FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0226			Date of visit: 21/07/2021
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspect	pr:
Site No: FS0515 Business No: FB0440	Site Name: Business Name:	North Papa Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.5	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Slight CMS onsite, fish are being harvested and those pens with higher mortality have been harvested first so mortality has remained low.

Company policy has been to limit cleaner fish use due to health concerns for the cleanerfish.

Company operates at a treatment trigger level of 0.1 AF. 14/07/21 - 2.8 AF, reported. Site currently being harvested.

Paperwork carried out 15/07/21 by observed by

VMD sampled by , observed by . VMD fish looked healthy when opened

FHI 059, Version 13	1	lssı	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0226	Site No:	FS0515	5			
Date of Visit:		21/07/2021		Inspector(s):			
	ails summary	e tails ry checked by site representa	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to) details?					Y	
•	le cleaner fi	ish for all sections)					
Total No facilities		10 Facilities sto	ocked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	10
Species	SAL						
Age group No Fish	2020 S1						
No Fish Mean Fish Wt	125,400						
	5.9 Kg	August 2021	Next Input D	te (Site)	January 2	000	
Next Fallow Date (S Recent (last 4 wks)	· ·		Next Input Da	Any escapes	January 20		N
If yes, detail:	Slight CMS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Any escapes	(SINCE IASI	Visit)?	IN
 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certification Transport Records 1. Are any movement 	ds available for ection: plete and correcords availab plete and corr cates for intro- s ents carried ou	rrectly entered? able for dead fish and waste?	able? usiness (not us			28/03/2019	Y Y Y N/A
1. Mortality records a		•					Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed (of?		Whole fish - 1	TWMA (She	etland)	
If other detail:							
•	•	nd correctly entered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		% (201) WK26	- (0.21%) 433	WK27 - 0.2	29% (515) WK	
		/atypical mortalities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	er facility/no stock per facility	/reason:				
· · ·	-	during period checked?					Ϋ́
If yes, detail:		0 - 1.24% (4265) Poor doing		t transfer.			
) mortalities been reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:							
8. Have 'mortality ev	lents' been r	reported to FHI? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sr	leet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Ý
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Ý
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Ý
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 28/03/2019 - 21/07/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13					Issued by:	FHI		
Case no:	2021-022	26 Site N	o: FS	0515	Date of		21/07/2021	21/(
Priority samples:	VI	В	A	PA	Sampli MG	ng: HI	_	
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:00	:00 14	:00:00	Inspecto	or:	VMD	No.	9
Environmental conditions:	1	ndoors	2	3	4	5		
Summary samples	HIST	В	A	MG	VI	PA	Total Sam	ples
Add Fish/Pools - click								
Pool/Fish No								
Fish nos	F1							
Pool Group								
Species	SAL							
Average weight	5.9000							
Sex	N/A							
Water Type	SW							
Stock Origin	Loch Tralaig							

J7/2021	Additional Sample Information:												
0	I	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	I							

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date d	or issue	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0226		Site No:	FS0515		Insp:	
Date of Visit	21/07/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0		10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	•	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0]			C
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		C
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0226	Site No:	FS0515
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or medications)	ncluding deltamethrin, Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
 5. Are sea lice count records available for ins 6. Do records adequately reflect the required 	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sal.</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	is taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded? Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	l out in cooperation between participating farms	? Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations ar	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice i	ement procedure with waypoints describing set nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	w) Y
Above and below Tensioned nets predator nets	Top nets	
If other, detail below:		
	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist	•	
	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	4 17)
•	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	
·	, · ·	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perr	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and min	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	I	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0226	Site No: FS0515		
Date of Visit: 21/07/2021	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located If N, no further questions require comp	-		Y
Points of Compliance for Both Farm 2. Has a current farm management agr 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ir 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fan 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	reement or statement (FMAg/S) be hspection? Int farm management area? If site(s) to which it applies? If commencement of the agreement f review?	en prepared?	Y Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manager 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?		to be introduced to the area	or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccin 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the speci 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	es of fish which may be stocked in	to the area or farm?	e Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa		sal of any dead fish from an	y Y
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen		a lice numbers and treatmen	ts? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on	farms covered by the agree	ment Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requi		of available treatments for se	a Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur used on farms in the area or individual	-	ontrols and cleaner fish are t	to be Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran		nts on farms within the area?	? Y
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be inf	troduced or removed from th	rea Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live	fish on and off sites in the ar	ea Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fallo ked?	w and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s	sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ot on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage	ment Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and o26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or soft the FMAg/S? 1.0 23/01/2020	statement? Y

Site No: FS0515

Case No: 2021-0226

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2021-0226			Date of visit:	21/07/2021			
Site No:	FS0515	1		Inspector:		l –		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notificat	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	28/07/2021		
	_		
	+		
	1		

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0440

 SITE No
 FS0515

 CASE No
 20210226

DATE OF VISIT21/07/2021SITE NAMENorth PapaINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 28/07/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>