FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0246			Date of visit: 15/07/2021
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS1056 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Strone The Scottish Salmon Compa	ny
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 14.2	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-45
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	nil reason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Increased mortality

Week 35 2020 - 2977 fish 3.12% - end of cycle - Fish being harvested out, small number of fish left on site. Reported to FHI

Water temperature was above 14 degrees Celsius so full ECI could not be completed. Fish observed during VMD sampling looked healthy and in good condition. Fish were feeding deep so hard to observe.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0246		Site No:	FS1056				
Date of Visit:		15/07/2021	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?			N	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	a claanar fisl	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		14	Facilities sto	cked	14	No facilitie	es inspected	2
Species	SAL		r dominos stor	I		110 100		
Age group	21S1's							
No Fish	666,560							
Mean Fish Wt	437g							
Next Fallow Date (S		July 22	•	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Jan 23	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Movement record</li> <li>Date of last inspects</li> <li>Are records composited.</li> <li>Are movement respects.</li> <li>Are records composited.</li> <li>Are health certification.</li> </ol> Transport Records <ol> <li>Are any movement of yes, is there a system.</li> </ol>	ction: lete and correctords available lete and corrected attention attention attentions.	ectly entered? e for dead fis ectly entered? uctions (outw	h and waste? vith GB) availa	able? siness (not usi	_		21/08/2021	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records	" 1 1 6 '	0						V
1. Mortality records a		•			Mhala fiab	Dundes Ch	amiaala	Ť
2. How are mortalities If other detail:	es aisposea oi	· ·			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	iemicais	
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	orod?					Y
4. Recent mortality (	•	correctly erite		3%) week25(0.	029/ ) wook?	1(0 0 10/ ) 14/	ook22(0,020/)	'
5. Evidence of recer		tynical mortal		3%) Week25(U	.03%) Week24	+(U.U476) W	eek23(0.02%)	N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
, 500, 140/110	mortality por	radiity/110 dto	on por radiity/					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:		• .		pike at input fo	or current cycl	e (0,85% w	eek12)	
7. Have increased (u					22 2 2 9 01	(3.20,0 11		Y
If yes, detail action:	,		•					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: Slice TMS	
If other, detail:	
Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
	V
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	- V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	1
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 21/08/2020-15/07/2021	

1111 000, Version 10													
	Case no:	2021-02	246	Site No:		FS1056			Date of		15/0	07/2021	15/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	ig:   HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:0	0:00	12:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	8
	Environmental conditions:	1	Sunny	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3-4										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight		437g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details		Kinlochmoidart	v Kinlochmoidart										
S	Facility No	2	9										

07/2021 Additional Sample Information:															
	All fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and showed no clinical signs of disease														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														
	The state and an arrangement of the state and arrangement of the state arrangement of the state are stated as a sta														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0246		Site No:	FS1056		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/07/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
opeolog	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
NA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trambol of door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	, ]			0
oco or ampaotoanioca rocac	Feeding unpas		5				
Biosecurity	<u> </u>	Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0246		Site No:	FS1056	
				_	
Sea Lice Inspection (S					N
1. Has the site experien	·				N
	*	uivalent) fallowed synchronous			Y
	•	nced in-feed and bath sea lice in ell as access to suitable biolog	,		and Y
can these be deployed					
4. Is there a signed doc Management Area (or e		ment agreement or statement r	elevant to the sit	te and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count re-	cords available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Anne	ex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequate	ly reflect the required s	tandard specified in the SSI and	d the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salme</i> records are inspected?		w the suggested criteria for trea	atment in the Co	GP during the period that	Y
		onis) numbers per fish been at I that records are inspected?	a level of 3 or a	bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19	) or N
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI se	ee comment.		N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infes	tation at a level which i	s considered to cause significar	nt welfare proble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50	) N
•		ered or other actions taken whongatus is considered to have			Y Y
11. Has any other action	n been taken (where a	plicable)?			Υ
12. Have therapeutic tre	eatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact	upon the lice lev	els recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried	out in cooperation between part	icipating farms?		Υ
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	g strategy for the site, v	here fewer populations or part	populations are	held without treatment for	Y
15. Is there a site speci-	-	nent procedure with waypoints restation?	describing set a	ctions to deal with recogni	sed Y
16. Do the sea lice leve	ls observed on stocks	eflect sea lice count data? If no	please detail re	easons.	Y
Containment Inspection	on				
-		e due to predators in the curren	t or previous pro	nduction cycles?	N
		e predation experienced on site		•	Y
ADD's	Seal pro nets	tensioned nets Top r	,	Seal fences	
If other, detail below:					
·	•	rienced on or in the vicinity of t	he site since the	last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que	•	·			
4. Have these been rep					
		hwith (where they exist)? (CoG		· ·	,
6. Have these been rep	orted to the SSPO and	local fisheries trusts forthwith (	where they exist	)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17	)
7. Were methods (if any	v) used to recover esca	pees? If ves give detail			
The state of the s	,,	Table 1 and a second			
		eed with local wild fish interests	s and was permi	ssion given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGI	· ·				
		ise the risk of further escapes?	(Not covered in	code but could	
be considered under	·				
10. Is the site inspected	as satisfactory with re	gards to containment? If no, ple	ase detail reasc	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	te No: FS1056	Data of 100do. 12/00/2020
2021 0240	101000	
Date of Visit: 15/07/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	in a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completio		<u> </u>
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma		
2. Has a current farm management agreen		ed?
<ol><li>Is the current FMAg/S available for inspect.</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa</li></ol>		y V
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s		V
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of co		nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	view?	У
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum		oduced to the area or
farm?		
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	•	
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximun individual farm?	n stocking density of any pen on any farm	n in the area or the y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen		dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm	?	
Arrangements for The Management of S	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	s for the sharing of data on sea lice number	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit	ty and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement
of statement?	y and the dee of medicines of famile oot	y and agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	ents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farms		
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstaused on farms in the area or individual farn</li></ol>		d cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen		ns within the area?
and the second s	The state of the s	<i>j</i>
Live Fish Movements		<u></u>
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta area or farm?	ances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen or individual farms?	nents for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area
or individual fattilo:		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indi-	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s podstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, y
Management and operation  25. Is the fish farm being managed and operation  26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 09/02/2021	statement? y

Site No: FS1056

Case No: 2021-0246

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

One a New	0004 0040	1		D	45/07/0	204					
Case No:	2021-0246	J		Date of vi	sit: 15/07/20	JZ 1					
Site No:	FS1056	]		Inspec	tor:						
D # 0	Te-	Data of Notification									
Results Summary	Freq.	Datahase	Date of Notification  Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp								
		Databasc	Пібр	THORIC	шэр	witting	ШЭР	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
	+										
	+										
	+										
	†										
	+										
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
REG, CNI, SLI, VMD	04/08/2021										
	+										
	†										
	<del>1</del>										





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0169
 Date of Visit
 15/07/2021

 Site No
 FS1056
 Site Name
 Strone

 Case No
 20210246
 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. However, a full EC inspection could not be completed as the water temperature was found to be above 14 degrees C. This site will be inspected at a later date.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 04/08/2021