FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0279			Da	ate of visit: 06	/10/2021
Time spent on site:	hrs	Ma	in Inspector:		
Site No: FS0860 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Shapinsay Scottish Sea Farms	Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 12.4	Thermometer No:	T146	FH	HI 045 completed	d 🔲
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type:	s	CoGP MA	0-2
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		Y If yes, see addi	tional informat	ion/clinical score ion/clinical score ion/clinical score	e sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:			

FHI 059, Version 13	3	_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_		Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0279		Site No:	FS0860				
Date of Visit:		06/10/2021	1		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Auth								_
1. Business/site de	•	checked by s	ite represent	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made t	o details?						Y	_
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL						i i	
	2021 Q2 &							
Age group	S0							
No Fish	820,314							
Mean Fish Wt	425g							
Next Fallow Date (•	Oct 2022		Next Input Da		Spring 202		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last \	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Mayamant Basar	do.							
Movement Record		r inconntion?						
 Movement recor Date of last inspendent 		i inspection?					03/12/2019	'
3. Are records com		actly entered?	,				03/12/2019	
4. Are movement re	•	•		>				Ÿ
5. Are records com				•				Ÿ
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
		(····· /·-··					
Transport Record	s							
1. Are any moveme	ents carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sy	stem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records					Oth (-l-t-:l)			Y
How are mortalit If other detail:					Other (detail)			
3. Mortality records	SSF Ensiler		orod?					
Recent mortality		correctly ent		/ \ f ====:t==f====	4	les.		'
 Kecent mortality Evidence of rece 		tunical morta		%) for site for p	revious 4 wee	eks		N
If yes, facility nos/n		• •		//reason:				IN
11 yes, lacility 1105/11	o mortality per	Tability/110 3to	on per facility	nouson.				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sl	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
H2O2, T.M.S., If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Ÿ
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
'''''	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: Dec 2019 to Sept 2021	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted 01/10/21 by , observed by as part of competency audit

Site Inspection conducted 06/10/21 by , VMD sampling and full mock diagnostic conducted by as part of competency audit

Site currently stocked with 4 pens of salmon due to go to Noust Geo and the remaining 8 pens are stock that will stay on Shapinsay. Noust Geo fish are due to move in Mid November 2021, only stocked on Shapinsay due to delays with Wyre (Noust Geo's nursery site) fallowing and undergoing fallow period.

Shapinsay stocked pens were deeper in the water, but showed a good feeding response. All appeared healthy, 3 dead observed across the 8 pens, these were removed by site staff at time of inspection. The Noust Geo fish were shoaling well, but ~50 failed smolts observed in pens 11 and 12, all still active One fish observed with lesion behind dorsal fin, but still very active.

Shapinsay is a nursery site for Puldrite, fish are input in spring and transferred when ~1kg (Aug/Sept time).

Weather very calm during inspection, changing between sunny and overcast. This made visibility in some pens better than others, fish could be observed shoaling deeper in the water. 3 fish removed for VMD all appeared healthy and in very good condition. Site currently undergoing a SLICE treatment, there was a lot of jumping behaviour in the Noust Geo stock, caligus are slightly elevated, but not at level to cause issues on site. It was reported that Leps are becoming more common on sites within Kirkwall bay. Water temperature was reported to be high for time of year and currently 12.4oC.

AGD - Reported that CT values from swab samples appear to be stronger (lower CT) since Pharmaq took over testing from FVG, this is being investigated to look at differences in CT values. H202 treatment conducted at Shapinsay for the Noust Geo fish 30/09 & 01/10

Currently participating in Gill health study with Aberdeen University/MSS. Includes E.DNA work (for AGD in water), and sampling has been undertaken (incl histology) In June, September and will be sampled Nov/Dec.

Mortalities are ensiled in Orkney, however, if there was an issue with the ensiler or large number of mortalities, these would be disposed of at Pelagia on Bressay - Shetland.

