| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Case No: 2021-0450 | | | Date of visit: 02/11/2021 | | | |
| Time spent on site: | 5hrs | Main Inspect | or: | | | |
| Site No: FS0648 Business No: FB0235 | Site Name: Business Name: | Ardtaraig Hatchery Cooke Aquaculture (Freshwat | er) Ltd | | | |
| Case Types: 1 ECI 2 | 2 CNI 3 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| Water Temp (°C): 8.2 | Thermometer No: | T173 | FHI 045 completed | | | |
| Observations: | Region: ST | Water type: F | CoGP MA | | | |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N | | | | | | |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry | out intended visit deta | ail reason below: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Additional Case Information:

All current fish on site from Iceland - Stofinfisker

Recent mortality -

03/10/21 - 10/10/21 = 2948 fish (0.47%)

11/10/21 -18/10/21 = 4165 fish (0.67%)

12/10/21 - 19/10/21 = 3712 fish (0.59%)

20/10/21 - 27/10/21 = 107 fish (0.02%)

Site inspection conducted by and observed by on 03/11/2021.

Fish appeared healthy, with some minor dorsal fin erosion. No mortalities observed on site.

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Iss | ued by: FHI | | | Date of issu | e: 12/05/2020 |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Case No: 2021- | 0450 | Site No: | FS064 | 8 | | | |
| Date of Visit: | 02/11/20 | 21 | | Inspector(s) | : | | ı |
| Registration/Authorisatio | n Details | | | | | | _ |
| 1. Business/site details sun | • | site represent | tative? | | | Y | |
| 2. Changes made to details | s? | | | | | Y |] |
| Site Details (include clear | ner fish for all se | ctions) | | | | | |
| Total No facilities | 42 | Facilities st | ocked | 16 | No facilitie | s inspected | 42 |
| Species SAL | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Age group 2022 S | S1 | | | | _ | | |
| No Fish 319,5° | | | | | _ | | |
| Mean Fish Wt 34.5g | | | | | | | |
| Next Fallow Date (Site) | None | | Next Input D | ate (Site) | Dec 13th 0 | Ova from Aqu | lagen |
| Recent (last 4 wks) disease | e problems? | | | N Any escape | s (since last | visit)? | N |
| If yes, detail: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Movement records availa 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete an 4. Are movement records a 5. Are records complete an 6. Are health certificates for Transport Records 1. Are any movements carr If yes, is there a system in p | d correctly entere vailable for dead d correctly entere r introductions (ou | d? fish and waste d? itwith GB) avai | lable? usiness (not u | _ | | 22/01/2019 | N/A N/A Y |
| Mortality Records | | | | | | | |
| Mortality records availab | • | | | | | | Y |
| 2. How are mortalities dispo | osed of? | | | Incinerated | - on site | | |
| If other detail: | 4 | -t10 | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? | | | | | | | 1 |
| 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): See additional info | | | | | | N | |
| 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: | | | | | | | IN |
| ii yes, lacility 1105/110 filorial | ity per facility/fio s | Stock per lacilit | y/reason. | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks in morta | ality during period | checked? | | | | | N |
| If yes, detail: | anty during period | onconcu. | | | | | |
| 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? | | | | | | | |
| If yes, detail action: | | been reported | to vet or FHI? | | | | N/A |
| ii yes, detail action. | inica) mortantes i | been reported | to vet or FHI? | | | | N/A |

