FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI		Date of is	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0209				Date of visit: 1	5/06/2021
Time spent on site:	hours		Main Inspector	:	
Site No: FS1112 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Etive 4 Dawnfresh Farmi	ng Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 12.5	Thermometer No:	T155		FHI 045 complet	ed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see ac	dditional inform	nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco	re sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Peaks in mortality - week 38 2020 - 3421 - seal damage 0.9% week 45 - 3254 - Handling - 0.9%

Site is continously stocked from pens split at other sites in the loch.

Water very murky, lots of fresh water present. fish looked in good condition, observed one or two fish with fin/tail damage.

All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.

FHI 059, Version 13			lss	sued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0209		Site No:	FS1112	2			
Date of Visit:		15/06/202	21		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site represer	ntative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all so	ctions)					
Total No facilities	o oleaner ne	10	Facilities s	tocked	9	No facilitie	es inspected	9
Species	RTR	RTR	RTR	i de la constantina della cons		T to Taomico		
Age group	2019	2020	2021			_		
No Fish	251,534	197,253	94,304			_		
Mean Fish Wt	2.4kg	827g	679g					
Next Fallow Date (S		13th march		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Not know	n - See additio	nal
Recent (last 4 wks)					_ ` '	es (since last		N
If yes, detail:				_	, , , , , ,	(1)	- "/	
4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sys	olete and corr ates for intro ats carried ou	rectly entereductions (ou	d? twith GB) ava pehalf) of the	ailable? business (not us	_			Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Whole fish	- Dundas Ch	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly e	ntered?					Y
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		last 4 weel	ks mortality - 35°	10 fish. Low	mortality		
Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical mort	talities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no s	tock per facili	ity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	uring period	checked?					Y
If yes, detail:	see addition	nal information	on					
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities b	een reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FI	II? If no, ente	er details on mor	tality events	sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medic	ines Records				
1. Recent treatments (s					Y
If yes, detail:	TMS	Salmosan	Alphamax		_
If other, detail:	,		7 11,01110.1110.71		
2. Medicines records av	ailable for inspection	?			Y
3. Are records complete	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Y
4. Are fish in a withdraw	•				Y
5. If yes, what treatmen	•		TMS	Salmosan	_
If other, detail:	-(-)			Camiocan	
6. Are medicines stored	l appropriately?				Y
0.740 11100101100 010100	appropriatory.				
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records a	vailable for inspection	n?			Y
·	· ·		ording and sa	afe disposal been considered?	Y
			_	sters or veterinary professional of an	V
increased (unexplained	•	•		sters of veterinary professional of an	Y
· ·	· •			picion of the presence of a listed dis	
is detected been include					Y
				rm site been covered (equal or high	er Y
health status, certification	•	alo bellig stock	ca on the fai	in one been bovered (equal or riight	
modili otatao, commoditi	in roquirou).				
6. Have the bushandry	and hipsocurity mass	uras implaman	ated between	each epidemiological unit to minim	iso Y
•	•	•		pment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
				ain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals he	•	neasures in pia	de lo mama	an the physical containment of	
8. Have the biosecurity		acustely implem	nented on sit	to?	
If no, detail:	procedures been ade	quatery implem	Terried on Sit	1.6 :	'
ii iio, actaii.					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal healt		earried out by	or on bobalf o	of the business?	
•		•	or on benan c	or, the business?	
2. If yes, are results ava	·				N
3. Any significant results		ana problems)			IN
If yes, detail (if not detail	lled under recent dise	ase problems)			
	anda abaalis dheet		00/00/004	0.45/00/0004	
Rec	ords checked betwee	eri.	28/03/2018	8-15/06/2021	

06/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
	all fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.													
0		Total Te	ests ass	igned	0									

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0209		Site No:	FS1112		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
NA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Transor or door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	,]			0
oco or ampaotoanioca rocac	Feeding unpas		5				
Biosecurity	<u> </u>	Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				
footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		24 MEDIUM
					Nank		INIEDIOIN

Case No:	2021-0209	Site No: FS1112	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experier	nced sea lice problems i	n the previous 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	lanagement Area (or equ	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	N
		nced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, ell as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and	Υ
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or		ment agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for inspe	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required st	tandard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		w the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	N
-	·	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is	s considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
-		tered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the <i>ongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Υ
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where ap	plicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried o	out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ
14. Is there a harvestin	g strategy for the site, w	here fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for	Υ
15. Is there a site spec	ific written lice managem	nent procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks r	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspecti		a due to produtore in the current or provious production evolog?	NI
•		e due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	V
		e predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	T
Top nets If other, detail below:	Seal pro nets	Dyneema nets Weighted down ropes	
ii otner, detail below.			
3 Have escape incide	nts or events been expe	rienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip t		
	ported to Scottish Minister	· ·	
•		thwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
		local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escap	pees? If yes give detail	
8. If all note were deale	oved was this action par	eed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	SP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	•	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could	
	r satisfactory measure	·	
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with rec	gards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS1112	Data of 100dd. 12/00/2020
2021 0200	one No.	
Date of Visit: 15/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple		<u> </u>
-	Management Agreements and Stateme	
	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been preparation?	ared?
 Is the current FMAg/S available for instance Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant 	•	y V
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	•	y
	commencement of the agreement or state	ement? y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?	У
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	amant	
	m health standards for the stocks to be in	troduced to the area or
farm?		
•	ation requirements for stocks held in the ar	
	es of fish which may be stocked into the ar	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any fa	rm in the area or the N
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual far	gements for the storage and disposal of ar	ny dead fish from any y
isi rami in the area of the individual fai		
Arrangements for The Management o		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice nu	mbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab	bility and the use of medicines on farms c	overed by the agreement
of statement?		
	rements for the sensitivity testing of availal	ble treatments for sea y
ice on farms in the area or individual far		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls a arms?	and cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on fa	rms within the area?
	·	
Live Fish Movements	actorios subantivo fich mou ha introduces	d or removed from the
rea or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced	
	gements for the movement of live fish on a	and off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	idual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	y which the area or individual farm will be fallowed?	w and the earliest y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto si	ites covered by the y
•	podstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	t on any site y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 06/05/2020 - no8	statement? y

0 N	0004 0000	_			45/00/04	204				
Case No:	2021-0209	J		Date of vi	sit: 15/06/20	J21				
Site No:	FS1112]		Inspec	tor:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
results Summary	1 104.	Databas	e Insp	Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp						
								2 nd Insp		
					_					
		1								
	-									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI CNI SLI VMD	25/10/2021									
	+									
Ì										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0456
 Date of Visit
 15/06/2021

 Site No
 FS1112
 Site Name
 Etive 4

 Case No
 20210209
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/10/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/