FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0274			D	Date of visit: 2	7/07/2021
Time spent on site:	5hrs	М	ain Inspector:		
Site No: FS0248 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Duich Mowi Scotland Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	T152	F	HI 045 complete	ed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-21
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see add	ditional informa	ation/clinical sco ation/clinical sco ation/clinical sco	re sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Fish came onto site from Loch Arkaig (FS0649) on the 11th and 13th of May 21.

Fungal infection present in salmon in pens 6, 8 and 11 following transfer from FW. Site experienced post-transfer mortality, but this reduced in the following weeks. Total mortality across the site since input was 2%.

Lumpfish came onto site in May from Ocean Matters and were stocked at 8%. Site suffered elevated lumpfish mortalties following transfer, which has been attributed to a Flavivirus infection that was transferred from the supplier. Total mortality since input was 37% (27,000). Site staff increased mortality removal, net cleaning and they were hand feeding the lumpfish to promote recovery. Mortality has since reduced.

Wrasse on site were wildcaught in the waters around Skye and Weymouth. Wrasse are being transferred to the site as and when they are available from the supplier. Stocking began 2 weeks ago and the site manager stated that the site will continue to receive wrasse until they reach a stocking density of 2%. Wrasse mortality since input is 1%.

Average Lep numbers have remained <2 since input, however average Caligus numbers began increasing towards the end of June (>6). The site received a FW treatment and the average number dropped to <2. Caligus numbers have started to increase again in the last few weeks and so an Alphamax treatment has been scheduled for 22/07 to address this.

Fish were sitting deep in the water so difficult to observe, although appeared to be shoaling well. 6 salmon mortalities and 12 wrasse mortalities were observed across the site during the inspection. These were not fresh dead and so no diagnostic samples were taken.

Some lumpfish were observed near the top of the nets, these appeared to be in good health and were active.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13			Is	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0274		Site No:	FS0248	3			
Date of Visit:		27/07/2021]		Inspector(s):		ı
Registration/Author	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site det			ite represe	ntative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made to		•	·				Υ]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	ish for all soct	ione)					
Total No facilities	ic Cicaliei II	12	Facilities s	stocked	12	No facilit	ies inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM	Joenson	 	140 140111	ice inopected	
Age group	Q2 2021	Wildcaught	2021					
No Fish	705,275	6,409	42,000					
Mean Fish Wt	294g	Mixed	50-60g					
Next Fallow Date (S		Sep 22		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Jan 23	_	
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escape		st visit)?	N
If yes, detail:				_	, ,	,	,	
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys 	olete and co cates for intro s nts carried c	rrectly entered? oductions (outw	vith GB) ava	ailable? business (not us	-			N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed	of?			Other (deta	il)		
If other detail:		shore base.			• `	,		
3. Mortality records	complete ar	nd correctly ento	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		Wk28: 1,2	280 (0.18%) Wk2	27: 845 (0.12	%) Wk26:	1,213 (0.17%)	Wk25: 917
5. Evidence of recei	nt increased	atypical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	er facility/no sto	ck per facil	ity/reason:				
6 Any other peaks	in mortality o	luring pariod ch	nockod2					l N
6. Any other peaks i If yes, detail:	in mortality C	dring period cr	ieckeu!					IN
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities be	en reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		,						
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been i	eported to FHI	? If no, ente	er details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

If yes, detail:TMS	
If other, detail: Alphamax	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail: Alphamax	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	-
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	g signficiant

