FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2021-0368			Date of visit: 06/10/2021		
Time spent on site:	30m	Main Inspecto	or:		
Site No: FS1050 Business No: FB0299	Site Name: Business Name:	Rysa Incubation Unit Rysa Salmon Farm			
Case Types: 1 REG	23	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.			
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	ry out intended visit detail rea	son below:			
	Site fallow, only stock	ked with ova to first feeding			

## FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

Site to be restocked in January 2022. Poor egg quality reported for last cycle.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0368		Site No:	FS1050	]			
Date of Visit:		06/10/2021	l		Inspector(s):			•
Registration/Author								-
<ol> <li>Business/site deta</li> <li>Changes made to</li> </ol>		hecked by si	te representa	ative?			Y N	-
Site Details (include	e cleaner fish	for all secti	ons)					-
one Detans (morad	Г					1		
Total No facilities		2 tanks plus 1 hat	Facilities sto	cked	0	No facilitie	s inspected	2 tanks plus one hat
Species		i nat			•			ono nat
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (Si	· · ·	currently fallo	W	Next Input Da		January 20		
Recent (last 4 wks) c	lisease proble	ms?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
1. Movement records		inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							07/11/2018	
3. Are records compl	lete and correc	ctly entered?					P	Y
4. Are movement rec	ords available	e for dead fish	n and waste?					Y
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?								Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introdu	ictions (outwi	ith GB) availa	able?				Y
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	its carried out l	hv (or on her	half) of the bu	isiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syste								Y
<b>,</b> , <b>,</b>	• • • • • •							
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail)			
If other detail: 3. Mortality records of				e disposed of	with their mort	S		Y
4. Recent mortality (I	•	-		site since Mar	ch 2021			
5. Evidence of recent	,			Site Since Mar	611 202 1			N/A
If yes, facility nos/no		•		/reason:				
		· ·	· · ·					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality duri	ng period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) m	ortalities bee	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	onto' been rea	orted to FLUC	) If no onter	dotoilo on mart	olity overte at	act		NI/A
8. Have 'mortality eve	ents been rep	oned to FHI?	n no, enter (	uetails on mon	anty events sr	ieet.		N/A

If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?   3. Are records complete and correctly entered?   4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?   5. If yes, what treatment(s)?   If other, detail:   6. Are medicines stored appropriately?   Biosecurity Records   1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?   2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
<ul> <li>3. Are records complete and correctly entered?</li> <li>4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?</li> <li>5. If yes, what treatment(s)?</li> <li>If other, detail:</li> <li>6. Are medicines stored appropriately?</li> </ul> Biosecurity Records <ul> <li>1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?</li> <li>2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?</li> <li>5. If yes, what treatment(s)?</li> <li>If other, detail:</li> <li>6. Are medicines stored appropriately?</li> </ul> Biosecurity Records <ul> <li>1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?</li> <li>2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?</li> </ul>	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
If other, detail: 6. Are medicines stored appropriately?  Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?     Biosecurity Records     1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?     2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?         2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
<i>increased</i> ( <i>unexplained</i> ) mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	y y y y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	У
<ul> <li>6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?</li> <li>7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?</li> </ul>	y y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	V
If no, detail:	у
Results of Surveillance         1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?         2. If yes, are results available for inspection?         3. Any significant results?         If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	N
Records checked between: 7/11/2018 to \6/10/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Dale		. 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0368		Site No:	FS1050		Insp:	
Date of Visit	06/10/2021		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0	5	10		5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of des		0	3			3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ed (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent state		4				
	_	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	_	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator			<u> </u>	l			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					<b>Total</b> Rank		22 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2021-0368	]		Date of visit:	06/10/2021			
Site No:	FS1050	נ		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
							-	
							-	
Report Summary				1				

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
REG	13/10/202	1	
	_		
	_		
	_	-	
		-	
	+	-	
	+		





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0299 SITE NO FS1050 CASE NO 20210368 DATE OF VISIT 06/10/2021 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

**Rysa Incubation Unit** 

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be fallow and the measures in place for the containment of stock could not be assessed.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:		
	Fish Health Inspector	

Date: 13/10/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>