FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0227			Date of visit: 21/07/2021
Time spent on site:	2 hours	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS0316	Site Name:	Setter Voe	
Business No: FB0440	Business Name:	Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 VMD 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	ng fish present?	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed	ved?	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	rry out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site visited in response to ongoing mortality. Problem cages have now been harvested and site mortality has returned below the

26/05 - Health report form Pharmaque - PCR tests found CMS in cage 5. Fish from cage 5 were transferred from West of Burrwick early in the cycle. WoB now fallow. Cage 5 was harvested in WK25.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2021-0227		Site No:	FS0316					
Date of Visit:		21/07/2021]		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Autho									
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	details?						N		
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	7	No facilitie	s inspected	8	
Species	SAL								
Age group	2020 S1								
No Fish	151,000								
Mean Fish Wt	5.227 Kg								
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	August 2021		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	January 20	022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:	CMS								
Movement Records	5								
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y	
2. Date of last inspec							22/10/2020		
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	•					Y	
4. Are movement red		•		•				Y	
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y	
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A	
Transport Records									
1. Are any movemen		by (or on bel	half) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?				
If yes, is there a syst					_				
Mortality Records									
Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y	
2. How are mortalities		•			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)		
If other detail:									
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y	
4. Recent mortality (•	•		3% (268) WK26	6 - 0.15% (255	i) WK25 - 0.	26% (501) W	K 24 1.1%	
5. Evidence of recen	•	typical mortal		(===)	(Y	
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:									
Freshwater treatment for lice WK22, pen 2, 3, 5, 6 had higher mortalities in WK23 and WK24. Pen 5 found to have CMS,									
harvested out in WK		,, , ,	,					,	
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N	
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				Y	
If yes, detail action:		Mortality eve	nts reported t	to vet and FHI.	CMS found in	cage 5 whi	ch was harve	st out.	
8. Have 'mortality ev								Y	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of a	any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed of	lisease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or hig	her
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minir	mise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 22/10/2020 - 21/07/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13							ISS	sued by:	ГПІ			
Case no:	2021-02	27	Site No:		FS0316			Date of Samplin		21/0	07/2021	21/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	0:00	14:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	р. [11
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	F1											
Pool Group												
Species	SAL											
Average weight	5.2000											
Sex	N/A											
Water Type	SW											
Stock Origin Facility No	A Girlsta											

07/2021 Additional Sample Information:													
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1							

	Issued by: FHI			Date	or issue	: 12/05/2020
2021-0227		Site No:	FS0316		Insp:	
21/07/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
		0	9	19	26	
						\vdash
						10
				6		3
114111501 01 4001				6-10		
		0				
farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		1	4	8		
		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		1	2		1
·	•	0				
Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		4				
Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		
Yes		0				0
No		1				
Yes		0				0
No		3				
Yes		0]			0
No		2	1			
				Total Rank		17 MEDIUM
	Frequency of more processing fish equivalent statuth Processing fish equivalent statut	Prequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Site contacts Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters No on farm processing Processing own fish (re-cycling risk) Processing fish from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm Site's own waste only processed. Common processes with other farms Collection point for waste from other farms No feeding of unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Number of sites Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment Yes No Yes	Site No: 21/07/2021 No of m O O O O O O O O O	2021-0227 Site No: FS0316	Site No. FS0316	Site No: FS0316 Insp:

Site No: FS0316

Case No: 2021-0227

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0227			Date of visit:	21/07/2021			
6'' N	500040							
Site No:	FS0316	J		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results cultillary	r req.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
						J		2 11135
Report Summary	_			1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG, VMD	26/07/2021	шэр	2 IIISP					





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOFB0440DATE OF VISIT14/07/2021SITE NOFS0316SITE NAMESetter Voe

CASE NO 20210227 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter