FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2021-0200			Date of visit: 23/06/2021				
Time spent on site:	4 hrs	Main Inspe	ector:				
Site No: FS0242 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Odhairn (Gravir) The Scottish Salmon Comp	pany				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA W-4				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail ı	reason below:					

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted on 17th June by shadowed by Physical inspection conducted on 23rd June by shadowed by

Site carried out Slice treatment during Sep, Oct & Nov 2020. Further Slice treatments carried out during Feb and April 2021. All stocked pens went through a FW bath treatment from 1st to 6th June 2021.

Sea lice count carried out on site during inspection. Counts reflecting data being submitted weekly. A small number of lethargic fish spotted but only at 1 per pen. No morts noted. 2 pens had a high number of fish with physical damage from seal predation but also showing good recovery with open wounds being healed. 1 pen is fitted with Seal pro nets and showed far less physical damage from predation. Remaing pens to be kitted out with Seal Pro nets within the next month.

PD and PRV has been detected on site, but no increased mortalities as a result.

Cleaner fish mortality rates have been above expected since input, company not 100% sure of cause. No signs of concern noted during the inspection, therefore no diagnostics taken.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition externally and internally.

FHI 059, Version 13	.	_	Iss	ued by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0200		Site No:	FS0242				
Date of Visit:		23/06/20	21		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by	y site represen	tative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	o details?						N	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities	ac cicarior no	12	Facilities st	tocked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM	- I	-	Tto Idollitio	Inopedica	
Age group	2020 S0	2021	2020/21					
No Fish	870,608	11,876	54,418	_		-		
Mean Fish Wt	1.94kg	55g	26g			_		
Next Fallow Date (S		Feb/Marc		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	June 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•		1 2022		Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:	discase prob	CITIS:		<u> </u>	Any escapes	(Sirice last	visit):	IV
4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys	plete and corr cates for introd s nts carried ou	ectly entereductions (ou	ed? utwith GB) avai behalf) of the b	ilable? ousiness (not us				N/A
Mantality Dagarda								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Whiteshore				,	,		
3. Mortality records			ntered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	•		1 (0.09%), wk2	1 - 908 (0.1%)	. wk 22 - 73	9 (0.08%), wk	22 - 2278
5. Evidence of rece	•	atypical mor		(212212),	(21111)	,	(21221),	N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		ty/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring pariod	ahaakad?					N
If yes, detail:	in mortality de	ing pendu	CHECKEU!					· ·
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to F	HI? If no, ente	r details on mor	tality events sl	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records								
Recent treatments (see comment)?								
T.M.S.,								
If yes, detail: Slice								
If other, detail:								
2. Medicines records available for inspection?								
Are records complete and correctly entered?								
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	S.							
If other, detail:								
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?								
D'it. Dia								
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?								
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording a	and cafe dienocal been considered?							
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish								
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	I Ministers of Veterinary professional or any							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease							
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified								
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on								
health status, certification if required)?	uno idini ono poon ee ee ee ee ee							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented be	tween each epidemiological unit to minimise							
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors								
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to								
aquaculture animals held on site?								
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	on site?							
If no, detail:								
Results of Surveillance								
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on b	ehalf of, the business?							
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?								
3. Any significant results?								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).								
Records checked between: 03/1	2/2019 - 17/06/2021							

	Priority samples:	2021-02 VI	200	Site No:		FS0242			Date of visit	/	23/0	06/2021	
		VI							Sampling:				
		'		ВА		PA		MG	oumpling.	НІ			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:0	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No).	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Wet	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
	ld Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	1.9000	1.9000										
		N/A	N/A										
1	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin Facility No	Clachan Hatchery FS0398	Clachan Hatchery FS0398										

											,				
	Additional Sample Information:														
	VMD samples collected by														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0200		Site No:	FS0242		Insp:	
Date of Visit	23/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0]			0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0200	Site No:	FS0242
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in	n the previous 4 years?	Υ
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ	ivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	ear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licen azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as we		
4. Is there a signed documented farm managem Management Area (or equivalent)?	nent agreement or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspe	ction? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required sta	andard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	v the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmo	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Hea	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is	considered to cause significant welfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administed suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elos</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where app	olicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions t	taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried or	ut in cooperation between participating farms	? N
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, wh	nere fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice managem	ent procedure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks re	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	easons. Y
Containment Inspection	due to mandatore in the comment or manifold	voduction avalance
Has the site experienced equipment damage		
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	predation experienced on site? (Detail below	/) Y
Tensioned Nets, Top Nets, A.D.D.		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exper	rienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 - 9. If No skip to	o question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministe	rs?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forth	hwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)
Have these been reported to the SSPO and le	ocal fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escap	ees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agree Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	eed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimi	se the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures	s of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with reg	ards to containment? If no, please detail reas	on(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0200	Site No: FS0242	
Date of Visit: 23/06/20	21 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
 Is the farm under inspection locate N, no further questions require com 	_	Y
ii iv, no futiller questions require con	ipietion.	
2. Has a current farm management a3. Is the current FMAg/S available for4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish to	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Man 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum?	agement mum health standards for the stocks to be intro	duced to the area or
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spe	cination requirements for stocks held in the area ecies of fish which may be stocked into the area eximum stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrafish farm in the area or the individual	angements for the storage and disposal of any I farm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	nt of Sea Lice ements for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the ava of statement?	ailability and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any red lice on farms in the area or individual	quirements for the sensitivity testing of available farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individual	cumstances under which biological controls and al farms?	cleaner fish are to be
	angements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circlarea or farm?	cumstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra or individual farms?	angements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 12.05.2020	statement? Y

-								
Case No:	2021-0200	J		Date of visit:	23/06/2021	J		
Site No:	FS0242	1		Inspector				
Cho Ho.	. 662 12	ı		mopeotor.				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	+							
	+							
	+							
	1							
								
	+							
	+							
	+							
	1							
	+							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	25/06/2021							
	+							
	+							
	+							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0169
 Date of Visit
 23/06/2021

 Site No
 FS0242
 Site Name
 Gravir

 Case No
 20210200
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representatives during the inspection:

• In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/