FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2021-0225			Date of visit: 21/07/2021						
Time spent on site:	.5 hours	Main Inspect	or:						
Site No: FS0433 Business No: FB0440	Site Name: Business Name:	Langa Isle (East) Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 13	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N						
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?       N       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.         Clinical signs of disease observed?       N       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.         Gross pathology observed?       N       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.         Diagnostic samples taken?       N									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	I reason below:							

## FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

Increased mortalities due to mechanical treatments were unreported. Further details in mortality events tab.

Remote inspection completed on 15/07/2021 by the observed by the physical inspection carried out on the 21/07/2021 by the observed by the obse

VMD fish appeared healthy when opened.

FHI 059, Version 13	;		Issued by: FHI		Date	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0225	Site No:	FS0433	3		
Date of Visit:		21/07/2021		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Author 1. Business/site det 2. Changes made to	ails summary	i <b>ls</b> checked by site repres	sentative?		Y	_
2. Changes made to	o details?				Ť	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fisl				<b>-</b>	
Total No facilities		10 Facilities	s stocked	9	No facilities inspe	ected 10
Species Age group	SAL		_			
No Fish	2020 S1 286,686		_			
Mean Fish Wt	200,000 4.8 Kg					
Next Fallow Date (S		September 2021	Next Input D	ate (Site)	January 2022	
Recent (last 4 wks)	-		· · · · · ·	_ ` `	s (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:		5115.	·	Any coodpes		
<ol> <li>5. Are records comp</li> <li>6. Are health certific</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>1. Are any moveme</li> <li>If yes, is there a system</li> </ol>	ection: plete and corre cords availabl plete and corre cates for introd s nts carried out	ectly entered? e for dead fish and wa	available? ne business (not us		20/05	5/2019 Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records						
1. Mortality records		•		Whole fich	TW/MA (Shotland)	ř
<ol><li>How are mortalitie If other detail:</li></ol>	es disposed of	!		whole lish -	TWMA (Shetland)	
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly entered?				V
4. Recent mortality	•		54% (1582) WK2	5 - 0 92% 267	5 WK26 0.15% (43	4) WK27 0 13%
5. Evidence of recei	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0-0.0270 2013	5 W1(20 0.1570 (40	N
		facility/no stock per fa	cility/reason:			
See mortality events						
		ring period checked?				Y
If yes, detail:	See mortality	• •				
•		nortalities been report	ed to vet or FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail action:	í l					
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI? If no, e	nter details on mor	tality events sl	heet.	N

<b>Treatments and Medi</b>	cines Records		
1. Recent treatments (s	see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.		
If other, detail:			
	vailable for inspection?		Y
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	te and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdray	•		Y
5. If yes, what treatmen	nt(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines store	d appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
•	available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and	frequency of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and	period in which the APB will notify S	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained	d) mortality at the site been included	?	Y
	•	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been includ	ded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be n	otified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
		ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certificati	ion if required)?		
•	• •	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	•	visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation av aquaculture animals he		ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
8. Have the biosecurity	/ procedures been adequately implen	nented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveilland	ce		
1. Has any animal heal	Ith surveillance been carried out by, o	or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results av	ailable for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant result	ts?		N
If yes, detail (if not deta	ailed under recent disease problems)	).	
Rec	cords checked between:	20/05/2019 - 21/07/2021	

21/07/2021 21/0 VMD No. 7
VMD No. 7
Total Samples

37/2021	Additio	hal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0						

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	n issue	. 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0225		Site No:	FS0433		Insp:	
Date of Visit	21/07/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des	tinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	•	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator	•		•				·
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Ponk		25 MEDIUM
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:       2021-0225       Site No:       FS0433         Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)       1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?       N       Y         2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?       Y       Y         3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?       Y	]
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?       N         2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?       Y         3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and	]
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and	] ] ]
	3
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? Y	3
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)       Y         6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)       Y	ι.
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	
<ol> <li>8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y</li> <li>2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?</li> </ol>	]
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	1
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for Y sea lice?	
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised Y scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	1
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	
Containment Inspection	1
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	1
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) Y	
Predator Nets Tensioned nets Top nets above and below	
If other, detail below:	<b>_</b>
	4
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	<b>1</b> - 1
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?     5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	-
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	1
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	1
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could	1
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)	1 -
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) Y	1

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date o	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0225	Site No: FS0433		
Date of Visit: 21/07/2021	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located w If N, no further questions require comple	-		Υ
Points of Compliance for Both Farm M 2. Has a current farm management agre 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of o 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of o	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been spection? farm management area? n site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or review?	prepared?	Y Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?		be introduced to the area or	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinat 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim individual farm?	s of fish which may be stocked into t	he area or farm?	Y Y Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange fish farm in the area or the individual far		of any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement		e numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	ility and the use of medicines on farr	ms covered by the agreement	Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual farm		vailable treatments for sea	Υ
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological contr	ols and cleaner fish are to be	Υ
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange		on farms within the area?	Υ
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introd	uced or removed from the	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish	on and off sites in the area	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fallo ked?	w and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s	sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ot on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ment Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
<ul><li>25. Is the fish farm being managed and o</li><li>26. What is the version no/date of issue of</li></ul>	operated in accordance with the agreement or soft the FMAg/S? 1.0 23/01/2020	statement? Y

Site No: FS0433

Case No: 2021-0225

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

2021-0225

Site No:

Case No:

Issued by: FHI

FS0433 Date of visit: 21/07/2021

tart date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
2/07/2019	28/07/2019	≥750g	Unknown	SAL	S1	Weekly	1.57	Explained	Treatment
9/08/2019	25/08/2019	≥750g	Unknown	SAL	S1	Weekly	3.18	Explained	Treatment
6/08/2019	01/09/2019	≥750g	Unknown	SAL	S1	Weekly	1.71	Explained	Treatment
/09/2019	08/09/2019	≥750g	Unknown	SAL	S1	Weekly	1.57	Explained	Treatment
/09/2019	15/09/2019	≥750g	Unknown	SAL	S1	Weekly	1.12	Explained	Treatment
6/09/2019	22/09/2019	≥750g	Unknown	SAL	S1	Weekly	1.96	Explained	Treatment

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	4693		Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2018
	7306		Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2018
	3590		Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2018
	2066		Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2018
	1038		Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2018
	1202		Mortality noted during inspection. No further action.	2018

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2021-0225	Date of visit: 21/07/2021								
Site No:	FS0433	Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion				
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp		
	_									
		<b></b>								
1										

report ourninary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	12/08/2021		

Report Summary

marine scotland science



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0440

 SITE NO
 FS0433

 CASE NO
 20210225

DATE OF VISIT 21/07/2021 SITE NAME Langa Isle (East) INSPECTOR

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Date: 12/08/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>