FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0208			Date of visit: 15/06/2021
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspe	ctor:
Site No: FS1067 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Inverawe (East) Etive 2 Dawnfresh Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.3	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional inf	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.

Water was very murky, lots of fresh water present in the loch. Fished looked to be in good condition.

FHI 059, Version 13	;		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0208		Site No:	FS1067				
Date of Visit:		15/06/2021]		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made to	*	,	·				N	1
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No faciliti	es inspected	6
Species	RTR						T	
Age group	2021							
No Fish	114,697							
Mean Fish Wt	227g							
Next Fallow Date (S		24 October 2	<u> </u>	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	June/July	21	
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escape			I N
If yes, detail:	discase probl	CITIO:			Arry Cocape	3 (31100 1831	i visit):	IN
4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys	plete and corr cates for introd s nts carried ou	ectly entered? ductions (outv	? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	-			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
How are mortaliti If other detail:	es disposed c	f?			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	nemicals	
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	Ť		s mortality - 11	19 fish. Low	mortality po	st input.	•
5. Evidence of rece		typical morta		 				l N
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality e	vente! been re	ported to EUI	2 If no onter	details on more	tality evente e	hoot		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	vents been re	porteu to FAI	: II no, enter	uetalis on mon	ianty events s	neet.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	, T
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
07/00/0040 45/00/0044	
Records checked between: 27/06/2019-15/06/2021	

•	mi 059, version 15							133	ueu by. i	111			
	Case no:	2021-02	208	Site No:		FS1067			Date of Samplin		15/	06/2021	15/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampiin	g. HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:0	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	о.	1
	Environmental conditions:	1	Calm	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Δ	add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
H	Pool Group	1											
H	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	227g											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		New farm (selcoth)											

06/2021	06/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
	all fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020	
Case Number:	2021-0208		Site No:	FS1067		Insp:		
Date of Visit	15/06/2021		No of m	No of movements/supp./dest.				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	10	26		
ороснос	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0		18 10	14	5	
Mayamanta aff								
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6 6	10	10	
Exposure via water	Transor or door	Site contacts			6-10			
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through						
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0					
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
,		or in a coastal zone with category III						
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
	iairiis upstreair	1 of within 1 tidal excursion	<u>'</u>	4	٥			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0					
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1	
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4					
		from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	i I				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	, 1			0	
ose of unpasteurised reeds	Feeding unpas	•	5	1			0	
Biosecurity	r county unput	Number of sites] 2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0		2		2	
		taff and equipment	0		2		2	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes							
between sites, use of	No		0					
footbaths etc	110		1	J			1	
CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance	Yes			1				
with regulator or industry			0				0	
code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0	
. Idilolli doccos lo cages	No		2				0	
	1.10			J				
					Total		34	
					Rank		HIGH	

Case No:	2021-0208	Site No: FS1067									
Sea Lice Inspection	(Seawater Sites Only)									
Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?											
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?											
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and											
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (o		gement agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	Υ								
5. Are sea lice count	records available for in	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ								
6. Do records adequa	ately reflect the require	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ								
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. sal</i> records are inspected	•	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	Υ								
8. Have average adul	t female sea lice (L. sa	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N								
If yes, have these bee	en reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A								
9. Is C. elongatus info	estation at a level which	h is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N								
-		nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the <i>elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A								
11. Has any other act	ion been taken (where	applicable)?	Υ								
12. Have therapeutic	treatments or the action	ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Υ								
13. Are treatments, w	here conducted, carrie	ed out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ								
14. Is there a harvest	ing strategy for the site	e, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for	Υ								
15. Is there a site spe	cific written lice mana	gement procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised	Υ								
16. Do the sea lice le	vels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ								
Containment Inspec											
•		age due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N								
		t the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ								
Seal pro nets	top nets	weighted system									
If other, detail below	V:										
-	lents or events been e uestions 4 – 9. If No sk	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N								
	eported to Scottish Mir										
		forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)									
	•	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)									
7. Were methods (if a	any) used to recover es	scapees? If yes give detail									
0. 16 -: 11 -: -: 1	deve deve de la constant										
Ministers? (Legal, Co	GP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish									
9. What action was ta	ken to prevent and mi	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could									
	er satisfactory meas										
10. Is the site inspect	ed as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ								

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	d by: FHI	Date o	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0208	Site No: FS1	067		
Date of Visit: 15/06/2021	Inspector			
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm manage	ment area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.			
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreer	nents and Statements		
2. Has a current farm management agre				Υ
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in	spection?			Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	it farm management a	rea?		Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far				Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		e agreement or stateme	ent?	Υ
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?			Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag				
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	m health standards fo	or the stocks to be introc	duced to the area or	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	•			Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	•			Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density	of any pen on any farm	in the area or the	N
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa		ge and disposal of any d	ead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Management of	of Sea Lice			
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing o	f data on sea lice numbe	ers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of m	nedicines on farms cove	red by the agreement	Υ
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requinulate on farms in the area or individual fa		ivity testing of available	treatments for sea	Υ
		hiological controls and	alcanor fich are to be	V
Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f		biological controls and	clearier fish are to be	<u>'</u>
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchron	ous treatments on farms	within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements				
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fis	h may be introduced or	removed from the	Υ
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the move	ment of live fish on and	off sites in the area	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Da	te of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earlies	t Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the parent or stotement?	ne Y
agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be parties to the agreement?	y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 06/05/2020 - no8	Y

		_				_					
Case No:	2021-0208			Date of visit:	15/06/2021						
Site No:	FS1067	1		Inonostor:		1					
Site No.	1 31007	J		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
			-								
	1										
	1										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, VMD, SLI	25/10/2021	шор	2 IIISP								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0456 Date of Visit 15/06/2021

SITE No FS1067 SITE NAME Inverawe (East) Etive 2

Case No 20210208 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4 A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 25/10/2021