FHI 059, Version 13	lss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0388			Date of visit: 12/10/2021
Time spent on site:	0.5 hrs	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: FS1347 Business No: FB0568	Site Name: Business Name:	AGRF (Hatchery - RAS A) The Roslin Institute	
Case Types: 1 REG	23	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: LO	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	• .	If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail re	ason below:	
	S	Site fallow	

Site inspection carried out by with on 12/10/2021. .

Site fallow, unsure when next stock will be in. No mortality records to check as the 5000 SAL ova brought on site in April 2021 where culled once hatched. This has been the only stock on site so far. Future research projects currently unknown so unsure when fish will be next brought on site.

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0	0388 Site N	No: FS134	7			
Date of Visit:	12/10/2021		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Authorisatio 1. Business/site details sum 2. Changes made to details	nmary checked by site rep	resentative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to details) f				Ŷ	J
Site Details (include clear				_		
Total No facilities	16 + hatch Facili	ties stocked	0	No facilitie	es inspected	17
Species						
Age group						
No Fish Mean Fish Wt					_	
	ourrently fellow	Next Input D	ate (Site)	LIDOUTO		
Next Fallow Date (Site) Recent (last 4 wks) disease	currently fallow	Next Input D	A Any escapes	unsure	vicit)2	N
If yes, detail:	; problems ;	11/7	Any escapes	s (since last	visit):	IN
 Date of last inspection: Are records complete an Are movement records a Are records complete an Are health certificates for Are health certificates for Transport Records Are any movements carr If yes, is there a system in p Mortality Records Mortality Records 	ivailable for dead fish and d correctly entered? r introductions (outwith GE ied out by (or on behalf) o place for maintenance of t	3) available? f the business (not us			first inspect	ion Y Y Y
1. Mortality records availabl	•		In sin system			Ý
2. How are mortalities dispo If other detail:	used of ?		Incinerated -	on site		
3. Mortality records comple	te and correctly entered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 v						
5. Evidence of recent increa	-					N
If yes, facility nos/no mortal	•••	facility/reason:				
	··· / / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·j.·				
6. Any other peaks in morta	ality during period checked	?				N
If yes, detail:						
7. Have increased (unexpla	ined) mortalities been rep	orted to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:						
8. Have 'mortality events' be	een reported to FHI? If no	, enter details on mo	rtality events sl	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: first inspection	

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 15		Issued by. FHI			Dale	or issue.	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0388		Site No:	FS1347		Insp:	
Date of Visit	12/10/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	-	0	5	10		5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	0
	Number of des		0		6		0
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	e or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	e or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		e or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure	_	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent stat		4				
	-	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	_	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				,			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				
code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		18
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2021-0388]		Date of visi	t: 12/10/2	021		
Site No:	FS1347]		Inspector	r:			
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Noti	fication		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-		_		_	
	_		-		-		_	
	_				-		_	
							_	
	_				-			
	_							

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
REG	20/10/2021		





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0568 SITE NO FS1347 CASE NO 20210388 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 12/10/2021 AGRF (Hatchery - RAS A)

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow, it was reported that it may be used in the future therefore, it will remain active on the FHI inspection schedule.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 20/10/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter