FHI 059, Version 13	ŀ	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0309			Date of visit: 15/09/2021
Time spent on site:	3hrs	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1291	Site Name:	Caolas A Deas	
Business No: FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.8	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA W-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving			rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		mation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Mortality last 4 weeks:

WRS 2021: wk 35, 601; wk34, 788; wk33, 114, wk32 no entry LUM 2021: wk35, 66; wk34, 235; wk33, 785; wk32, 1670.

Increased Mortality in Cleanerfish:

LUM 2021: wk8, 6447 (freshwater); wk22, 8321; wk23, 7228; wk29, 5690; wk30, 11621 (extended freshwater) ; wk31, 10030 (extended freshwater)

Causes of mortality: sealice treatment (freshwater), tenacibaculum

SAL: Pen 8- still experiencing pressure of yersiniosis, morts are reducing. Entire site still continuing Florfenicol treatment.

It has been accepted by industry that freshwater treatments will lead to elevated lumpfish mortalities. No alternative solution was given. Site manager said that it is difficult to dewater lumpfish as a result of size and shape. Staff try to remove fish as much as possible with hand nets. Increased handling may also contribute to mortalities.

Elevated lice on site: scheduled hydrolicer treatment.

Site inspection conducted on 15/09/2021 by and shadowed by VMD samples taken on 15/09/2021 by observed by Remote paperwork conducted by supplying supervised by on 23/09/2021.

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2021-0309		Site No:	FS1291						
Date of Visit:		15/09/202	21		Inspector(s):					
Registration/Author	risation Det	ails								
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by	site represent	tative?			Υ			
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ			
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all se	ctions)							
Total No facilities		20	Facilities st	ocked	18	No facilities	inspected	18		
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM				·			
Age group	Q4 2020	2021	mixed							
No Fish	89,453	76,342	14,378							
Mean Fish Wt	2.5kg	mixed	mixed							
Next Fallow Date (S		June/ July		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Q4 Octobe	r/ November	2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob			Y	Any escapes			N		
If yes, detail:	Yersinia ruc			_		`	,			
3. Are records comp 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any moveme If yes, is there a sys	cords available elete and corrected for intro sates for intro s nts carried ou	ole for dead to rectly entered ductions (ou at by (or on b	ish and wasted? twith GB) avai behalf) of the b	lable? ousiness (not usi		·		Y Y N/A		
Mortality Records		:						V		
Mortality records How are mortaliti		•			Engiled on a	ito		1		
2. How are mortalitied of their detail:			41		Ensiled - on s					
3. Mortality records				red to landfill- W	Initesnore Cod	Kies				
•		a correctly er		MILOZ EDDE 4	170/ . M/L26 0/	222 2 400/	(a a lua a a a a a a a a a	1		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): SAL 2021: Wk37, 5025, 1.17%; Wk36, 8633, 2.10% (salmosan treatments 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?										
If yes, facility nos/no		••		wroscon:				'		
•		•		•	o without digor	ooio froob)				
Yersinia and jellyfish				riality records as	s without digar	iosis, iresii)		Y		
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? f yes, detail: see additional information (cleanerfish).										
	7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?									
If yes, detail action:										
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re				ality events sh	eet.		Y		
			,							

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
Florfenicol,		
If yes, detail: T.M.S.		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Florfenicol, T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, r	recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will noti	ify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been include	ided?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the	presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be	be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being st	tocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures impler	mented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of sta		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in	n place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately imp	plemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out b	by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease proble	ems). Yersinia ruckeri, Tenacibaculum, Jellyfish	
Records checked between:	13/11/2019-23/09/2021	

Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No	FILLOSS, VEISION 13				issueu by.	. ГПІ	
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 11:30:00 13:00:00 Inspector: VMD No. Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 F2 F1 F2 F2 F2 F3 F4	Case no:	2021-0309	Site No:	FS1291			5/09/2021 15/
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samp Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F1 F2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Priority samples:	VI	ВА	PA			3
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Image: Control of the control of				Inspect	or:	VMD	No. 20
Pool/Fish No	Environmental conditions:	1 Indo	oors 2	3	4	5	3
Pool/Fish No	Summary samples	HIST	ВА	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples
Fish nos F1 F2 Pool Group Species SAL Species SAL SAL Average weight 2.5kg 2.5kg Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW							
Pool Group Species SAL							
Species SAL SAL Average weight 2.5kg 2.5kg Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW	Fish nos	F1 F2					
Average weight 2.5kg 2.5kg Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW	Pool Group						
Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW		SAL SAL	_				
Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW	Average weight	2.5kg 2.5k	(g				
	Water Type	SW SW					
Stock Origin Stock Origin Stock Origin Stock Origin Facility No 18 20 Stock Origin Stock Or		Sconser Quarry (1330)	(1330)				

09/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0309		Site No:	FS1291		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/09/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0			10	6
Movements on	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	. 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		10 LOW

Case No:	2021-0309	Site No: FS1291	
2. Is the CoGP Farm M 3. Does the site have a	nced sea lice problen lanagement Area (or ccess to a range of li amectin benzoate)	ns in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, s well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and	N Y Y
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e		gement agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	Υ
		spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salme</i> records are inspected?		elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	Υ
		almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or riod that records are inspected?	Υ
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Υ
•	•	h is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
•		nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the <i>elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	Υ
11. Has any other actio	n been taken (where	applicable)?	Υ
12. Have therapeutic tre	eatments or the actio	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carrie	d out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ
14. Is there a harvesting sea lice?	g strategy for the site	e, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for	Υ
15. Is there a site speci scenarios during the es		gement procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised infestation?	Υ
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspection	on		
•		age due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N
		the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ
Top nets	tension nets		
If other, detail below:			
3. Have escape incider	nts or events been e	sperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No sk	ip to question 10	
4. Have these been rep	orted to Scottish Mir	isters?	
5. Have these been rep	orted to local DSFB	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
6. Have these been rep	oorted to the SSPO a	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deplo	oyed was this action	agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG			
9. What action was take	en to prevent and mi	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could	
be considered under			
	•	regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ
	,		

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0309	Site No: FS1291	
Date of Visit: 15/09/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	within a form management area?	
 Is the farm under inspection located N, no further questions require compl 	-	Y
ii ii, no iaraior quodaono roquiro compi		
 Has a current farm management agr Is the current FMAg/S available for ir Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far 	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be intro	duced to the area or
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the speci	ation requirements for stocks held in the area les of fish which may be stocked into the area mum stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice nents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requilice on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of available arms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur used on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls and farms?	cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only lent include arrangements for persons to becom	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and a 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 01/11/2020	statement?

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0309			Date of visit:	15/09/2021			
Site No:	EC1201			la ana ataw				
Site No.	FS1291	ı		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	· ·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	23/09/2021		2 11150					





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 Date of Visit 15/09/2021 Site No FS1291 Site Name Caolas A Deas

Case No 20210309 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 23/09/2021

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area (1) to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement [delete as appropriate]

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].

- Fish health management
 - This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- The management of parasites⁽²⁾
 This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms
 This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to or removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish
 This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish
 removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
 whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
 identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
 the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

⁽¹⁾ Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

⁽²⁾ Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*