| _ , , | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------|--|--|
| Treatments and Me | | | | | |
| Recent treatment | , | | Ť | | |
| If yes, detail: | Aquacen, | | | | |
| If other, detail: | Cress | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | s available for inspection? | | Y | | |
| | blete and correctly entered? | | Y | | |
| 4. Are fish in a withd | • | | · | | |
| 5. If yes, what treatn | • | | | | |
| J. II yes, what iteath | Aquacen, | | | | |
| If other, detail: | Cress | | | | |
| 6. Are medicines sto | | | Y | | |
| O. Are medicines sic | пец арргорнатегу: | | | | |
| Biosecurity Record | is | | | | |
| | ls available for inspection? | | Y | | |
| • | • | rding and safe disposal been considered? | Y | | |
| | | cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any | | | |
| | ned) mortality at the site been included? | * 1 | Y | | |
| • | • | ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease | | | |
| | luded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be no | | Y | | |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher | | | | | |
| health status, certific | | | | | |
| | . , | | | | |
| 6. Have the husband | dry and biosecurity measures implement | ted between each epidemiological unit to minimise | Y | | |
| transmission of dise | ase been covered (movement of staff, vi | isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? | | | |
| 7. Is documentation | available regarding the measures in plan | ce to maintain the physical containment of | Y | | |
| aquaculture animals | held on site? | | | | |
| 8. Have the biosecu | rity procedures been adequately implem | ented on site? | Y | | |
| If no, detail: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Results of Surveilla | ance | | | | |
| 1. Has any animal h | ealth surveillance been carried out by, or | r on behalf of, the business? | Y | | |
| 2. If yes, are results | available for inspection? | | Y | | |
| 3. Any significant res | sults? | | N | | |
| If yes, detail (if not d | etailed under recent disease problems). | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Pecords checked between: | Movement records 22/01/2019 - 02/11/2021 & Morts | ality records | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | | Date | of issue | : 12/05/2020 |
|--|---|--|----------|---------------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Case Number: | 2021-0450 | | Site No: | FS0648 | | Insp: | |
| Date of Visit | 02/11/2021 | | No of m | ovements/s | supp./dest. | | Score |
| Live fish movements | | | C |) 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | Frequency of m | novements on from equivalent MS | C | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| with GB) of susceptible species | | novements on from equivalent zone or | , | | 10 | 26 | 0 |
| opened | Number of sup | ncluding third country | C | | | 26 14 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Movements off | Frequency of m | | C | | | 10 10 | 3 |
| Exposure via water | Number of des | Site contacts | | | | 10 | |
| Water contacts with other | Farm is protect | ed (secure water supply through | Ī | <u> </u> | | | |
| farms (holding species | disinfection or l | porehole) | C | | | | |
| susceptible to same diseases) | | or in a coastal zone with category I | | 2 | 4 | | 1 |
| uiseases) | | or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III | ' | 2 | 4 | | ' |
| | | or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | | or in a coastal zone with category V | | | | | |
| | farms upstream | or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Management practices | | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with | Any processing | plant discharging into adjacent waters | | | | | |
| processors | | | C | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| On farm processing within | No on farm pro | cessing | C |) | | | 0 |
| the rules of the directive | Processing own | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | from MS of equivalent status | 2 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | from zone or compartment of | | 1 | | | |
| | equivalent statu | | 4 | Ł | | | |
| | Processing fish | from Category III farm | 8 | 3 | | | |
| | Processing fish from Category V farm | | |) | | | |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | te only processed. | C |) | | | 0 |
| products | Common proce | esses with other farms | 3 | 3 | | | |
| | Collection point for waste from other farms | | | 5 | | | |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of u | inpasteurised feed | | _] | | | 0 |
| · | Feeding unpas | <u>'</u> | 5 | 5 | | | |
| Biosecurity | | Number of sites | 1 | ⊒ 2 or 3 | ≥ 4 | | |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating | from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| | Sites sharing s | taff and equipment | C | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| Disinfection of equipment | Yes | | | | | | 0 |
| between sites, use of footbaths etc | No | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | | | J | | | |
| CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance | Yes | | Ι, |] | | | |
| with regulator or industry | No | | (| 4 | | | 0 |
| code of practice | 140 | | 3 | 3 | | | |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | 1 | | | | 0 |
| | No | | 2 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | J | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | 21 |
| | | | | | Rank | | MEDIUM |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | Date of is | sue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|---|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Case No: | 2021-0450 | | Site No: | FS0648 | |
| Sea Lice Inspection (| Seawater Sites Only) | | | | |
| | • | s in the previous 4 years? | | | |
| 2. Is the CoGP Farm N | Management Area (or e | quivalent) fallowed synchronously | on a single | ear class basis? | |
| azamethiphos and em | | enced in-feed and bath sea lice m well as access to suitable biologic I of time? | | | d |
| 4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or | | ement agreement or statement rel | evant to the s | ite and CoGP Farm | |
| | | pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex | | | |
| 6. Do records adequat | ely reflect the required | standard specified in the SSI and | the CoGP? (I | Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) | |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> records are inspected? | | ow the suggested criteria for treat | ment in the C | oGP during the period that | |
| | | monis) numbers per fish been at a od that records are inspected? | level of 3 or | above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) o | r |
| If yes, have these bee | n reported to the Fish H | lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see | comment. | | |
| 9. Is C. elongatus infe | station at a level which | is considered to cause significant | welfare probl | lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) | |
| • | | stered or other actions taken when elongatus is considered to have we | | | |
| 11. Has any other action | on been taken (where a | applicable)? | | | |
| • | | s taken had a significant impact up out in cooperation between partic | | | |
| | | where fewer populations or part p | | | |
| | cific written lice manage scalation of a sea lice in | ement procedure with waypoints de infestation? | escribing set | actions to deal with recognise | d |
| 16. Do the sea lice lev | els observed on stocks | reflect sea lice count data? If no | olease detail ı | reasons. | |
| | | | | | |
| Containment Inspect | | | | | N |
| 2. Are measures in pla | | ge due to predators in the current he predation experienced on site? | | • | Y |
| Indoor tanks, net | | | | | |
| over outdoor | | | | | |
| tanks, screens, outlet filtration | | | | | |
| If other, detail below | : | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | perienced on or in the vicinity of the | e site since th | e last FHI inspection? | N |
| | estions 4 – 9. If No skip | - | | | |
| | ported to Scottish Minis | | | 4.47 | |
| | | orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP | | | |
| b. Have these been re | ported to the SSPO and | d local fisheries trusts forthwith (w | nere they exis | st)? (COGP = 4.4.37, 5.4.17) | |
| 7. Were methods (if ar | ny) used to recover esc | apees? If yes give detail | | | |
| | | greed with local wild fish interests | and was pern | nission given by Scottish | |
| Ministers? (Legal, Coo | | | | | |
| | • | mise the risk of further escapes? | (Not covered | in code but could | |
| | er satisfactory measured as satisfactory with re | res of the Act) egards to containment? If no, plea | se detail reas | son(s) | Υ |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Case No: | 2021-0450 | | | Date of visit: | 02/11/2021 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------|------------|---------|------|----------------------|
| Site No: | FS0648 | 1 | | Inanastar | | | | |
| Sile IVO. | F30046 | J | | Inspector | | | | |
| Results Summary | Freq. Date of Notification | | | | | | | |
| , | | Database | Insp | Phone | Insp | Writing | Insp | 2 nd Insp |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | |
| | 1 | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | |
| Report Summary | | | T T | 1 | | | | |
| Case Type | Date | Insp | 2 nd Insp | 1 | | | | |
| Report Summary Case Type ECI,CNI | 11/11/2021 | шор | 2 11100 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0235 Date of Visit 02/11/2021

SITE No FS0648 SITE NAME Ardtaraig Hatchery

Case No 20210450 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/11/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/