SSF Orkney sites will be moving to using uplift systems and moving away from dead basket for mortality removal.

There is weekly communications between SSF and CAS regarding sites in Orkney, including exchange of sea lice numbers.

	11 009, Version 13							133	ueu by. i				
	Case no:	2021-02	279	Site No:		FS0860			Date of Samplin		06/	10/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	-	y. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:0	0:00	13:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	15
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3	Cloudy	4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000									
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details		Knock	Barcaldine Smolt Unit	Barcaldine Smolt Unit									
S	Facility No	3	5	6									

	Addition	nal Samp	ole Infor	mation:						
0		Total Te	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0279		Site No:	FS0860		Insp:	
Date of Visit	06/10/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0	_	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3	6	10	10
IVIOVEITIETIIS OII	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				0
		from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				0
					Total Rank		21 MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0279		Site No:	FS0860				
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only							
1. Has the site experier	nced sea lice problem	s in the previous 4 years?			N			
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	lanagement Area (or	equivalent) fallowed synchronously	on a single y	ear class basis?	N			
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin,								
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?								
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e		gement agreement or statement rele	vant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm	Y			
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for in	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6	5)		Υ			
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required	I standard specified in the SSI and th	ne CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ			
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	•	elow the suggested criteria for treatm	ent in the Co	oGP during the period that	Υ			
		Imonis) numbers per fish been at a liod that records are inspected?	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) o	or N			
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see o	comment.		N/A			
•	•	n is considered to cause significant w		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N			
		ĭ		, ,				
		istered or other actions taken when elongatus is considered to have wel			N/A			
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where	applicable)?			N/A			
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the action	ns taken had a significant impact upo	on the lice le	vels recorded?	N/A			
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carrie	d out in cooperation between particip	ating farms?	?	N/A			
14. Is there a harvestin sea lice?	g strategy for the site	, where fewer populations or part pop	oulations are	e held without treatment for	Y			
		ement procedure with waypoints des of a sea lice infestation?	scribing set a	actions to deal with	Y			
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no ple	ease detail r	easons.	Υ			
		,						
Containment Inspecti	on							
1. Has the site experier	nced equipment dama	age due to predators in the current or	r previous pr	oduction cycles?	N			
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	/)	Y			
Top nets,								
Tensioned nets,								
Sapphire Nets								
If other, detail below:								
					Th.			
		perienced on or in the vicinity of the	site since the	e last FHI inspection?	IN			
If Yes proceed with que								
4. Have these been rep			4 4 27 5 4	1.47\				
		orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP -						
b. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO ar	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (whe	ere they exis	it)? (COGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)				
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail						
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG	•	greed with local wild fish interests ar	nd was perm	ission given by Scottish				
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and mir	nimise the risk of further escapes? (N	lot covered i	n code but could				
be considered under	•							
	•	regards to containment? If no, please	e detail reas	on(s)	Υ			

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0279	Site No: FS0860	
Date of Visit: 06/10/2021	1 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
 Has a current farm management agr Is the current FMAg/S available for in Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far 	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? if commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be intro	duced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the speci	nation requirements for stocks held in the area ies of fish which may be stocked into the area mum stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?
	ngements for the storage and disposal of any o	dead fish from any fish Y
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen	of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of available arms?	treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circulused on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls and farms?	cleaner fish are to be
	ngements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circularea or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	ngements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptabl	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restood 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether be agreement or statement.	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?		ne, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? Jan-21	statement?

Case No:	2021-0279			Data of visits	06/40/2024						
Case No.	2021-0279	ı		Date of visit:	06/10/2021						
Site No:	FS0860]		Inspector:		I					
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	 										
	1										
	1										
	<u> </u>										
	+										
	 										
	 										
	┼										
	+										
Report Summary			nd								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	12/10/2021										
	+										
	1 										
	<u> </u>										
	-										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0125Date of Visit06/10/2021Site NoFS0860Site NameShapinsayCase No20210279Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 12/10/21

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/