FHI 059, Version 13	l:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2021-0390			Date of visit: 19/10/2021			
Time spent on site:	nrs	Main Inspe	ctor:			
Site No: FS0737 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Huamavat The Scottish Salmon Comp	any			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.2	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:				

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Fish came on from Barvas Hatchery (FS0076) on 21st August 21. Stock originated from Langass Hatchery (FS0643).

Site will begin vaccinating fish with PD1 and Alphaject micro 6 w/b 08/11.

Mortalities are ensiled at the shorebase. The ensiled material is stored in sealed IBC's before being collected by Ferguson and transferred to the Scottish Water Horizons Bioresources Centre in Cumbernauld.

Mortality since input has been very low across the site, with 0.08% attributed to birds and 0.17% attributed to fungal infection.

Fish have received two treatments of Aquasen and Cress since input (first treatment 26-27th August, second treatment on 6th September) in response to fungus.

Fish will be moved off site in Spring 2022 by Solway to Eughlam (FS1233).

Fish were very difficult to observe due to the dark peaty water combined with very dull weather. Fish were crowded to collect individuals for VMD facilitating observation of the stock. Fish that were observed appeared in good health and were very active, demonstrating a strong escape response.

Fish collected for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 1	13		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0390	0	Site No:	FS0737	7			
Date of Visit:		19/10/202	1		Inspector(s	s):		
Registration/Autl	horisation D	etails						
1. Business/site de			site represent	ative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made	to details?						N	]
Site Details (inclu	ude cleaner i	fish for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	ado Giodiioi	8	Facilities sto	ocked	7	No faciliti	es inspected	8
Species	SAL						T .	
Age group	22S1							
No Fish	268,931							1
Mean Fish Wt	42.7g							
Next Fallow Date		March 22	_	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	August 2	2	
Recent (last 4 wks	s) disease pro	oblems?		, N	Any escape	es (since las		N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Movement reco</li> <li>Date of last insp</li> <li>Are records cor</li> <li>Are movement</li> <li>Are records cor</li> <li>Are records cor</li> <li>Are health certification</li> <li>Transport Record</li> <li>Are any movem</li> <li>If yes, is there a sy</li> </ol>	pection: enplete and correcords avail- enplete and corrected ficates for interested the corrected posterior in places and corrected posterior in places.	orrectly entered able for dead fi orrectly entered roductions (out	l? sh and waste <sup>r</sup> l? with GB) avail ehalf) of the b	able? usiness (not us			04/12/2019	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Record  1. Mortality record		r inspection?						
2. How are mortal		•			Ensiled - o	n site		
If other detail:	niec diopeces				Enoned 6	11 010		
3. Mortality record	s complete a	nd correctly en	tered?					T
4. Recent mortalit	•	•		oss the site over	or the last 1	week period	(0.04%)	
5. Evidence of rec	,			USS THE SITE OV	er trie last 4	week period	(0.04 /6).	N
If yes, facility nos/		* *		u/reason:				
ii yes, facility flos/	no mortality p	er racility/110 St	ock per racility	y/16a5011.				
6. Any other peaks	s in mortality	during period o	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
<ol><li>Have increased</li></ol>		d) mortalities b	een reported t	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action								
8. Have 'mortality	events' been	reported to FH	II? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A

Treetments and Medicines December	
Treatments and Medicines Records  1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	V
	'
If yes, detail: Aquasen Cress If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?  Aquasen Cress	'
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
o. Are medicines stored appropriately?	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
you, asiam (ii. iii. dottained direction from the first from t	
Records checked between: 04/12/2019 - 12/10/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0390		Site No:	FS0737		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/10/2021		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	4.0	26	
Species	compartment in Number of supp	cluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0	_	6	10	3
Exposure via water	rumber of desi	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through					
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		1
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	1			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	Ιο	Ī			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	I 0	1			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Feeding unpast	· ·	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	· 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator	T			1			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					<b>Total</b> Rank		12 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2021-0390	Site No:	FS0737
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only	Λ	
Has the site experienced sea lice probler		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	vear class basis?
	icenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in	
	s well as access to suitable biological and/or me	
4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)?	agement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the require	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. sa</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or riod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	ch is considered to cause significant welfare prob	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where	e applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice I	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carrie	ed out in cooperation between participating farms	6?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site sea lice?	e, where fewer populations or part populations ar	re held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice managed scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	gement procedure with waypoints describing set e infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	ks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inquestion		
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment dam	age due to predators in the current or previous p	oroduction cycles?
	t the predation experienced on site? (Detail belo	
Top nets	t the production experienced on site. (Botain bole	,
If other, detail below:		
2. Have appear incidents or events been a	venerion and on or in the visinity of the site since t	ha last EUL inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sl	xperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the kip to question 10	he last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mir	nisters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37$ , 5	.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO a	and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi	ist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	scapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action.	agreed with local wild fish interests and was peri	mission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory meas 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detail rea	son(s) Y

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

1111 000, VEISIOII 10						issued by. i i ii							
	Case no:	2021-03	390	Site No:		FS0737			Date of		19/1	0/2021	19/ <i>°</i>
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:3	0:00	16:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No.	. [	15
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Γotal Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-20	F21-40	F41-60	F61-75								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	42.7g	42.7g	42.7g	42.7g								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW								
		≥	≥	≥	≥						- 1		
		Hatchery	) je	Hatchery	;he						- 1		
		latc	atc	latc	latc						- 1		
siis		3) H	8 H	s H	s H						- 1		
Details		as: 64;	Jas: 64;	las: 64;	las: 64;								
		_angass (FS0643)	Langass Hatchery (FS0643)	Langass H (FS0643)	Langass Hatchery (FS0643)								
Stock	Stock Origin				)								
S	Facility No	1	2	3	8								

10/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
Humanely dispatched by TMS overdose.														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

Site No: FS0737

Case No: 2021-0390

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_										
Case No:	2021-0390	]		Date of visi	t: 19/10/20	)21						
Site No:	FS0737	7		Inspector	r.							
Site No.	1 30737			mspecio								
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification										
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp				
	+						_					
	+											
	_											
Report Summary				7								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp									
ECI, CNI, VMD	27/10/2021											
	+											
	+											





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0169Date of Visit19/10/2021Site NoFS0737Site NameLoch HuamavatCase No20210390Inspector

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 27/10/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>