Records checked between: 11/12/2019 - 22/07/2021

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0274		Site No:	FS0248		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/07/2021		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
CFC0.00	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
Mayananta aff					ļJ		10
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Transor or door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	1			0
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
Coo or ampaotounious roods	Feeding unpast	·	5				
Biosecurity	<u> </u>	Number of sites		J	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0]			0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		17 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0274	Site No:	FS0248
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the pre	vious 4 years?	N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)	fallowed synchronously on a single year	ar class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feazamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as accent these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?		
 Is there a signed documented farm management agre Management Area (or equivalent)? 	eement or statement relevant to the site	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (L	_egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
Do records adequately reflect the required standard s	pecified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Leg	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the sugrecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	gested criteria for treatment in the CoC	GP during the period that Y
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) nur 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that reco		pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Insp	ectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is conside	red to cause significant welfare problen	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
 Have therapeutic treatments been administered or of suggested criteria for treatment or where C. elongatus is 		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)		Υ
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken ha		els recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coo		Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where few sea lice?	er populations or part populations are h	neld without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice management proceduring the escalation of a sea lice infestation?		tions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea	a lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to p	predators in the current or previous prod	duction cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation	on experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y
Sealpro nets and		
op nets.		
f other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been experienced or	on or in the vicinity of the site since the	last FHI inspection?
f Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip to question	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (wh	nere they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.1	17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fish	eries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If y	yes give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with	local wild fish interests and was permis	ssion given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the ri	sk of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures of the		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to c	containment? If no, please detail reason	n(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0274	Site No: FS0248	
Date of Visit: 27/07/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and Staten	nents
•	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been pro	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	nt farm management area?	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fare	m site(s) to which it applies?	Υ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	commencement of the agreement or st	atement? Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	freview?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	um health standards for the stocks to be	introduced to the area or Y
•	ation requirements for stocks held in the	
•	es of fish which may be stocked into the	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	mum stocking density of any pen on any	farm in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa	gements for the storage and disposal of arm?	any dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	nents for the sharing of data on sea lice	numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement Y
	rements for the sensitivity testing of ava	ilable treatments for sea
	mstances under which biological controls	and cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual f		s and cleaner lish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on	farms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduc	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish or	n and off sites in the area

Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms? Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 11/12/2020	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?		harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	ridual farms?
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b		
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or		ites covered by the
24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? Y	23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether br		t on any site
25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	24. Does the farm management agreeme		ne, or cease to be, N/A
	25. Is the fish farm being managed and c		statement?

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI	гг	ii 059, versiori 15							155	ueu by. i	ГП			
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 13:30:00 14:00:00 Inspector: VMD No. 1:starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No F1-5 F6-10 F11-15 POol Group P		Case no:	2021-02	274	Site No:		FS0248					27/0	07/2021	27/0
Summary samples		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		_	Samplin				
Pool/Fish No		starts/ends:				0:00		Inspecto	or:). [15
Pool/Fish No		Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Fish nos	Ad													
Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight Sex Water Type SW SW SW SW SW Water Type SW S		Pool/Fish No												
Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 294g 294g Sex Water Type SW		Fish nos	F1-5	F6-10	F11-15									
Average weight 294g 294g 294g Sex Water Type SW SW SW Water Type SW SW SW SW Water Type SW SW SW SW Water Type SW		Pool Group												
Sex Water Type SW			SAL	SAL	SAL									
Sex Water Type SW		Average weight	294g	294g	294g									
Details th Arkaig FS0649 th Arkaig FS0649 th Arkaig FS0649		Sex												
Loch Arkaig FS0649 Loch Arkaig FS0649 Loch Arkaig FS0649		Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
		Stock Origin												

07/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
	Human	ely dispa	atched b	y percu	ssive blo	ow.					
0		Total Te	ests ass	igned	0						

Site No: FS0248

Case No: 2021-0274

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2021-0274	1		Date of vi	isit: 27/07/20	021						
		J				V2 1						
Site No:	FS0248]		Inspec	tor:							
Results Summary	Freq.	eq. Date of Notification										
		Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Ir				
			_									
	_											
		1										
D 1 0												
Report Summary	Doto	lnor	ond :	-								
Case Type	Date 30/07/2021	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	30/07/2021											
	-											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 27/07/2021

 Site No
 FS0248
 Site Name
 Loch Duich

 Case No
 20210274
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 30/07/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/