FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0210			Date of visit: 15/06/2021
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Ir	nspector:
Site No: FS1288 Business No: FB0456	Site Name: Business Name:	Etive 6 Dawnfresh Farming Ltd	1
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.2	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

all fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease.

Peaks in mortality

Week 9 - 2020 - 4939 - Seal - 0.97% week 3 - 2020 - 4567 seal - 0.85%

Water was murky on site, lots of fresh water present in the loch. Fish appeared to be in good condition.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0210		Site No:	FS1288				
Date of Visit:		15/06/2021]		Inspector(s)):		I
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?	·					Υ]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	ic cicarici iis	10 411 300	Facilities sto	ocked	7	No faciliti	ies inspected	7
Species	RTR	RTR	i dominos sta	I		110 1001111		
Age group	2018	2019						
No Fish	305,180	254,082						
Mean Fish Wt	3.5jg	2.4kg						
Next Fallow Date (S		march 2022		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Apr-20		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escape		t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:				•	,,	(- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys 	olete and corr ates for introd a ts carried ou	ectly entered ductions (outv	? vith GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	_			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•			NA/I C	D 1 01		Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed d	f? 			Whole fish	- Dundas Ci	nemicals	
If other detail:			10					
3. Mortality records		correctly ent		. !!: 0000				Ŷ
4. Recent mortality (tuminal manuta		mortality 2032	2 - Iow mortal	ity		N
5. Evidence of recer		• •		//				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	tacility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:	see addition							
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			10.16					N1/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	V
Recent treatments (see comment)? If yes, detail: TMS Salmosan Alphamax	1
If yes, detail: TMS Salmosan Alphamax If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? TMS Salmosan Alphamax	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
o. Ale mediames stored appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	У
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	У
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	у
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	у
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	у
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	у
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	у
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	У
If no, detail:	
Desulte of Compalliones	
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	V
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
il yes, detail (il flot detailed dildel recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 12/11/2018-15/06/2021	

ы	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by:	гпі			
	Case no:	2021-02	210	Site No:		FS1288			Date of Samplin		15/0	06/2021	15/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:0	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [5
	Environmental conditions:	1	Calm	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	3.5kg											
	Sex	Mixed											
	Water Type	SW											
		Φ											
Details		rocks lodge											
je (0 8											
		8											
Stock	Stock Origin												
Ċ,	Facility No	S10											

06/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:									
	all fish	sampled	for VM	D appea	ared hea	lthy and	showed	d no clin	ical sign	s of dise	ease.		
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0								
													1

	Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
2021-0210		Site No:	FS1288		Insp:	
15/06/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
			0	4.0	26	
	·					5
				ļ		40
						3
Trainber of desi						
Farm is protect						
disinfection or b	porehole)	0				
		1	2	4		2
		1	3	6		
		1	4	8		
Tarrio apotroan	TOT WITHIN T HOUR OXOGIOTOTT	None	Socuro			
Any processing	nlant discharging into adjacent water		Secure	Unsecure		
Any processing	piant discharging into adjacent waters		1	2		0
No on farm pro	cessing	0				
Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			1
Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
			Ì			
		4				
	<u> </u>	10				
		0				
•		3				3
Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5	1			
	Number of sites	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Yes		0	1			
No		1				1
			_			
Yes		0				0
No		3				
Yes		0				0
No		2				
			•			
				Total Rank		34 HIGH
	Frequency of more processing fish equivalent statuth Processing fish equivalent statut	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Site contacts Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters No on farm processing Processing own fish (re-cycling risk) Processing fish from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm Site's own waste only processed. Common processes with other farms Collection point for waste from other farms No feeding of unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Number of site: Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment Yes No Yes	Site No: 15/06/2021 No of miles No o	Site No: FS1288 150/6/2021 No of movements/s No of movements/s No of movements/s No of movements on from equivalent MS 0 5	Site No: FS1288 No of movements/supp./dest.	Site No. FS1288

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0210	Site No:	FS1288
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	N
·	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye	ear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (incl well as access to suitable biological and/or mech	uding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the sit	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	GP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salı	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or al	bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
· ·	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	els recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ement procedure with waypoints describing set ac	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	asons. Y
Containment Inspection		dustion ovalog?
	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro	duction cycles?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	yueighted down rence
Seal pro nets dyneema nets If other, detail below:	ADD's top nets	weighted down ropes
ii otilei, detaii below.		
3 Have escape incidents or events been even	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	Task I I II II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
·	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.	17)
·	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ac Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was permis	ssion given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	res of the Act)	
	egards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	n(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS1288	Date of 18848. 12/06/2020
2021 0210	ORE 140.	
Date of Visit: 15/06/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple		
-	Management Agreements and Stateme	
	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prep	pared?
Is the current FMAg/S available for instance the current forms.Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	y V
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fam	_	у У
	commencement of the agreement or stat	tement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?	У
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ament	
	m health standards for the stocks to be in	ntroduced to the area or
farm?		
•	ation requirements for stocks held in the a	
	es of fish which may be stocked into the a	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any fa	arm in the area or the
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual far	gements for the storage and disposal of a	ny dead fish from any
nsh farm in the area of the individual far	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice nu	umbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab	bility and the use of medicines on farms o	covered by the agreement
of statement?		,
	rements for the sensitivity testing of availa	able treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual far		
16. Does the FMAg/S identity the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls a arms?	and cleaner fish are to be y
	gements for synchronous treatments on fa	arms within the area?
Live Fish Movements	notonogo whom live fish may be introduce	d or removed from the
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced	
	gements for the movement of live fish on a	and off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual.	dual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow date when a farm or area may be restocked?	v and the earliest y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sit agreement or statement?	tes covered by the y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept covered by the agreement or statement?	on any site y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become parties to the agreement?	e, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement of the FMAg/S? 06/05/2020 no8	tatement? y

One Ne	0004 0040			D	45/00/0	204						
Case No:	2021-0210	J		Date of vi	sit: 15/06/20	J21						
Site No:	FS1288]		Inspec	tor:							
Doculto Cummon	I Croa											
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2									
		Databas	Пор	1 110110	шор	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	шор	2 nd Insp				
					_							
Report Summary												
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI, SLI, CNI VMD	25/10/2021											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0456
 Date of Visit
 15/06/2021

 Site No
 FS1288
 Site Name
 Etive 6

 Case No
 20210210
 Inspector

20210210 mor 2010K

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 25/10/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/