:	Wk33 - 37, 2	2020 ranging l	between 1.13	3% to 3.71%. Di	ue to gill healtl	h and water	r quality	
7. Have increased (u								N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no. enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y

Tuesturante and Medicines Because	
Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
Slice.	
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	V
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	1
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 29/04/2020 - 15/06/2021	

Г	FHI 059, Version 13					issued by: FHI							
	Case no:	2021-01	197	Site No:		FS1103			Date of vis		22/0	06/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG	Sampling.	ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	8
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Δ	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
п	Average weight	0.6600	0.6600										
п	Sex	n/a	n/a										
п	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Barvas Hatchery FS0076	Appleburn Hatchery FS0301										
Ů.	Facility No	5	8										

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
	VMD samples taken by													
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0197		Site No:	FS1103		Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3		10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0	]			0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	_	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	•	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	Ī			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0
	No		2	]			
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	l by: FHI	Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021	-0197	Site No:	FS1103	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawa	ter Sites Only)			
1. Has the site experienced se	ea lice problems in the previous 4 years	;?	N	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Manage	ment Area (or equivalent) fallowed syn	chronously on a single y	year class basis?	
	to a range of licenced in-feed and bath n benzoate) as well as access to suitab			
4. Is there a signed document Management Area (or equival	ted farm management agreement or statent)?	itement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm Y	
5. Are sea lice count records	available for inspection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)	Y	
6. Do records adequately refle	ect the required standard specified in th	e SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) records are inspected? (CoG	record levels below the suggested criter P Annex 6)	ia for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult female	sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish	n been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y	,
If yes, have these been report	ted to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If n	o, FHI see comment.	Y	•
9. Is C. elongatus infestation	at a level which is considered to cause	significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N	
•	nts been administered or other actions to the or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered			
11. Has any other action beer	taken (where applicable)?		Y	
12. Have therapeutic treatment	nts or the actions taken had a significan	t impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, where cor	nducted, carried out in cooperation betw	een participating farms	? Y	
14. Is there a harvesting strate	egy for the site, where fewer population	s or part populations are	e held without treatment for	
and the second s	ten lice management procedure with wa		_	
16. Do the sea lice levels obs	erved on stocks reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please detail r	reasons. Y	
Containment Inspection				
•	quipment damage due to predators in th	ne current or previous p	roduction cycles?	
	nitigate against the predation experience			
A.D.D., Top Nets, Tension			.,	
If other, detail below:	74 110.0			
•	events been experienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?	
	4 – 9. If No skip to question 10			
4. Have these been reported				
•	to local DSFB forthwith (where they exis	•	•	
6. Have these been reported	to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used	d to recover escapees? If yes give detail	il		
8 If all nets were deployed w	as this action agreed with local wild fish	interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4	•	and had point	giron by obtaining	
9. What action was taken to p	revent and minimise the risk of further	escapes? (Not covered	in code but could	
be considered under satisf	factory measures of the Act)			
10. Is the site inspected as sa	tisfactory with regards to containment?	If no, please detail reas	son(s)	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date	e of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0197	Site No:	FS1103		
Date of Visit: 22/06	/2021	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection loc		rm management area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require of	ompietion.			
Points of Compliance for Both I 2. Has a current farm managemen 3. Is the current FMAg/S available 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the re 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fi 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the d 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the d	nt agreement or for inspection? elevant farm ma sh farm site(s) to ate of commend	statement (FMAg/S) been pronagement area? To which it applies?	epared?	Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health N 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the m farm?		standards for the stocks to be	e introduced to the area or	Y
<ol> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the v</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the individual farm?</li> </ol>	species of fish v	which may be stocked into the	e area or farm?	Y Y Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm in the area or the individ		or the storage and disposal of	f any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Manager 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arra			numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the of statement?	availability and t	the use of medicines on farms	s covered by the agreemer	nt Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any lice on farms in the area or individ		or the sensitivity testing of ava	ailable treatments for sea	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the used on farms in the area or indiv		under which biological control	s and cleaner fish are to be	Ϋ́
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the		or synchronous treatments or	farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the area or farm?	circumstances v	when live fish may be introduc	ced or removed from the	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the or individual farms?	arrangements fo	or the movement of live fish o	n and off sites in the area	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s coodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
-	ent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
<b>Management and operation</b> 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement or softhe FMAg/S?  23/11/2020	statement?

Site No: FS1103

Case No: 2021-0197

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_					
Case No:	2021-0197			Date of visit:	22/06/2021						
Site No:	FS1103	1		Inopostor							
Sile No.	F31103	J		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	25/06/2021										





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0169
 DATE OF VISIT
 22/06/2021

 SITE No
 FS1103
 SITE NAME
 Vuia Mor

 CASE No
 20210197
 INSPECTOR

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representatives during the inspection:

• In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>